

GMC 4643-13 applicant/s correspondence to and
from or about Brad Blanton / Radical Honesty
aka Radical Hypocrisy community [[PDF](#)]

2010

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: Monday February 01 2010 6:46 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara Johnstone
Sent: Monday, February 01, 2010 6:46 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 629] hello and some interesting Radical Honesty Jihad info! ;-)

Brad and All,

Hi, hope you are all well.....

Thought this may interest you... some really good indepth background articles on two of America's homemade Al'Qaeda terrorists...

The authors et al are wondering why, did these middle class American boys from suburban America join Al'Qaeda.

In my view one of the answers lies here, excerpt from the article:

They were searching, not so much for a way to release their rage but for an experience that was authentic and powerful.

And that is what Radical Honesty provides, at least it certainly did and does for me... a means to *release my rage and for an experience that is authentic and powerful.*

It is why I am *Radical Honesty Jihadist!* ;-)

Anyway... it is also why I think that those the intense fanatics, like these boys, if they had found Radical Honesty, before they found Islam..... what then?

Adam Gadahn, California. Azzam the American – The making of an Al Qaeda homegrown, by Raffi Khatchadourian in The New Yorker, January 2007:

http://www.newyorker.com/reporting/2007/01/22/070122fa_fact_khatchadourian?currentPage=all



Omar Hammami, Alabama. [The Jihadist Next Door](#) By ANDREA ELLIOTT in the NY Times, January 2010:



Lara

From: Dan Treecraft | Sent: Tuesday February 02 2010 12:21 AM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Dan Treecraft

Sent: Tuesday, February 02, 2010 12:21 AM

To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com

Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 630] hello and some interesting Radical Honesty Jihad info! ;-)

Lara lives!

Nice to see your voice again. I think you're onto it with the idea that these Jihadi Dudes were looking for a big snort of "authentic experience". I just watched the film "THE HURT LOCKER" last night. I'm not hep to why quite so much fuss was made about it (realistic, I suppose, except for so incredibly overdoing the sheer volume of mayhem these boys got themselves into), but the film did a good job of illuminating the thing that drives so many young testosterone-spiking males to sign up for such insane sport. It is a THRILL! And it sure pays well for the "preferred stock" holders of weapons-building corporations and Halliburton & Dynecorp, etc.

The phony contrivance that passes for "The Real World" doesn't hold much magnetic appeal for many bored young males, and when give more engaging opportunities to get into some raw experience - they go for it. We live in a time of confounding churning. THAT is the only thing I know for sure. From that, I suppose that we will see a whole lot of pain visited upon our species, any other species within our reach... pain that will reach even to the Privileged One Billion (western middling classes). I suppose this bodes well for some human psyches that have the courage to jump-then-trudge, and then jump again.

Me -- I'm about flat-lined. (You're "as old as you feel".) My grave remains, at best, half full. Some younger, more energetically-positive youngsters ought to thrive in the tumult ahead. No way to predict who the "winners" will be, except that the energetically-flexible (

"resilient") will be among them. At the end of the cycle, the the survivors will have, not only "resilience genes", but will be equally endowed with "lucky genes", as well. I mean to imbue the term "tough luck" with a different meaning.

Too much blather out of me....

Luck to your Jihad, Lara !

Treecraft

From: Dan Treecraft | **Sent:** Tuesday February 02 2010 1:53 AM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Dan Treecraft

Sent: Tuesday, February 02, 2010 1:53 AM

To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com

Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 631] hello and some interesting Radical Honesty Jihad info! ;-)

Lara,

I just finished watching the "timeline story" of Omar Hammami. Fascinating. The last bit about his Facebook communication with his sister really caught me. Someone in the psychology biz might react to that glimpse by suggesting a diagnosis (?), methinks. "Manic-depressive" lurks in my dilettante's mind. That last bit really threw "where I was going" with this guy's trajectory.

Growing up in suburban Mobile Alabama (Daphne) - as a hyper-smart-critical-thinker kid, with a practicing Muslim father, a white, conventional, well-adjusted mother, in a white-dominated Christian community.... I can think of less likely environments to produce a young man with restless intellectual energy, and some egregious drive to express his frustration with the standard (hypocritical) status quo. I was stationed in Mobile for several years (Coast Guard), back in the late '60s-early-'70s. It was a strange-ish place. Enough money to allow for some sophisticated, worldly culture, and plenty of "everything else under the sun". I think it would have been a great place to grow up, if you had a modicum of money and social stability, including an unconflicted family-of-origin foundation. The joker here is, perhaps, some predisposition to mental illness.

This is hot in my brain at the moment, as my step-daughter has recently been diagnosed with manic-depressive syndrome, after about twelve years of mis-diagnosed floundering - treated for standard clinical depression. At last, the scales have fallen from our eyes, regarding her difficult struggles to "get her life going". I've had a very hard time accepting and being around her. My non-acceptance has not helped matters. I finally think I have some glimmer of understanding of her situation. Omar is on fire about the possibilities for some sort of revolutionary shift of a hypocritical status quo. A smart, frustrated guy, in a dysfunctional,

unfair, out-of-touch culture, trying to find some outlet for his profound intellectual frustration and overwhelming psychic energy --- something like that.

Enough amateur psychology for one day, perhaps.

Like I said - fascinating.

Thanks.

Dan

From: Brad Blanton | **Sent:** Tuesday February 02 2010 6:18 AM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** brad@radicalhonesty.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 02, 2010 6:18 AM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: RE: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 633] hello and some interesting Radical Honesty Jihad info! ;-)

Hi Lara. Hi Dan. Thanks for the quote Lara. I read the New Yorker article--most of it anyway. I think there is more reason to support the radical honesty jihad and the Al Queda jihad than to support the Corporatist jihad they are dedicated to resisting. here is a recent version of the state of my KKK book... love, brad

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** Sunday February 07 2010 3:43 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara Johnstone
Sent: Sunday, February 07, 2010 3:43 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: RE: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 634] Brad & Treecraft: BLT and kicking buckets! ;-)

Brad and Treecraft...

Treecraft thanks for your response. Apologies for delay in response. I just forgot, and then got brads and felt terribly guilty.

Glad to hear you ain't kicked the bucket, but still kicking it with much gusto! You are an inspiration.

Brad,

I am curious – what do you know about Black Liberation Theology... ?

More specifically: Jermiah Wright's hero of black liberation theology and the black liberation theology of Jeremiah's church, is based on guy called Cone's BLT theology

Wright says it is from the 60's black liberation theology.. etc..

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J8IEqD0GAKY>

short documentary, with wright sharing his commitment to BLT of cone.. followed by quotes from cone's books on what he means by BLT???

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** Sunday February 07 2010 11:48 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara Johnstone

Sent: Sunday, February 07, 2010 11:48 PM

To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com

Subject: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 635] brad present for you! ;-)

Hey brad,

Look what I found!

The Lenny Bruce Nigger Show!! Done by Dustin Hoffman.. it is really fucking funny!

Thought you would like it.. I still remember you telling me about it!

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SOmkv76rNL4>

Lara

From: Brad Blanton | **Sent:** Monday February 08 2010 7:32 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Brad Blanton

Sent: Monday, February 08, 2010 7:32 PM

To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com

Cc: Harry Gilliam; John Margolis; bb4c@googlegroups.com

Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 636] brad present for you! ;-)

Thanks Lara. That man had a lot of guts and a lot of wisdom. I am happy you found it and glad to have it. For the times Lenny lived in he had a lot of guts risking this when and where he did. brad

From: Dan Treecraft | Sent: Monday February 08 2010 9:03 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Dan Treecraft
Sent: Monday, February 08, 2010 9:03 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 637] Brad & Treecraft: BLT and kicking buckets! ;-)

Lara, Brad, Fellow Travelers,

[WARNING: The following e-mail contains long-winded descriptions of people and situations you have little or nothing to do with. The producer accepts no responsibility for any time expended reading any of it. All who proceed beyond this point do so at their own risk and expense.]

I'm still "kicking". Well - that is, if by that you mean "still around taking up space and contributing to the planet's pollution load". Yes, I am.

Things, "around here", are about as lively as we've seen them in awhile. My wife, Jan, took off on emergency leave from her job two weeks ago, to fly from here (Spokane) to Montpelier, VT, to take care of her thirty-year-old daughter, who had been rushed to the hospital with a roaring case of double pneumonia. Besides pneumonia, she had (has) a very high-maintenance 4-year-old son, an amicable, cooperative relationship with her kid's dad, who, like her, has no savings and nary a pot to piss in. She's been on welfare since last Fall, while trying to work on a Masters in Psychology at near-by Goddard College. School's been a special challenge, especially since she had little or no previous Psych coursework.

Fortunately, she's a smart and motivated kid, and may be able to pull it off, with some luck and much back-up. Her employment skills are limited - she's a competent waitress and a "very good" Yoga instructor. Not great ways to pay for an upper level curriculum syllabus at a small New England college, though. She also seems to have some not-yet-determined underlying lung problem, or (?). She's been renting from her ex-husband (at some discount, but still somewhere to the tune of \$900/month) the funky little, drafty, uninsulated house they once shared. He's badly behind with child support, but might possibly make some headway when the house sale finally closes in a couple of months. She's supposed to move into a very promising-sounding 4-family shared house with an onsite daycare presently operating. Kids are welcome! (some good news, there). Further, "to the good", Vermont has both her and her son enrolled in (Howard Dean's) apparently-adequate, state public health-care system.

Especially "good" - since a week in the hospital (some intensive care ?), plus who knows how many CT scans, specialist's fees, blood tests, steroid drug and other prescriptions already, and-yet-to-come, etc...., must be running a tab that would dwarf the cost of a two year graduate degree program at a small New England college. I guess Vermont taxpayers will pick up the bill. Seems like a bad time to be testing the public's ability to fund such safety-netting. Better, perhaps now, than a year or two from now. I'm guessing Brad's "Radically Radical Modest Proposal" won't be smoothly operating in less than a couple of years.

But, wait - the litany of Molly's hurdles isn't done yet. Just a week or so before she landed in the hospital with floundering lungs, she received a diagnosis from a psychiatrist, that she has

classic Manic-Depressive Disorder. Nice. Been battling same for years, but never got a definitive diagnosis. Been drugged, off and on, with depression medications - not helpful, my wife says. Everyone was still working on getting used to that falling pallet of bricks when her lungs went on strike. The pending move has some timing issues. It's February in Vermont. There's the "regulation 3 feet of snow" on the ground, some treacherous distance between her house and the driveway....etc.... Molly's stamina is in the low twenties, at best, and who knows when her stamina might break into the forties or fifties. Jan's employer's business has been slow. That's good, as they can spare her for awhile. Their business is real estate closings and title insurance. Slowness there may not bode well, though, for the odds of their generosity going a lot further. I noticed that a lot of young people, in and around Montpelier, either worked two or three jobs, or had trust funds, or some mix of both. A few older folks seemed to work more than on job, as well. I suspect that Molly will not break her commitment to stay close by, so that her son and his father are not separated from one another. This all augurs toward Jan's quitting her job to move to Vermont to take care of her daughter and grandson, both of whom she adores. Jan will turn 60 in another month. I wonder how the job market is for sixty-year-old real estate closing clerks these days?... in Mudville? (Duh.)

Not a great time to give up her paycheck and health insurance - something Jan's used a lot in the past several years. The company's health insurance underwriter would surely welcome Jan's departure from her employer. Molly's former in-laws, who live in the area, seem to have no inclination to have anything to do with Molly, what-so-ever. That sounds like good-old, sound, self-preservation instincts on that side of the family. (Sheesh!) Can you spell "SNAFU" ?

Me? We've had one of the mildest winters on record, here in Eastern Washington. I could have been working many days this winter (self-employed arborist). But my sorry soul couldn't bring this body to get out and start the old diesel truck and chipper. Aside from genetic laziness, I couldn't get into the excusation of personally converting so many more tons of petroleum into so many additional tons of CO₂ (don't we already have enough of that already?). So, I've sat on my paralytically-ethical ass all winter. (You still reading this?)

I did get sufficiently annoyed with, and curious about - just what might be going on with my year-long sore throat, blood-spitting, and swallowing difficulties - to finally make an appointment to try to find out what's going on. Referred to an ENT specialist last Wednesday, the doc poked and pulled, and invaded my above-the-neck cavities for the better part of an hour. He found an apparently well-developed tumor at the base of my tongue, left side, announced with what I took to be an ominous-sounding grunt. Maybe you know this - your entire tongue is nearly as big as your forearm !! Okay - maybe not *quite* that large... more like the size of an enormous erect penis -- take your pick. [Aha! Maybe now I have some understanding, some insight, about the sensual basis of "French kissing".] He asked to take a biopsy specimen (that's probably when I should have asked how much all this was going to cost), I consented, and he answered a number of my prying questions, before wearily suggesting that I might want to Google "cancer of the tongue" at home. Who knew that you could get cancer in your tongue? I'd been wasting all that time suspecting I had *esophageal* cancer. I may have come close. I expect to hear from him early this coming week, as to what the lab found. I'm also here to tell you that tongues bleed real good when you poke holes in them. Ugh! Be careful.

I asked what sort of things they liked to do to people with tongue cancer. He said "radiation and/or chemotherapy". I told him we didn't do those kinds of things at my house (that may

have been when the possibility of any modicum of rapport between me and him evaporated). I asked what else might be considered. "Surgery", he replied. I asked what that was like. He said..." ...?... oh...,",..... never mind about that --- let's say it made radiation and chemo sound much more pleasant, by comparison. He wanted to order a C.T. scan. At last -- I came to my fiscal senses, and told him I'd prefer to think about that for a while. I have a "major medical" health insurance policy, with a six or seven thousand dollar "deductible". I don't want to do surgery, or chemo, or radiation. It doesn't make sense to drop money into a potentially dead-end rat-hole. I think I must have lost some details of his explanations about the prognosis, etc, while he briefly outlined the high points of the surgery option. I think when I asked him for a prognostic chronology - without conventional western medicine interventions - he said somewhere between two months and two years.

Truth to tell, this isn't such bad news....., or so I feel at the moment. I've had a barely concealed "death wish" for a long time. It's probably been hanging out most, obviously, for a year or two. The struggle over whether to go out to start the truck or not - every week, if not every day -- has worn me down some. I've been a pretty useless turd, around here. Jan has been exasperated with my lack of ambition for months (and months!). At last I have some shard of an excuse. If I get out, "smart", there should be a "net asset pile" of somewhere between 200 and 300 K \$\$\$ - to pay for a nice send-off, with, hopefully, 90% of that amount left over to help Jan and Molly get through the next decade (maybe more). I think they're going to need it. [Are you still there?]

Something like that. We'll see. The gods have ways of skewering mere mortal's plans.

A local friend who's also in on my (tentative) diagnosis called last night to let me know he was on my side re my intentions. He sent me a link to "The Church of Euthanasia", which among other things tells you how to finish yourself off in a neat, tidy-ish sort of way. From there, I was eventually led back to a local guy, Rob Neils, who's founded something called "Dying Well" (Institute?)

Rob's a psychotherapist who's been living with cancer for at least ten years. I'd forgot about Rob and his work until last night. I'm going to see if he's still "at it". I hope so. He's really stuck his neck out (way out) working for the right to die movement.

Brad - I've been reading the KKK. Elegant. I love it. I'd have written it if I was just a little smarter and twice as ballsy. If there weren't so many fuck words in it, I think I'd share it around with all my friends around here. But, I'm afraid all the fuck words would turn them off immediately. I know, I know - I can hear your answer to that from here!

Jan wants me to call her this morning. Things aren't going real well yet, back there. So, I think I'll taper this turd off now.

If you want, I'll try to keep you posted on what's going here - perhaps, more briefly next time. I think things might move quickly. We'll see. Thanks for keeping me in the loop.

Dan

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: Monday February 08 2010 10:12 PM

From: Lara Johnstone [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]

Sent: Monday, February 08, 2010 10:12 PM

To: 'radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com'

Subject: RE: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 637] Brad & Treecraft: BLT and kicking buckets! ;-)

Treecraft,

I appreciate you for all you said, particularly about how you are, and what's been going on with you.

About the first bit, it just kind of hit me.. why 'Healthy Insurance' appears to be such a massive political issue in America. It ain't here.. well... cause very few have it.. and even if you do, well, if you got shot, or robbed or tortured.. or such shit.. like happens to about 50 south africans who are murdered every day... and you live.. then it may be a few hours before an ambulance pitches up.. who would have to negotiate potholes, who would then deposit you at some hospital... where you could wait for hours. So, we have two scales.. the ultra rich have health insurance.. and the rest of us, to swing by the seat of our pants.. and when tis time to say hasta la vista.. we do, or we we learn some new shit about suffering I guess. Thank goodness I ain't hit that yet.. but if something had to happen to me.. I'd also take the quick euthanasia way out.

Now about you and your tongue cancer. I appreciate you for sharing, that you had had a death wish for quite a while. I also have one.. comes and goes now and then... when things look like they may be more adventurous, or more peaceful... in some new dimension, than all this shit.

In fact.. tell you a short interesting story. In 2000, I had a really strong urge to kick the bucket. So, I was working on this plan, that I wanted to do it for my 40th birthday and hold this party for my friends.. and say good bye.. and then I wanted to jump naked from an airplane and skydive into the pacific as my 'hasta la vista!' ;-). Anyway, while I was working on this plan.... And considering what it felt like, to think 'hey what would it feel like if I go ahead with this and so on... the whole McVeigh issue came into the media, about his impending execution. So, I then wrote to him and shared some with him and had quite an interesting conversation with him, about what it feels like to know you are going to die.

Since then the kick the bucket urge has come and gone... and sometimes I find it really strong... sort of like a magnet pulling me to visit this new country.. to explore this new dimension...

Chatted a bit with pila about it, and am really support the right to die principle. Am very interesting in hearing all the different options, if you feel you want to discuss, or just share, or whategver.

What if death is like the ultimate existential orgasm??? You know like when you haven't smoked some weed for years and years and years and then you get some excellent organic shit, that just blows your fucking mind out of its little skull jail! ???

Well I don't know... anyway...

I have one request of you, if you are going to seriously do so.

Please share with us, and tell us (or me, I want to know), so I can say goodbye, and even if you know the time you are going to go... to take a time out from my day and spend that time thinking of you and wishing you well to your new adventure.

Now I got a few tears.... As I type...

Aint we just a bunch of fucked up confused people? Well, at least I am... maybe you guy got it all together and know what the fuck you people are doing day in and day out and why.. I don't! ;-). well not if I stop and really ask myself whether I am.. ;-)

Eish!

Thanks for sharing again Treecraft...

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** Friday February 12 2010 1:36 AM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara Johnstone
Sent: Friday, February 12, 2010 1:36 AM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 641] Honesty and Kids...

Brad,

This article is something I imagine you will really find interesting.. it brings together honesty, or lack thereof, and adults talking in abstract terms to kids, who are totally clueless about such terms... etc..

Here is a quote:

To be effective, researchers have found, conversations about race have to be explicit, in unmistakable terms that children understand. A friend of mine repeatedly told her 5-year-old son, "Remember, everybody's equal." She thought she was getting the message across. Finally, after seven months of this, her boy asked, "Mommy, what's 'equal' mean?"

See Baby Discriminate

Kids as young as 6 months judge others based on skin color. What's a parent to do?

<http://www.newsweek.com/id/214989/page/1>

From: Brad Blanton | **Sent:** Friday February 12 2010 8:32 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Brad Blanton
Sent: Friday, February 12, 2010 8:32 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 642] Honesty and Kids...

Excellent quote. thanks. I'll look at the rest soon and get back to you... brad

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: Sunday February 21 2010 1:35 PM

From: Lara Johnstone [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Sunday, February 21, 2010 1:35 PM
To: 'radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com'
Subject: Brad an Idea: Feedback from all interested please????

Brad et al,

I am thinking of attempting to start a Radical Honesty Population Party.

Rules for membership of Party:

1. Radical Honesty values: Radical Honesty truth telling with commitment to remain in conversation until RH forgiveness has occurred. This would require anyone wanting to participate in Party Policy discussions, or to be nominated for any position within the party, to have done an eight day Radical Honesty course, and to make such written commitments.
2. Population & Sustainability values: Commitment to **20 Rules of Sustainability** (by dr. Al Bartlett), as stated in my court submission: **Radical Honesty Truth and Forgiveness Social Contract: Population Policy Common Sense: Exponential Functions & the Laws of Sustainability**. (PDF)
3. 100% Total Transparent Campaign Finance.

So, what da ya think? Anything else I left out????

Lara

Rules of Sustainability Excerpted below:

[1] Law: Population growth and / or growth in the rates of consumption of resources cannot be sustained.

- A. A population growth rate less than or equal to zero and declining rates of consumption of resources are a necessary, but not a sufficient, condition for a sustainable society.
- B. Unsustainability will be the certain result of any program of "development," that does not plan the achievement of zero (or a period of negative) growth of populations and of rates of consumption of resources. This is true even if the program is said to be "sustainable."
- C. The research and regulation programs of governmental agencies that are charged with protecting the environment and promoting "sustainability" are, in the long run, irrelevant, unless these programs address vigorously and quantitatively the concept of carrying capacities and unless the programs study in depth the demographic causes and consequences of environmental problems.
- D. Societies, or sectors of a society, that depend on population growth or growth in their rates of consumption of resources, are unsustainable.

- E. Persons who advocate population growth and / or growth in the rates of consumption of resources are advocating unsustainability.
- F. Persons who suggest that sustainability can be achieved without stopping population growth are misleading themselves and others.
- G. Persons whose actions directly or indirectly cause increases in population or in the rates of consumption of resources are moving society away from sustainability. (Advertising your city or state as an ideal site in which to locate new factories, indicates a desire to increase the population of your city or state.)
- H. The term "Sustainable Growth" is an oxymoron.

[2] Law: In a society with a growing population and / or growing rates of consumption of resources, the larger the population, and / or the larger the rates of consumption of resources, the more difficult it will be to transform the society to the condition of sustainability.

[3] Law: The response time of populations to changes in the human fertility rate is the average length of a human life, or approximately 70 years. (Bartlett and Lytwak 1995) [This is called "population momentum."]

- A. A nation can achieve zero population growth if:
 - i. the fertility rate is maintained at the replacement level for 70 years, and
 - ii. there is no net migration during the 70 years.
- B. During the 70 years the population continues to grow, but at declining rates until the growth finally stops.
 - i. If we want to make changes in the total fertility rates so as to stabilize the population by the mid - to late 21st century, we must make the necessary changes before the end of the 20th century.
- C. The time horizon of political leaders is of the order of two to eight years.
- D. It will be difficult to convince political leaders to act now to change course, when the full results of the change may not become apparent in the lifetimes of those leaders.

[4] Law: The size of population that can be sustained (the carrying capacity) and the sustainable average standard of living of the population are inversely related to one another. (This must be true even though Cohen asserts that the numerical size of the carrying capacity of the Earth cannot be determined, (Cohen 1995))

- A. The higher the standard of living one wishes to sustain, the more urgent it is to stop population growth.
- B. Reductions in the rates of consumption of resources and reductions in the rates of production of pollution can shift the carrying capacity in the direction of sustaining a larger population.

[5] Law: Sustainability requires that the size of the population be less than or equal to the carrying capacity of the ecosystem for the desired standard of living.

- A. Sustainability requires an equilibrium between human society and dynamic but stable ecosystems.
- B. Destruction of ecosystems tends to reduce the carrying capacity and / or the sustainable standard of living.
- C. The rate of destruction of ecosystems increases as the rate of growth of the population increases.
- D. Population growth rates less than or equal to zero are necessary, but are not sufficient, conditions for halting the destruction of the environment. This is true locally and globally.

[6] Law: (The lesson of “The Tragedy of the Commons”) (Hardin 1968): The benefits of population growth and of growth in the rates of consumption of resources accrue to a few; the costs of population growth and growth in the rates of consumption of resources are borne by all of society.

- A. Individuals who benefit from growth will continue to exert strong pressures supporting and encouraging both population growth and growth in rates of consumption of resources.
- B. The individuals who promote growth are motivated by the recognition that growth is good for them. In order to gain public support for their goals, they must convince people that population growth and growth in the rates of consumption of resources, are also good for society. [This is the Charles Wilson argument: if it is good for General Motors, it is good for the United States.] (Yates 1983)

[7] Law: Growth in the rate of consumption of a non-renewable resource, such as a fossil fuel, causes a dramatic decrease in the life-expectancy of the resource.

- A. In a world of growing rates of consumption of resources, it is seriously misleading to state the life-expectancy of a non-renewable resource "at present rates of consumption," i.e., with no growth. More relevant than the life-expectancy of a resource is the expected date of the peak production of the resource, i.e. the peak of the Hubbert curve. (Hubbert 1974)
- B. It is intellectually dishonest to advocate growth in the rate of consumption of non-renewable resources while, at the same time, reassuring people about how long the resources will last "at present rates of consumption." (zero growth)

[8] Law: The time of expiration of non-renewable resources can be postponed, possibly for a very long time, by:

- i. technological improvements in the efficiency with which the resources are recovered and used
- ii. using the resources in accord with a program of "Sustained Availability," (Bartlett 1986)
- iii. recycling
- iv. the use of substitute resources.

[9] Law: When large efforts are made to improve the efficiency with which resources are used, the resulting savings are easily and completely wiped out by the added resources consumed as a consequence of modest increases in population.

- A. When the efficiency of resource use is increased, the consequence often is that the "saved" resources are not put aside for the use of future generations, but instead are used immediately to encourage and support larger populations.
- B. Humans have an enormous compulsion to find an immediate use for all available resources.

[10] Law: The benefits of large efforts to preserve the environment are easily canceled by the added demands on the environment that result from small increases in human population.

[11] Law: (Second Law of Thermodynamics) When rates of pollution exceed the natural cleansing capacity of the environment, it is easier to pollute than it is to clean up the environment.

[12] Law: (Eric Sevareid's Law); The chief cause of problems is solutions. (Sevareid 1970)

- A. This law should be a central part of higher education, especially in engineering.

[13] Law: Humans will always be dependent on agriculture. (This is the first of Malthus' two postulata.)

- A. Supermarkets alone are not sufficient.
- B. The central task in sustainable agriculture is to preserve agricultural land. The agricultural land must be protected from losses due to things such as:
 - i. Urbanization and development
 - ii. Erosion
 - iii. Poisoning by chemicals

[14] Law: If, for whatever reason, humans fail to stop population growth and growth in the rates of consumption of resources, Nature will stop these growths.

- A. By contemporary western standards, Nature's method of stopping growth is cruel and inhumane.
- B. Glimpses of Nature's method of dealing with populations that have exceeded the carrying capacity of their lands can be seen each night on the television news reports from places where large populations are experiencing starvation and misery.

[15] Law: In every local situation, creating jobs increases the number of people locally who are out of work.

[16] Law: Starving people don't care about sustainability.

- A. If sustainability is to be achieved, the necessary leadership and resources must be supplied by people who are not starving.

[17] Law: The addition of the word "sustainable" to our vocabulary, to our reports, programs, and papers, to the names of our academic institutes and research programs, and to our community initiatives, is not sufficient to ensure that our society becomes sustainable.

[18] Law: Extinction is forever.

From: Dan Treecraft | Sent: Sunday February 12 2010 10:29 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Dan Treecraft

Sent: Sunday, February 21, 2010 10:29 PM

To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com

Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 648] Brad an Idea: Feedback from all interested please????

ALL I can say is - I like the idea, Lara. I'm interested.

Dan Treecraft

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: Monday February 22 2010 3:37 AM

From: Lara Johnstone [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2010 3:37 AM
To: 'radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com'
Subject: RE: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 648] Brad an Idea: Feedback from all interested please????

Hey Dannie!

Great....

I don't imagine it will win... I am interested though in what kind of conversations it could generate...

I started a semi-enquiry facebook page, to see how many people may find the idea interesting....

<http://www.facebook.com/pages/STARH-Radical-Honesty-Population-Policy-Common-Sense-Political-Party/500738115071?v=wall&ref=ts>

I'll see what the interest is...

Share your ideas, of course! ;-)

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: Monday February 22 2010 3:44 AM

From: Lara Johnstone [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2010 3:44 AM
To: 'radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com'
Subject: RE: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 648] Brad an Idea: Feedback from all interested please????

Oh Danny,

And I added some new additions under Law Advocacy,.... Particularly applicable to South Africa

Suggestions for new laws were:

Anyone found guilty of First Degree Murder – Death Penalty
Anyone found guilty of First Degree Rape – Castration

;-)

Lara

From: Brad Blanton | Sent: Monday February 22 2010 6:25 AM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Brad Blanton
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2010 6:25 AM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Cc: bb4c@googlegroups.com
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 651] Brad an Idea: Feedback from all interested please????

Nope. This Bartlett rules of sustainability is so cheerful and encouraging I think I'll have another beer! brad

From: Brad Blanton | Sent: Monday February 22 2010 9:14 AM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Brad Blanton
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2010 9:14 AM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 652] Brad an Idea: Feedback from all interested please????

We need a sexier name for it. How about The Fucking Truth? Or maybe Come without Consequences? or Ejaculation without Population. Or Come in Your Hand and Save the Land? Organic Orgasms can Truly Save the World. Coming and Not Going. Come to Jesus? ... work on it.... brad

From: Taber Shadburne | Sent: Monday February 22 2010 10:24 AM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Taber Shadburne
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2010 10:24 AM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 653] Brad an Idea: Feedback from all interested please????

Layin' Fallow? the population implosion? beyond babies? condom-nation? verities & vasectomies? immaculate contraception? slave to the rhythm? beyond conception? the jism abyss? cummin' down? the un-birthday party?

the truth about tots? Tot Not? Ought Not Tot? keep your genes in your jeans?
carin' to be barren? below zero? tolerance for a zero policy? unite but don't multiply!
the blue ball democrats? gone beyond spawn?

From: Taber Shadburne | **Sent:** Monday February 22 2010 10:31 AM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Taber Shadburne
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2010 10:31 AM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 654] Brad an Idea: Feedback from all interested please????

voluntary extinction? strivin' for extinction? slow motion suicide?
survival of the kidless?

From: Dan Treecraft | **Sent:** Monday February 22 2010 11:10 AM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Dan Treecraft
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2010 11:10 AM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 655] Brad an Idea: Feedback from all interested please????

So... Lara... you against murder? But for getting human numbers down? Right? Shouldn't murderers get medals?

I am only *half* serious, you know?

But I do REALLY LIKE the idea of cutting off the odd rapist's wee-wee... at LEAST! and perhaps nose and both ears. Sends a good crisp message. Am I on the wrong page?

Still kickin'

Treecraft

From: Brad Blanton | **Sent:** Monday February 22 2010 4:13 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Brad Blanton
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2010 4:13 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 657] Brad an Idea: Feedback from all interested please????

O yeah! I like the unbirthday Party and Keep Your Genes in Your Jeans and Blue Ball Democrats! How about The Blueball Democrat Unbirthday Party--Keep Your Genes in Your Jeans!

From: Brad Blanton | **Sent:** Monday February 22 2010 4:16 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Brad Blanton
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2010 4:16 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 658] Brad an Idea: Feedback from all interested please????

Somethin Extincts Around Here! I don't need no extincting sex. Maybe Baby if there is no baby!

From: Brad Blanton | **Sent:** Monday February 22 2010 4:25 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Brad Blanton
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2010 4:25 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 659] Brad an Idea: Feedback from all interested please????

Eaters! Eaters get the medals! Pumpkin Pumpkin Peter Eater, deep fried starry Calimari keeps us all from feelin sorry...

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 25 February 2010 9:02 AM

Subject: [SPAM] brad link on your bio RH page does not work.....
From: "Lara Johnstone" <jmcswan@mweb.co.za>
Date: Thu, February 25, 2010 9:02 am
To: "'Brad Blanton'" <brad.blanton@me.com>,
<support@radicalhonesty.com>

Hey brad,

The link to the 'curse in honesty' workshop on your bio page does not work.

I am doing some writing to newspaper editors in South Africa about the Truth Doctor's Legal Affidavit filed in my case.....

So please fix! ;-)

http://www.radicalhonesty.com/brad_bio.php

Lara

From: Radical Honesty Support | Sent: 25 February 2010 8:15 PM

From: support@radicalhonesty.com [mailto:support@radicalhonesty.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 25, 2010 8:15 PM
To: Lara Johnstone
Cc: Brad Blanton; Angel Media)
Subject: RE: [SPAM] brad link on your bio RH page does not work.....

Thanks for the info. We are having a problem with the web page. Should be fixed soon!!
Thanks again.

From: Brad Blanton | Sent: 26 February 2010 5:51 AM

From: Brad Blanton [mailto:brad.blanton@me.com]
Sent: Friday, February 26, 2010 5:51 AM
To: Lara Johnstone
Cc: Randy Hodges; Angel (Codezero Media)
Subject: Re: brad link on your bio RH page does not work.....

thanks Lara... Randy and Angel, can you all figure out how to make that work? I need someone to rely on to make changes on the rh website every week and keep it working. Brad

From: Brad Blanton | Sent: 27 March 2010 12:20 AM

From: Brad Blanton [mailto:brad.blanton@me.com]
Sent: Saturday, March 27, 2010 12:20 AM
To: Keith Moon; Dan Treecraft; Lara Johnstone
Subject: the solution
Attachment: KKKstephmar17up_to_ch_11#.doc

From: Keith Moon | Sent: 27 March 03:04 AM

From: Keith Moon [mailto:keithmoon@telus.net]
Sent: Saturday, March 27, 2010 3:04 AM
To: 'Brad Blanton'; 'Dan Treecraft'; 'Lara Johnstone'
Subject: RE: the solution

Hi Brad,

Thanks for this copy...I'm not sure if this is the last version I have read...but anyway, I had been working on a reply to you that's been sitting in my draft box for a week or so....here it is...

I really like the book so far. I like the conversation between you and Gene about the book and about his criticisms. Btw, the book Gene references: *Right Relationship: Building a Whole Earth Economy* by Peter G. Brown and Geoffrey Garver, is pretty good I have read it and it might be interesting for Gene to know that Peter G Brown is now looking for some feedback on his book. He is speaking to our class (I am taking at UofC on "Environmental Sustainability and Stewardship" this coming Monday.)

This from my prof...so if Gene has any comments...I am sure he would appreciate them emailed to him...as he is needing the feedback for a follow-up book.

"Dr. Peter Brown would love to hear from you. Please tell him what you liked and what you disliked about "Right Relationship – Building a Whole Earth Economy." His e-mail address is: peter.g.brown@mcgill.ca. "

I can see why Gene might have recommended the book when reviewing your book....because it seems to me it is similar to your book but just much more "civilized"...which I suppose is a compliment to you. The book is probably very smart and very academic and everything they write in terms of describing what is going in our world (economically, politically, etc) is probably bang on and a possible "planned" solution...and then the 4 steps they propose are probably good ideas...but I think the problem with these books are they are too academic and theoretical.

Nobody will follow the suggestions anyways in his book or even in your book I imagine until it is too late...so I imagine these books are good to crack-open one day after it is all to

late...but I think what we need now is books and hard communication that serve as a wake-up call. Shocking books that are difficult to ignore.

After reading through your manuscript (i.e. addressing “power”, “economics”, rich and poor, “justice” and **Education**) I wanted to share with you an additional perspectives that I thought of while reading your book that might be interesting:

Competition. I went to a lecture by Alfie Kohn 3 weeks ago called “What’s wrong with competition?” It was great. For whatever it is worth I just kept on thinking about this as I read your manuscript because Alfie talked a lot about what you write in your book but from the perspective of competition and education (i.e. survival of the fittest – a phrase not coined by Darwin...and in fact, where in nature there is far more co-operation going on than competitiveness): Competition vs. Excellence
<http://www.alfiekohn.org/miscellaneous/cve.htm> and The Case Against Competition
<http://www.alfiekohn.org/parenting/tcac.htm>

Also...with your Chapter 8: **Called by Each Other to Our Higher Selves: Permanent Structures for Citizen Dialogue and Deliberation to Actually Be the Government on an Ongoing Basis**

I was thinking of **Transition Towns** <http://www.transitiontowns.org/> – I’ve been involved in this movement (which is **really** growing globally) and have taken their training and have been involved in starting up this movement here locally. I know it is criticized by Derrick Jensen...but I think it is a good example of an option out of many and what is being done now through direct experience rather than through theory. It’s an example of people proving Churchill wrong. These things are important to build resilience into the system during the long descent (crash).

Also...**Peak Oil** you often reference - I like talking about “Peak Everything” now in addition to Peak Oil. Energy of course is at the heart of the engine...but there are so many pieces (water, soil, phosphorous, oil, copper, **tantalum**, ...and in terms of a reality shock of resources we depend which are disappearing and many of which are non-recyclable...it’s something to think about <http://www.amazon.com/Peak-Everything-Century-Declines-Publishers/dp/086571598X>

Finally...thanks for introducing me to that science fiction short story “Machine Stops” by E.M. Forester. I’m still amazed he wrote that in 1909. Amazing.

Keith

“Any intelligent fool can make things bigger, more complex, and more violent. It takes a touch of genius – and a lot of courage – to move in the opposite direction.”
– Albert Einstein

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: Saturday May 08 2010 1:13 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
[mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] On Behalf Of
Lara Johnstone
Sent: Saturday, May 08, 2010 1:13 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com; 'Brad Blanton';
support@radicalhonesty.com
Subject: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 673] Breaking Radical
Honesty News!!! ;-) Radical Honesty is to be heard before the
Constitutional Court n South Africa!

All,

Radical Honesty Culture and Religion are to be heard before the
Constitutional Court in South Africa!

Attached are the Directions from the Chief Justice, who ruled that:
"Ms. Lara Johnstone, member of the Radical Honesty culture and religion, is
admitted as an Amicus Curiae."

The final Amicus needs to be submitted in writing by May 25th, so not much
time. We better get our RH backsides into high gear!!

BRAD - POPE OF RADICAL HONESTY RELIGION AND CULTURE AFFIDAVIT:

Brad, I will draw up affidavit for you, hope by Wednesday the 13th,
Which you can check and edit and then notarize and email me the notarized
pdf. We will make this one much shorter. I will submit your Radical Honesty
youtube video's to the court on disc, as well as the chapters on Anger and
Forgiveness, from Practicing Radical Honesty. Then all your affidavit needs
to confirm is your Pope of Radical Honesty experience and qualifications;
confirm you are the author of those chapters, and you are willing to
testify under oath, and be cross examined on the issues submitted you
authored, should any party place any of your statements into dispute.

Then I would like you to think about the following, if you have time and
want the Concourt to consider what a Radical Honesty alternative may have
looked like: If the SA Government had put Brad Blanton in charge of
formulating a 'truth and reconciliation commission for south africa';
briefly what would that have looked like.

Anything else you consider relevant.

RADICAL HONESTY COMMUNITY FUTILITARIANS - TELL YOUR RH TRUTH TO THE
CONSTITUTIONAL COURT:

Submit your 'How Radical Honesty and/or Futilitarianism has changed
my life' Affidavit to the Constitutional Court.

I would also like to offer any other members of the Radical Honesty cult
and religion the opportunity to submit a very short affidavit (maximum 3
pages) about what Radical Honesty has meant to you. Has Radical Honesty
changed your life, with particular focus on how you expressed anger and
appreciation; has it changed your relationships?

Brad you could use the affidavits for another book idea: the RADICAL
HONESTY SOCIAL CONTRACT, or the TRUTH AND FORGIVENESS SOCIAL CONTRACT,
whatever..

RADICAL HONESTY CONCOURT ARGUMENT:

The argument we are making is that forgiveness (reconciliation) in South Africa was not real, not sincere, not sensible; it was fake and intellectual; and all about being racially politically correct and suppressing honest feelings, which then simply create more obligation and hostility and fakeness; and worse race relations.

Attached you can find the Chief Justice's ruling on behalf of the Radical >> Honesty community and religion!!

My original founding affidavit you can read here, if you want a bit more background on issues:

<http://why-we-are-white-refugees.blogspot.com/2010/03/trc-was-fraudulent-pr-publicity-stunt.html>

Luv you all

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: May 11 2010 6:54 PM

On May 11, 2010, at 6:54 PM, Lara Johnstone wrote:

Hey Brad,

Did you get this email?

Lara

Subject: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 673] Breaking Radical Honesty News!!! ;-) Radical Honesty is to be heard before the Constitutional Court n South Africa!

From: Brad Blanton | Sent: May 12 2010 9:41 AM

From: Brad Blanton [mailto:brad@radicalhonesty.com]

Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 9:41 AM

To: Lara Johnstone

Subject: Re: Breaking Radical Honesty News!!! ;-) Radical Honesty is to be Heard before the Constitutional Court in South Africa!

Yes I got it Lara. I haven't read it all, or about 150 other emails I am behind... I will get to this when I can... Am busy redoing website and bizness and finishing two books... I got that you were going to write an affidavit an send to me for edit... go ahead.... brad

From: Mike Lewinski | Sent: May 12 2010 9:41 AM

From: oroboros@gmail.com [mailto:oroboros@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 12:59 PM
To: Lara Johnstone
Subject: Delivered: Draft of Radical Honesty Const. Crt: Expert Witness
Statement of Consent: Sign before Witness: Deadline: 22 May.

Your message was delivered to the recipient.

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: Wednesday May 26 2010 11:41 PM

Subject: Re: Draft of Radical Honesty Const. Crt: Expert Witness Statement
of Consent: Sign before Witness: Deadline: 22 May.

On May 12, 2010, at 6:58 AM, Lara Johnstone wrote:

Brad,

I appreciate you for agreeing. Happy you are busy as hell! Hope I have done
all to make signing your statement of consent as quick and painless as
possible:

Attached is Draft of Your Statement of Consent in Word (Pages: 4), titled:

Written Statement by Consent of Brad Blanton, Ph.D, to testify as expert
witness to: Practicing Radical Honesty and Futilitarianism; i.e. Radical
Honesty about Anger and Forgiveness; and Paradigms and Contexts: The
Revolution of Consciousness.

Please amend/edit as you wish; except for legal amicus etc. headers.

** YOU DON'T HAVE TO SIGN INFRONT OF NOTARY; ONLY INFRONT OF A WITNESS. **

After signing send it to me in either of the following two ways:

* Fax to +27-44-874 4137 (This is a Professional Fax Service, so
Please include a cover letter that informs them it is for my attention:
Lara Johnstone; Tel: 44-870 7239; Cell: (071) 170 1954). Email me to let me
know you faxed it.

* Scan the signed Statement to PDF and email to me, at my email address:
jmcswan@mweb.co.za.

DEADLINE: Please return signed PDF statement to me by 22 May 2010.

Lotsa Love and Big Hug,

Lara

From: Brad Blanton | Sent: Sunday May 16 2010 1:57 AM

From: Brad Blanton [mailto:brad@radicalhonesty.com]
Sent: Sunday, May 16, 2010 1:57 AM
To: Lara Johnstone
Subject: Re: Draft of Radical Honesty Const. Crt: Expert Witness Statement of Consent: Sign before Witness: Deadline: 22 May.

Hey Lara-- I can't get this to open. I can open in word... brad

From: Brad Blanton | Sent: Sunday May 17 2010 4:43 PM

From: Brad Blanton [mailto:brad@radicalhonesty.com]
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2010 4:43 PM
To: Lara Johnstone
Subject: Re: Draft of Radical Honesty Const. Crt: Expert Witness Statement of Consent: Sign before Witness: Deadline: 22 May.

I printed it out after modifying it slightly but my goddamned printer ink ran out and I have to go buy more...Will get this done today.. brad

From: Gene Zhang | Sent: Wednesday May 26 2010 11:41 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** GENE ?? ZHANG
Sent: Wednesday, May 26, 2010 11:41 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 0] wikipedia article

"At a [Moth](#) Mainstage event in 2009, radio producer and writer [Starlee Kine](#) related her experience with Radical Honesty, which she labelled a cult. Kine described a seminar where Blanton was verbally abusive and at one point urged her to sign a contract to obey him completely for the duration of the seminar.^[5]"

source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radical_Honesty

Anything we wanna add to that? Brad?

From: Brad Blanton | **Sent:** Thursday May 27 2010 7:08 AM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Brad Blanton
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 7:08 AM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 680] wikipedia article

You could say it is a total fucking lie and that she is fucking crazy. brad

From: Gene Zhang | **Sent:** Thursday May 27 2010 8:26 AM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** GENE ?? ZHANG
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 8:26 AM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 681] wikipedia article

hehe, why not. alright, i'll make that amendment on wikipedia. anyone else there in that same workshop with starlee have any comments?

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** Thursday May 27 2010 10:49 AM

From: Lara Johnstone [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 10:49 AM
To: 'radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com'
Subject: RE: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 681] wikipedia article

Personally, sounds to me like Ms. Starlee Kine is a person whose definition of 'verbal abuse' is 'someone who told me the truth about myself, that I (my mind/ego) was fucking petrified to confront as 'the truth about myself''

What did she say Brad said to her, that was 'verbally abusive'?

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: Thursday May 27 2010 10:54 AM

From: Lara Johnstone [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 10:54 AM
To: 'radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com'
Subject: RE: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 681] wikipedia article: Ms Starlee's RH Podcast

You can listen to her moth podcast at:
<http://castroller.com/podcasts/TheMothPodcast/1507946-Starlee%20Kine%20Radical%20Honesty>

From: Dan Treecraft | Sent: Friday May 28 2010 6:17 AM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Dan Treecraft
Sent: Friday, May 28, 2010 6:17 AM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 684] wikipedia article: Ms Starlee's RH Podcast

Hay Radicals,

Anybody in the neighborhood on Saturday, June 5th --- if you think coming to a (pre-need) *wake* with 3 kegs of good local beer & a booty-shakin' band fits you schedule & sensibilities, here's your invite.

..... Greetings, Dear Friends & Fellow Travelers,
This is to notify you of my anticipated demise.
Though no precise date has yet been determined for my departure, we have arrived at a date certain for the following event.

“Poor Dan Treecraft [For Whom the Bell Tolls]

(pre-need) Wake, Roast & Sock Hop”

WHEN? Saturday, June 5th, 2010 (Go look at your calendar, now!) Saturday, June 5th, 2010

2 PM till 5 PM... “Open House”

5 PM till 6 PM... “Speeches”

6 PM till 9 PM... La Cubana Salsa Bando

Grando: “Milonga!”

9 PM till 10 PM... cops close down joint & Dan’s three or four true friends clean up, so it looks good again.

WHERE? 1026 South Perry (10th & Perry, brick bldg, just north of the “Lorien” windmill)

DO WHAT? Visiting, eating, laughing, drinking, confessing, crying, eating, singing, weeping, lying, arguing, dancing, reminiscing, weeping, drinking, weeping, weeping, weeping

WHAT ELSE? POTLUCK (bring some food - or not), Local Micro-Ale, Chilled Sugar-Water, Fine Wines

(if anybody brings), Photo Ops, Pictures, Written Wise Sayings, Speeches, Rebuttals, Etc....

WHY?? THE FACT: Old Dan [for whom the bell tolls] ain't gonna get much older (some time soon). He figures there could still be some folks around who might find something "good" to say about him. He'd hate like hell to miss out on hearing them say it. Figures it ought to be said while he's still around to savor it. Also, if anyone was to offer to say something "true" about (for whom the bell tolls), it would be unfair for them to say it, without the one concerned having a reasonable opportunity to dispute it.

WHY ELSE? The "One Concerned" thought it a fine idea to go out with a big, loud, boisterous party. 'Cause, for a long time, & lately, it seems like too much talent, treasure, money, resources - gets spent on "medical care" for dying folks --- and way-too-little gets spent on parties and boisterous Cuban music. Way too much - versus - Way too little. A sign of not-good something.

NOTE: A serious consideration not to be overlooked: "THE END OF THE WORLD" is coming. Just since yesterday... it's exactly one day closer! This is just the sort of thing we philosophers think about.

WHAT ELSE? Perhaps, some folks with no other responsibilities might offer to help set up, do last minute go-

fer-ing, or even stay late, to help clean up the mess - left by the people with responsibilities. "The One Concerned" can't do it all by himself. Someone(s) have told him it's good to ask for help.

WHAT ELSE?? NO Gifts that ain't perishable. Flowers, photos & cash might be OK, if they're real & perishable.

"*Regrets*" may be sent, along with a short eulogy, neatly printed on the back of a \$100 check.

Food items should be suitable for - a Greek, Irish, Fijian, or Bulgarian wake, only.

QUESTIONS?? You can call Poor Old Dan, or Poor Sweet Jan, at 624-3730, or 999-1000.

"Volunteers" & Pot-Luck Counseling - call Rose Honsa, 747-3454 (daylight hours, please)

X...Dan..... (who else do you think?)

5/21/10

From: Brad Blanton | Sent: Thursday May 27 2010 11:16 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Brad Blanton

Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 11:16 PM

To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com

Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 685] wikipedia article: Ms Starlee's RH Podcast

Pretty much a waste of time. All distortions, lies and interpretations to fit a previously existing agenda she had. I kicked her out of the group, which she never mentions, because

she refused to keep her agreements. I never asked her to sign anything and I told her to get the fuck out and called her a bitch, a cunt and a few other things I forgot. I gave my best shot at getting through to her and it didn't work because she was never serious about doing the course, she just wanted shit for her book about how personal growth is a racket. Of course anyone who had any integrity could write such a book easily, there is plenty of bullshit out there, and some bullshit in the radical honesty cult too, but she was too fucked up to ever recognize it because of drowning in her own bullshit. She has been "trying" to finish the book she got an advance for for about 5 years now and she spent the advance years ago. At least she admits that. I feel sorry for her because she can't afford to question her own thesis and she is trapped in her mind and her social network, guilty and in distress and only performing among performers. She could end up committing suicide to prove she was right that therapy and personal growth work don't work and get vengeance on people she feels obligated to and to escape her own mind. It would be a classic case of killing the being so the judge could be right. I hope she doesn't. It would be great if she suddenly saw the light but there is little danger of it. brad

From: Brad Blanton | **Sent:** Monday May 31 2010 2:44 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Brad Blanton
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 2:44 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 686] wikipedia article: Ms Starlee's RH Podcast

Thankee Dan! I will be in Sweden but thinking of you. I think a Wake, Roast and Sock Hop is a better idea than a funeral, and I have always wanted to attend my own. Have a fine time. love, brad

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** Tuesday June 22 2010 9:17 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara Johnstone
Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2010 9:17 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 691] Insanity and Suppressed Anger on Steroids...

And hold onto your hats: "Seventy-one percent of Democrats view Republicans as uncivil, and 74 percent of Republicans view Democrats as uncivil." Some 58 percent of independents rate Republicans as uncivil, and 50 percent rate Democrats as uncivil.

Doesn't that sound like what these people really mean by 'uncivil' is someone who disagrees with me, or who has views which are different to mine, which I then categorize as 'uncivil'. ???

Talk about abstracting yourself into insanity... Whats wrong with:

"I resent the goddamn fucker cause he doesn't belong to my political party (tribe/race/ideology), which to me is my entire goddamn identity!"

Impolite pols may face doom

By [ROGER SIMON](#) | 6/22/10 4:39 AM EDT

Listen up, you morons: The United States has gotten less civil.

"Two out of three Americans consider a general lack of civility to be a major problem for the nation, and 72 percent think that poor behavior has gotten worse in recent years," according to a new study by Powell Tate/Weber Shandwick in partnership with KRC Research. (How did all of them get along? I wonder.)

And while incivility is a problem in virtually all walks of life, politicians may be particularly vulnerable: Some 83 percent of those polled said "people should not vote for candidates and politicians who are uncivil."

So nobody is going to win come November, I guess.

I was given an advance look at the study, which polled 1,003 U.S. adults April 20-23. When asked how they would describe the "general tone and level of civility" in the areas below, the results were:

Government/politics ... 72 percent uncivil

Traffic on roads/highways ... 69 percent

American public ... 61 percent

Talk radio ... 59 percent

High schools ... 59 percent

Hollywood celebrities ... 56 percent

Professional sports ... 54 percent

Television ... 52 percent

Blogs ... 51 percent

CEOs ... 49 percent

News reporters/commentators ... 48 percent

Social networking sites ... 43 percent

Companies/places of employment ... 40 percent

College/university campuses ... 40 percent

Advertising ... 39 percent

Twitter ... 35 percent

Friends/relatives ... 17 percent

Places of worship ... 14 percent

We, as a people, pay a price for the jerks among us: Nearly half of all Americans say they are “tuning out” of government and politics, 46 percent are tuning out of opinion pieces and editorials in the media, and 38 percent are tuning out of news coverage and reporting.

Some 75 percent of Americans believe uncivil companies should be boycotted, and more than 56 percent have already done so based on their own personal experiences.

And hold onto your hats: “Seventy-one percent of Democrats view Republicans as uncivil, and 74 percent of Republicans view Democrats as uncivil.” Some 58 percent of independents rate Republicans as uncivil, and 50 percent rate Democrats as uncivil.

Pam Jenkins, the president of Powell Tate/Weber Shandwick, sounded very civil on the phone. (Also, she said she would call me at 9 a.m., and she actually called me at 9 a.m.!)

I asked her to define incivility, and she said, “Rudeness and a lack of respect for those who have different points of view and who don’t share the same view of the world.”

Jenkins said it was interesting to her “that CEOs are seen as more uncivil than the companies themselves.”

As to the relatively high incivility rating of blogs (51 percent of those polled considered blogs uncivil and only 20 percent considered them civil), she said, “Discontented people can express their vitriol and can get attention from the media.”

She said Washington discourse has gotten worse. “I have three kids,” she said, “and when I was a kid, it was unimaginable that a member of Congress would call the president a liar.”

Some other findings of the survey:

- Baby boomers are the most likely to think civility is a problem.

- Three in four people say the financial crisis and recession have made the level of civility worse in this country.
- Incivility is tolerated in newspapers and magazines but much less on television and radio. “Few say they have canceled a subscription to a newspaper or magazine if their content was uncivil. In contrast, many say they have not watched or listened to a program because they were uncomfortable with its lack of civility,” the study found.
- Majorities believe “there is a role for all parties in improving civility, but primary responsibility rests with the general public and political leaders.” And you knew this was coming: “Looking forward, Americans expect civility to get worse rather than better.”

One more finding: 98.6 percent of all human beings find me extremely civil.

Don't believe that? Up yours.

Roger Simon is POLITICO's chief political columnist.

<http://www.politico.com/news/stories/0610/38824.html>

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: Saturday August 07 2010 11:53 AM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara Johnstone
Sent: Saturday, August 07, 2010 11:53 AM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 698] 'The horrible, unspeakable truth of war is that it's fun' - Journalist

When I read this -- I wondered if this man found Radical Honesty in his life that is empty and filled with political correctness, politeness and fake love, would he still need war for an adventure?

=====

'The horrible, unspeakable truth of war is that it's fun'

DAVID SMITH | JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA - Aug 07 2010 07:51

What drives journalists to risk their lives in Africa's most lethal war zones? What does it do to them when they witness unimaginable horrors and try to describe them? And should they go on dicing with death once they are married with children?

These were some of the issues I put to James Brabazon, a British war reporter and

filmmaker, when he visited Johannesburg last week to promote his new memoir, *My Friend the Mercenary*. The title refers to Nick du Toit, a South African who, along with former SAS officer Simon Mann, was jailed for his part in the shambolic coup attempt in Equatorial Guinea in 2004.

Brabazon was meant to film the coup and could have ended up in jail with them but for a last minute decision to pull out. Two years earlier, Du Toit had acted as his bodyguard when he was the sole journalist to film behind rebel lines during the Liberian civil war.

Sitting in a board room at Penguin Books, Brabazon (38) recounted to me how, like many young men, he set off to make his life extraordinary, inspired by the work of photojournalists Don McCullin and Robert Capa and the heroism of his own grandfathers.

An epiphany about his purpose came in a small town surrounded by Liberian government troops. He recalled: "The rebels had captured a prisoner of war, an astonishingly beautiful young man who had been stripped naked down to his underpants, a live flesh wound in his arm, his arms tied behind his back.

"The commanders were openly discussing whether they were going to kill him or not, so I started filming him on the basis that I assumed that would confer a degree of protection. Very naively, I thought once I filmed this man there was no way the rebels were going to kill him because I would be documenting a war crime.

"I think the prisoner thought that as well. At one point he gave me quite a wry smile and certainly did not let me out of his sight. Then as I was filming, just keeping a very steady simple shot on the guy, on the front line a dead rebel was carried back. The commander saw one of his own mates had just been killed, knocked the guy down and shot him, point blank range in the back.

"At that point I thought OK, this is a different set of rules. I walked over to the guy, still filming, and he was looking at me. He'd originally looked at me with a sense of relief and salvation, and now there was that recognition between us that that was just not true, that this was the end."

For Brabazon this was a turning point. He added: "What took me into that war wasn't particularly noble. It was about being a young man and having a rite of passage and having an 'Experience' with a capital 'E.' But I think what takes people into war and what keeps them there are usually two very different things. After seeing that man die, and other men and children die, I felt that actually I had a real responsibility to tell their story."

Western invention

And one of the important strands of that story was his realisation that the caricature of the bloodthirsty, drug-crazed African savage was a Western invention. Many of the rebels that Brabazon knew made immense personal sacrifices, losing friends and living on a handful of rice a day, while remaining dedicated to firm objectives that he says must be understood to achieve peace.

He said: "I watched people executing other people and then eating them. But the

thing that most disturbed me is that those people, once you've removed the trappings of war, are just people like you and me.

We share far more in common with the stereotype of the bloodthirsty African rebel than we have distinct from them. At the end of the day you look into the eyes of someone who's just executed a prisoner and what you're really seeing is yourself looking back."

But when, if ever, should a journalist intervene? Brabazon was confronted with a child in huge pain after being shot through the head, hundreds of miles from the nearest hospital. He recalled: "I came very close to giving that child an overdose of morphine because I looked at it thought, if this was a dog, we'd just shoot it, because you wouldn't let anyone live in this kind of pain. I stopped myself at the last moment mainly because there's a voice that speaks to you.

'It's fun'

"That is the crux of being at war. You operate outside of society.

"There's this great Bob Dylan quote, 'To live outside the law, you must be honest.' I say to my students, there are no rules, there are no laws, the only law is at the end of the day, when you look in the mirror, can you live with yourself? If you can, then you've done the right thing."

Despite such living nightmares it is often observed that, if not exactly enjoying war, both soldiers and reporters mourn its passionate intensity when it is gone, and maybe even yearn to go back. The protagonist in the Oscar-winning film *The Hurt Locker* finds family life and shopping grey in comparison to handling roadside bombs in Iraq.

Brabazon puts it: "The horrific, unspeakable truth of war is that it's fun. My grandfather said to me that war was the only environment in which men are allowed to love each other unconditionally, and I think the soldier's hankering after the battlefield is in large measure to do with that. It's a sense of belonging, of friendship, of kinship if you like that you don't find anywhere else in society. People often find that they belong in war because they suddenly find a connection with the common humanity of people around them."

He admitted that, returning from Liberia, it took a long time to readjust. "It ruined relationships. I really disappointed people. As a young man at the time I had just the most astonishingly beautiful girlfriend: a tall, bubbly, brilliantly intelligent, wonderfully sexy, rich, phenomenally good cook of a lover and a companion, and all I wanted to do was go back to war. That's not normal."

Brabazon went on that staring death in the face brings a "recalibration", but so does staring life in the face. "When my first child was born everything was recalibrated again and I saw the world very differently. When my second child was born ... I've watched them grow up. All of these experiences keep multiplying in their intensity and meaning. There's no end to the journey, it just changes."

In Liberia he was literally inches from death. Now, as a married father, would he take the same risks again? He replied: "There's nothing like that position to re-ask the

question, how much of this is a moral imperative and how much is ego? When my wife was pregnant with our first child I spent six months in a pit with American soldiers in Afghanistan, and when I came home she said, 'You're never doing that again. I don't care what you think your responsibilities are to the story, you have responsibilities at home.' And she's right.

"So would I go back to Liberia again now and do this trip over again right now, with these children? I'll be honest with you, I don't think I would. The only responsibility I had then was to the story, now I have people that depend on me to keep their body and soul together and it's a very different prospect. But I have been back to war since I had children and I will keep doing it." - guardian.co.uk © Guardian News and Media 2010

Source: Mail & Guardian Online

Web Address: <http://www.mg.co.za/article/2010-08-07-the-horrible-unspeakable-truth-of-war-is-that-its-fun>

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: Monday August 09 2010 5:05 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara Johnstone

Sent: Monday, August 09, 2010 5:05 PM


To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com

Subject: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 699] Unbelievable.... the insanity madness of political correctness!

Student Leaves Ham Sandwich on Lunch Table Near Muslims, Suspended for Hate Crime

A middle school student in Lewiston, Maine is being investigated by the police for a possible hate crime after he placed a bag containing a ham sandwich on a table where Somali students eat lunch. According to the school's superintendent, Leon Levesque, the student has been suspended, and more disciplinary action could follow pending the outcome of the investigation.

Muslims, who believe pork to be unclean and highly offensive, were reminded of a man who threw a pig's head into a mosque located in Lewiston last summer. "That ham sandwich in a bag where we couldn't even see might as well have been that pig's head," said one of the traumatized Somali students, "and that cafeteria might as well have been the most religious building for Muslims in the state of Maine."



According to Superintendent Levesque, "the school incident is being treated seriously as a hate incident." Police are currently investigating the matter alongside the Center for the Prevention of Hate Violence, who is also working with the school to create an anti-ham "response plan."

"We've got some work to do to turn this around and bring the school community back together again." Said Levesque, "These children have got to learn that ham is not a toy, and that there are consequences for being nonchalant about

where you put your sandwich."

"Placing ham where Muslim students were eating as an awful thing," said Stephen Wessler, the executive director of the Center for Prevention of Hate Violence. "It's extraordinarily hurtful and degrading. They probably felt like they were back in Mogadishu starving and being shot at. No child, Muslim or normal, should have to endure touching a ham sandwich."

Wessler continued, "incidents like this that involve degrading language or conduct are often said by the perpetrator as a joke. But unfortunately we don't live in a world where young children try to be funny, we live in a society in which these types of actions always escalate into violence against minorities."

"If people think insulting Muslims with ham is okay, more degrading acts will follow. The Jews had to go through the same thing when the Nazis would force-feed them bacon; do we really want our schools to become concentration camps?"

Added Levesque, "the incident does not reflect the moral values of the school staff and students. We need to take a look at this and review how a careless act is degrading and causes hurt to other people. All our students should feel welcome in our schools, knowing that they are safe from attacks with ham, bacon, porkchops, or any other delicious meat that comes from pigs."

According to Levesque, a letter has been sent home to parents to explain the incident and outline the school's response. The next step will be for Wessler to meet with the students to address the school's atmosphere, after which staff will discuss how to respond to future hate incidents, with emphasis on their prevention.

Said one of the students who witnessed the event, "I know the guy who put the sandwich there, he just wanted to be funny and see how those Somalis would react. I'm just glad that kid I beat up yesterday was white; I wouldn't want to be in that mess."

One of the victims, whose mother didn't want his name released, said "we didn't know what was in this bag. One of my friends reached inside it. It was a big ham steak. There were five of us at the table, all Somali. Right then, I could feel Allah condemning me to burn for eternity for being within a 6.2 meter radius of ham, so yeah, it was a hate crime."

The boy said that he felt better after several students apologized for the incident and said that the kids who did it were jerks, "but for the rest of my life when I remember middle school, this will pop up right away," the boy said, "it's like I'm back in Somalia being shot at all over again."

If you think this is just a bit ridiculous, you can call or write to the Superintendent of the school and let him know your feelings about it..... Personally, I think they 've taken this hate crime crap just a little too far..... These people live in a country that eats pig products, they need to get over themselves!!!! It's the friggin adults that dramatize everything..... Had they told the boy not to do it again and the muslims to go pray about it, then it would have been no big deal.....

Here is the info to contact the Superintendent.....

Leon Levesque Superintendent
(207)795-4100
superintendent@lewistonpublicschools.org

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** Wednesday September 01 2010 12:01 AM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara Johnstone

Sent: Wednesday, September 01, 2010 12:01 AM

To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com

Subject: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 704] Brad and All!!! Very interesting article... beyond left/right paradigm, et al!

INTERVIEW: SEBASTIAN ERNST RONIN OF THE RENAISSANCE PARTY OF NORTH AMERICA



by [Brett Stevens](#)

We're fortunate today to be speaking with Sebastian Ernst Ronin, head of the [Renaissance Party of North America](#). It, along with other tiny parties like it, represents a new political direction: a new take on the fundamentals of politics that have been around since the dawn of time. One of these tiny parties will eventually become a dominant force, if history repeats itself. So we're trying to look into the mind of one of the more creative and committed people in politics.

What's the connection between conservation and conservatism?

By "conservation" I take you to mean as it relates to ecological stewardship or some type of Green understanding. Conservation, as such, is a micro undertaking within a larger macro ecological whole.

The Green political movement, especially in NAmerica where it has never really gotten off the ground, is sucking wind. In some ways it is bleeding badly with how it is perceived by the public due to two events that happened last year. First, there was the attendance of Cem Ozdemir, Co-Leader of the German Greens, at Bilderberg '08. Secondly, there was the hit taken by the environmental movement with the blowback from Climategate and the related, and by now very obvious globalist scam/agenda as attached to Copenhagen. In a nutshell, Green political philosophy is a liberal redundancy and a false comfort, and has been, in general, captured by globalist interests and agenda. This is the position of the Renaissance Party of North America (RPN).

As this relates to socio-political conservatism it is fat with latency and opportunity. Since the inception of Green parties, they have been identified, rightly, with the Left. In Germany, Greens are called "watermelons" i.e. green on the outside and red on the inside. Taken at its core value, conservation cannot help but be conservative as a political philosophy. What could be more conservative than living within one's own means, being accountable for one's direct relationship with their environment, distinguishing between needs and desires as these relate to consumer choices, etc? In Canada, the core, historical principles of decentralization and bioregionalism have been purged from Green dialogue by the liberal centrist and statist federal party. It stands to reason, does it not? As the historical pendulum of liberalism maxes out, and we are pressed up against Post-Peak Oil ecological realities, the notion of conservation/ecological stewardship will fall

into the conservative camp. The RPN has identified the philosophy of Archeofuturism as a reasonable guide to point us towards a post-Green political option. The Green political movement is now philosophically bankrupt. Greens, Earth-Firsters and soft environmentalists will be looking for a new political home. White Nationalists will be looking to ecological guidelines to compliment the management of new homelands.

When you say "New Right," what does that mean?

First of all, it is necessary to include the qualifier of "European" to "New Right." If the notion of New Right is just left dangling as such, we see all types of lame attempts at re-inventing the Right from a loaded, i.e. American, perspective. Such is nonsense, and falls short of breaking through to any new ground. The end result is an effort to tag a sexy dimension onto the Teabaggers, Constitutionals, Three Percenters, States' Rights, White Nationalist organizations, as examples, when in effect what ends up being re-packaged is a nostalgic, ergo reactionary, look at the past for determining political action in the present. The Empire is toast; looking backwards is not an option.

European New Right (ENR) philosophy, or at least the core that we have chosen to rely on, stems primarily from the work of Alain de Benoist and Guillaume Faye. The socio-political notion of Archeofuturism noted above stems from the work of Faye. From an ecological perspective, I would also include the work of Pentti Linkola in this category. From de Benoist, the RPN has laid out the political map of Center/Periphery as opposed to Left/Right.

One of the great benefits to come out of 9/11, an unforeseen one by the globalists I might add, is the whole questioning of Left/Right politics. A decade ago for a significant percentage of the public to have been thinking in terms of "the Left/Right paradigm is dead" would have been unthinkable. This vacuum in the political psyche does nothing but grow on a daily basis and, as we all know, nature abhors a vacuum. The RPN has identified it and brought it into play. The bulk of the Left is as politically insipid as is the bulk of the Right; one camp of useful idiots negates another. There evolves a thin demographic sliver where the two spheres overlap, creating a target wedge, a vesica piscis. Relative to each of the Left and Right, this wedge consists of their own outliers, radicals, free thinkers, contrarians, etc. A true identification of the radical Center automatically designates its relative Periphery; there can be no center without a periphery. In short, there will be the political movement as identified and carried by the New Center...and all else as swept to the dust bin and redundancies of the Periphery.

Is there a link between conservatism and Traditionalism?

I think this question has already been partially answered above. However, as it directly relates to, say, family values, yes there is. At bare minimum, the conservative starting point would have to

be "paleo" as opposed to "neo." For us, this "link" as you call it, becomes somewhat self-evident as soon as one realizes that we live in an upside-down world, most of it created and delivered by a neo-liberal and globalist agenda, i.e. social engineering. The greatest poison within this social engineering is likely the ideology of Political Correctness; everyone is dragged down to the level of the lowest common denominator and the condition is labeled with "equality" and "democracy." The non-egalitarian practice of affirmative action is labeled as fair. The integrity of the individual is sacrificed for and through mass conditioning. George Orwell must be smiling in his grave. To counter, again given the simple example of family values, we believe heterosexual marriage and a stable family unit are the bedrock of any healthy society while recognizing the civic rights of alternative gender identities and sexual lifestyles. We maintain that heterosexual matrimony is "holy" due to the ability of a man and a woman to spark the creation of life, to reproduce, to further the genetic line.

The RPN has identified as traitorous to the Occidental world the ideologies of institutionalized, legally enshrined and enforced Political Correctness, Multiculturalism and Feminism.

Why do you think green and new right are convergent?

Human values are a reflection and extension of physical reality. If we are at the apex of industrial civilization, then it only stands to reason that there will be a corresponding degree of decadence, confusion, effeminate conduct, etc. This is standard at the end-times of any civilization; witness political correctness.

The glove is about to be turned inside-out. We maintain that within the current century the NAmerican economy will resort to an agrarian economy, supported by small secondary industry, as existed prior to advent of industrialization. With it will come the family farm and the corresponding division of labor. Birth rates will once again rise to meet the new division of labor. It does not mean that advances made by women will be chucked. Those advances, as all other advances made for the growth of the individual, will of course be retained...but within a very new and demanding physical world. From a cybernetic perspective, the given environment never hints at what need be done; it always dictates. If one has the capacity to observe and follow through on the dictates is another matter.

You mention peak oil and the collapse of industrial civilization as triggers to a new era in which conservative politics will dominate. Why is that?

Again, as has already been insinuated, a harsh world of social deprivation and hardship cannot realistically support what we have come to know as liberal values and conduct. It's just not feasible. Many of our self-centered illusions as to what constitutes a "good life" will go the way of the dodo bird. Let's be brutally honest here: the challenges of survival are inherently conservative;

there is scant liberal twaddle about such challenges. Needs will trump desires; me-me-me will be displaced by us-us-us, while retaining the value of the individual as is part of our Western heritage. A more effective balance and harmony between individual and society is what is hoped for. The traditional becomes the radical.

What do you think is the major failing of the current political system?

I'm glad you qualified that question with "major" or else we could be here all day. Allow me to expand to two major failings. Firstly, of all our Occidental institutions, ranging from the cultural, to the scientific, to the arts, to technology, the institution of politics is a stunted cripple; it has just not kept pace. Now this may be due to the very core nature of politics, i.e. who rules. In other words, it has just not been in the best interests of the financial ruling elite to allow the institution to evolve at an equal pace with the rest of our institutions. If the current political system attracts, for the most part, nothing but scumbags, then we need to look at what is wrong with the system, not so much with what is wrong with the individuals who are attracted to it. It is part of our topsy-turvy world. A calling that should be anchored in service to the people is no more than a scam to receive obscene levels of compensation lifted out of the pockets of the people. On a personal level, I find the challenge to always be a double-edged knife. On the one hand, politics is the crassest of undertakings, while on the other hand it is the highest calling. An honest effort at the latter, at the very least, tends to keep the former in check.

Secondly, if we are talking about federal politics, the political jurisdiction that is being governed is simply too large; by virtue of its size it invites mismanagement and corruption. Related to the physical size of the jurisdiction is the size of population. At a particular size of population, and it varies from polity to polity, a society cannot help but go fascist. The outcome is hot-wired so-to-speak; bureaucratic entropy is programmed in. Downsizing to more manageable political units is the only safeguard to maintain not only reasonable democratic structures, but more importantly, reasonable democratic practices.

Plato, writing in *The Republic*, suggested that civilizations go through a cycle of aristocracy, timarchy, oligarchy, democracy and tyranny. Do you agree, and if so, which stage do you find optimum? Which stage are we in now?

Yes, I would tend to agree, but those philosophical terms can be simplified to life-cycle terms. These would be birth, growth, full maturation, decline, death; as for an individual, so for a civilization. As such, I would say that we are at the last stage, that of tyranny/death. Need there be tyranny associated with death? No. But that would depend on how the life has been lived and how one views and/or understands death. If death is viewed in negative terms, for lack of a better description, then all sorts of frantic, "tyrannical" clutching will accompany this stage. If, on the

other hand, death is viewed as a transition stage or, better yet, as an extension of life, then there is no need for "tyrannical" clutching onto the past.

Keeping with the cycle that you have identified, and as complimented by me, it stands to reason that the next stage will again be birth/aristocracy. This is how we view the current century, one of transition, of birth. The RPN has even gone to the extent of openly declaring the type of "aristocratic" personalities that are called upon to initiate the next cycle. We own unconditionally the spiritual and moral responsibilities that are bestowed upon a Warrior-Amazon political elite to assertively, yet humbly, agitate and educate with clarity, integrity and common sense. This is nothing to be shocked about; it is standard for pioneer personalities, those prepared to assume high levels of risk.

It seems there has been a magic line in politics where anything to the right of moderate has become "far right" and even our conservative politicians seem liberal in ideals. Why do you think this is?

While excluding the general corruption of our political institutions and the self-serving motives of those who purport to work on behalf of the people, the answer to that question is quite simple: all Western political philosophy is merely an extension of 19th century liberal political philosophy, i.e. the dregs of the Age of Reason. Sure, there has been some tinkering on both the Left and the Right, but nothing of any great substance nor significance. Why this is so becomes somewhat obvious the instant one perceives liberal political philosophy as being synonymous with, and directly overlaid with, the Industrial Era. As example, let's cite the worn capitalist/socialist debate: Who owns the means of production is secondary to the means of production itself. And the global means of production for the last two centuries or so has been industrial. That is the bubble that is about to burst. Terrestrial life is dependent on the transference of energy, all of it in its myriad of differing media, originating from the sun. A political philosophy for the Post-Peak Oil era has not yet been written. As yet, we poke around inside the Platonic cave with dull sticks.

I would strongly urge readers of this interview to read Thomas Kuhn's "The Structure of Scientific Revolutions" published in 1962. It was Kuhn who coined the term "paradigm shift." This latter term has been somewhat bastardized by marketers introducing new flavors of bubble gum and workshop facilitators engaging people to discover their inner-child. A paradigm shift literally means a transition onto and into a new world. Kuhn gives the example of putting on a pair of inverting lenses: the phenomena of the world largely remain the same, but it is our perception of it that gets turned on its head. We knock on the door of this new perception. Once perceived, then and only then, can we alter our conduct within it. For a discussion of politics that would imply the creation of new social institutions to meet the demands of the new world and who governs within that world.

What have been the failings of far-right politics up until this time? Of mainstream right politics?

The individuals who represent so-called "far-right politics" are, by and large, clueless as to what lies on the horizon and what is at stake. The blind lead the blind. No more need be said.

If you were elected President of the ol' USA tomorrow, what changes would you make? How would you go about politically maneuvering to make those changes happen?

Whoaaaa! Let's back up and give this question some context. First of all, I am a Canadian, born in Europe. I guess that makes me a real "Euro-Canadian." Due to age and legal restrictions, I can never fill this hypothesis. However, as a continentalist and secessionist, I can address it. Secondly, the window of opportunity that the RPN has identified ranges from now to 2030, give or take. That means that the American who is to fit into this hypothetical fantasy is yet a college student; the core revolutionaries are yet mere toddlers. Let me address from your second question backwards to the first.

On the very flimsiest of terrain, we are maneuvering as we speak. The only presence that the RPN has is a Facebook presence. (Readers: Please search "renaissance" on Facebook.) Facebook serves as a handy tool for doing cyber probes, some crude demographic analyses and some even cruder political organizing. A web site is pending. We have a Mission Statement to work with which will serve as a foundation for policy development. Our maneuvering, over the next 2-3 years, will be at a handful of targeted regions on the continent that are most developed with secessionist identities and organizational infrastructures. The RPN, as currently perceived, is to act as the federal counterpart to state and provincial secessionist movements/parties. If it doesn't happen at the grassroots, then it doesn't happen. RPN strength, within the federal context, will always likely be limited to that of a well-organized rump to hit-and-run, mix it up as parliamentary guerrillas. Because we are dealing with two separate, national jurisdictions there will need to be eventually an RPN (United States) and an RPN (Canada) but, and this is an important but, with common constitutions and by-laws.

So let's fast forward 20 years. Let's say hypothetically a Republicrat president is pushed across the line with coalition support from the RPN. In other words, the RPN finds itself as kingmaker. Legislation would be produced to begin the dismantling of the American nation-state. A similar scenario is underway in Canada. Both industrial nation-states could be dismantled into anywhere from several to a dozen new countries on the continent by mid-century. Why? Because the current physical, institutional and bureaucratic monstrosities cannot be sustained within the physical and institutional meltdown of Post-Peak Oil.

What do you think is the role of the media in politics today, and what should its ideal role be?

The mainstream media today is an indispensable element of political interest and lobby groups and their political representatives. It is a fifth column. Its role is what it has always been: to shape public opinion. This institutional role of the media has become so obvious and has become so accepted by the public, that it does not even warrant any longer a status of subterfuge or conspiracy. People simply know. Largely this has come about by the spread of the Internet to counter the MSM. Its role should be to be impartial and contribute towards the creation of an informed public. "Informed" is not the problem. The problem is informed with what, why and for whose benefit.

What has the RPN done so far, and what are its future aims?

The RPN was "founded", if you will, on December 10, 2009 with the inheritance of a small Facebook group. We have just passed the completion of our first quarter of existence. We started off with about 140 members, of whom I would say we still have half. The other half couldn't live with the shift of the group's philosophy, which is fair enough. We currently sit at 330 members. It will never be a Facebook giant, but who wants it to be? Floating beneath the radar for the time being serves us just right.

We have created an Executive Council that reflects a wide scope of political philosophies, so on that count we hold to a core principle: it is in keeping with the creation of a hybrid political entity consisting of several strands. We meet online twice-monthly. Any hard action is proposed via a motion with a vote. The effort is to be as professional as possible. We have also gone out of our way to invite younger members to the Council. This thing that is being created will one day be theirs. Their eagerness is welcome; the lack of corporate and political experience on the other hand is a slight weight, but not a hindrance.

We have gone through a first crisis. This crisis was the cancellation of Nick Griffin's presentation at Kenyon College. The young man who had arranged this and then backed out was Taylor Somers, who at that time was the RPN Vice-Chairman. The RPN Council, unlike many in the White Nationalist community, stood by Mr. Somers. A semi-plot that played itself out during this crisis was the further development of the RPN's identity vis-à-vis the rest of the WN community. It was around this time that we began to lean towards a political identity of Occidental Nationalism. The WN community is rife with factions; this is common knowledge. We are merely one of those factions.

We have sponsored the creation of a Facebook group to launch a petition against the French government's policy of official miscegenation and unofficial White genocide. We considered

launching a similar petition to boycott the World Cup in South Africa but, due to time and logistics restraints, pulled back.

On behalf of the RPN, I attended the ill-plagued American Renaissance Conference in February. Relative to the unconscionable tactics unleashed by certain Lefties, it was a minor miracle that the event even took place. On a two-day's notice and request for donations from the RPN membership, enough was committed to make the trip possible. I see this as possibly our greatest victory of the first quarter, an internal victory for ourselves that is no one else's business. Based only on a written Mission Statement, members made a financial contribution towards the Party. I was deeply touched and I am grateful for this endorsement by the membership that we are at the very least pointed in the right direction.

Lastly, the quarter was topped off with the creation of a new logo for the Party that captures the spirit of the Mission Statement. Next up for us is the establishment of an Executive Committee structure which shall be focused on pulling together a founding conference, tentatively slated for October. The next several months of recruitment and organizing will be crucial.

At this time, the three major political demographics to be pulled together (Peak Oilers, Secessionists, White Nationalists) are yet three ships blindly passing each other in the night. Relative to the dominant political parties in both the United States and Canada, each demographic is a pariah, not welcomed, a freak. Yet each represents a significant proportion of support amongst the public and is state-of-the-art thinking. The only things keeping these three demographics from coming together are firstly, the perception that such is the politically timely and necessary thing to do and, secondly, the vanity and arrogance of their respective leaders to not do so. They have an option, I would suggest: either come together and forge a legitimate, contemporary political voice and force or remain gorged and politically impotent upon the hooks of their own short-sightedness. I further suggest that the common tent inside which we meet, sit ourselves down at the table, and bang heads is the RPN; the RPN is the broker. If Peak Oilers/Greens and liberal Secessionists are squeamish about sitting down at the table with Occidental Nationalists, all they have to do is look in the mirror: they are already onside. If they are prepared to cop to that is secondary and beside the point. If we do not safeguard and re-invent the Occident, then any and all progressive endeavors are for naught.

Can you summarize the RPN's beliefs for our readers?

The RPN's major philosophical premise is that we are about to descend onto the Post-Peak Oil energy curve. Both the United States and Canada, as social institutions, are creations of the Industrial Age. Neither can exist without the cheap and abundant oxygen supply of energy, in particular, the energy of fossil fuels. They must collapse, and they will collapse.

The transition to the Post-Peak Oil era will herald the implosion of the industrial nation-state, i.e. secession-by-default, and the consequent and parallel empowerment of the North American Secessionist Movement. The collapse of the institutional infrastructure will be a consequence of the collapse of the physical, i.e. energy, infrastructure. Socio-political collapse will usher in the rise of the North American Occidental Nationalist Movement as the historically positioned and privileged social demographic to initiate, develop and carry the North American Secessionist Movement. The Occidental Nationalist Movement, unto itself, is not a driver; it is the preordained social agent to oversee the dismantling of the two historically redundant industrial nation-states.

Without the socio-political crisis and related die-off of a Post-Peak Oil world, the odds of the Occident surviving are negligible. The situations in Europe and South Africa are critical; in NAmerica they are about to become critical. When taking an objective, non-emotional look at the global racial breakdown (White at 12 percent and shrinking; down from 24 percent of total population in 1950 and, at current rates, projected to comprise two percent at century's end), the bewildered question of, "Why should the political initiative in the Occident assume a racist priority?" becomes somewhat moot and redundant. The real question becomes: "How can it not?" So a Post-Peak Oil world is our friend. Race takes a secondary and dependent seat to the scientific Laws of Thermodynamics. The socio-political dictates of entropy, not those of racial determination, rule. The latter must adapt to the former. Every historical driver requires a social agent; every social agent requires an historical driver. The two are inter-dependent. Each requires each.

Only with the level playing field of a Post-Peak Oil world can its peoples, of all races, stand any chance to counter the globalist agenda of one-world government.

We cannot seem, as a species, to escape divisions of race/ethnicity, religion, class and political orientation. Why do you think this is? Is there a solution for us all "getting along"?

The only solution that I can see is to truly honor our differences, rather than falsely attempting to meld them under a misguided and politically-loaded mandate of multiculturalism. People are most comfortable with their own kind. There is no racist disgrace in owning this simple truism. The outer extreme of secessionist philosophy recognizes this truth. Hypothetically, this may translate into the creation of not only several new White homelands on the continent, but also new homelands for Native peoples, Latinos and Negroes. Negotiating such geopolitical re-inventions could fall to an RPN-backed government, as noted previously. Personally, I maintain that any attempt towards such re-invention to avert the spilling of even one drop of blood is well worth the effort. We shall have to wait and see how things unfold.

As for religious differences, that is a hornet's nest. The situation in Europe is more acutely related to the onslaught of Islam. Such is their struggle. In NAmerica there always lies the possibility of a reactionary backlash from the Religious Right. Hypothetically, the autonomous nations of Texas and Dixie should be able to contain and defuse that pressure. That is the whole point of new polities: what's right for some is not right for others. But I must say that I do find it at times very disconcerting to find myself allied to some people who still think the Earth is only 6,000 years old and that the sun revolves around it. Such is life.

What do you think humanity's long-term goals should be?

Survival, period. Without that little else can happen. Outside of that, I have no interest in the notion of "humanity." Some would argue that the notion of "humanity" is a globalist construct, devised to suit globalist purposes.

Globalization is dead in the water. With economic protectionism and self-sufficiency will come racial and cultural protectionism and self-sufficiency.

For myself, I can only be responsible to and accountable for the immediate bioregion that is my home, the place where I make a stand, the place that molds my outlook on the world. Some regions will do better at survival than others. Lifeboat politics on a global scale will not be pretty. I would imagine that, through a combination of technocratic cull and ecological purge, the planet's population will be reduced by 3-5 billion by century's end. What will be left will still constitute the whole of "humanity" but I would say that we cannot even imagine what it will be like. It is simply an impossibility for anyone to deal with the challenges that lie at century's end; it is none of our business. What we in the present can do is to begin the laying of a political foundation to ensure that our children's children's children at the very least have a fair shot to carry on and to crawl through this transition stage in order to begin again.

Do you see yourself as a unique political movement, or part of a groundswell? Could the pendulum of history be swinging back the other way?

In all honesty, I see the RPN straddling both. Our greatest ally is the pending condition. Everything we currently do is an effort to pre-empt this condition, from our positioning within the Peak Oil-WN-Secessionist communities to the anticipation of our target demographic and support falling into our laps like an overripe fruit dropping from its branch. It is important to win first, and fight later.

Could the pendulum of history be swinging back the other way? Well, of course. The pendulum has maxed out; it must begin to swing back. And I can assure you, it will swing back with the equal force, truth and integrity with which it has been programmed, in equal and direct proportion.

History is never linear; it is always cyclical. We find ourselves in the last days of Rome. What a fascinating and truly humbling time to be alive. Hang on. We are in for one helluva ride.

<http://www.renaissanceparty.org/?p=1>

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** Thursday September 02 2010 1:09 AM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara Johnstone
Sent: Thursday, September 02, 2010 1:09 AM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 705] James Jay Lee's Discovery Save the Planet Eco-Terrorist Manifesto -- sounds good candidate for RH KKKanibalism! ;-)

He is a fan of Ishmael, I can totally relate! ;-)

*

<http://www.savetheplanetprotest.com/>

The Discovery Channel **MUST** broadcast to the world their commitment to save the planet and to do the following **IMMEDIATELY**:

1. The Discovery Channel and it's affiliate channels **MUST** have daily television programs at prime time slots based on Daniel Quinn's "My Ishmael" pages 207-212 where solutions to save the planet would be done in the same way as the Industrial Revolution was done, by people building on each other's inventive ideas. Focus must be given on how people can live **WITHOUT** giving birth to more filthy human children since those new additions continue pollution and are pollution. A game show format contest would be in order. Perhaps also forums of leading scientists who understand and agree with the Malthus-Darwin science and the problem of human overpopulation. Do both. Do all until something **WORKS** and the natural world starts improving and human civilization building **STOPS** and is reversed! **MAKE IT INTERESTING SO PEOPLE WATCH AND APPLY SOLUTIONS!!!!**
2. All programs on Discovery Health-TLC must stop encouraging the birth of any more parasitic human infants and the false heroics behind those actions. In those programs' places, programs encouraging human sterilization and infertility must be pushed. All former pro-birth programs must now push in the direction of stopping human birth, not encouraging it.

3. All programs promoting War and the technology behind those must cease. There is no sense in advertising weapons of mass-destruction anymore. Instead, talk about ways to disassemble civilization and concentrate the message in finding SOLUTIONS to solving global military mechanized conflict. Again, solutions solutions instead of just repeating the same old wars with newer weapons. Also, keep out the fraudulent peace movements. They are liars and fakes and had no real intention of ending the wars. **ALL OF THEM ARE FAKE!** On one hand, they claim they want the wars to end, on the other, they are demanding the human population increase. World War II had 2 Billion humans and after that war, the people decided that tripling the population would assure peace. **WTF??? STUPIDITY! MORE HUMANS EQUALS MORE WAR!**

4. Civilization must be exposed for the filth it is. That, and all its disgusting religious-cultural roots and greed. Broadcast this message until the pollution in the planet is reversed and the human population goes down! This is your obligation. If you think it isn't, then get hell off the planet! Breathe Oil! It is the moral obligation of everyone living otherwise what good are they??

5. Immigration: Programs must be developed to find solutions to stopping ALL immigration pollution and the anchor baby filth that follows that. Find solutions to stopping it. Call for people in the world to develop solutions to stop it completely and permanently. Find solutions FOR these countries so they stop sending their breeding populations to the US and the world to seek jobs and therefore breed more unwanted pollution babies. **FIND SOLUTIONS FOR THEM TO STOP THEIR HUMAN GROWTH AND THE EXPORTATION OF THAT DISGUSTING FILTH!** (The first world is feeding the population growth of the Third World and those human families are going to where the food is! They must stop procreating new humans looking for nonexistent jobs!)

6. Find solutions for Global Warming, Automotive pollution, International Trade, factory pollution, and the whole blasted human economy. Find ways so that people don't build more housing pollution which destroys the environment to make way for more human filth! Find solutions so that people stop breeding as well as stopping using Oil in order to **REVERSE** Global warming and the destruction of the planet!

7. Develop shows that mention the Malthusian sciences about how food production leads to the overpopulation of the Human race. Talk about Evolution. Talk about Malthus and Darwin until it sinks into the stupid people's brains until they get it!!

8. Saving the Planet means saving what's left of the non-human Wildlife by decreasing the Human population. That means stopping the human race from breeding any more disgusting human babies! You're the media, you can reach enough people. It's your responsibility because you reach so many minds!!!

9. Develop shows that will correct and dismantle the dangerous US world economy. Find solutions for their disasterous Ponzi-Casino economy before they take the world to another nuclear war.

10. Stop all shows glorifying human birthing on all your channels and on TLC. Stop Future Weapons shows or replace the dialogue condemning the people behind these developments so that the shows become exposes rather than advertisements of Arms sales and development!

11. You're also going to find solutions for unemployment and housing. All these unemployed people makes me think the US is headed toward more war.

Humans are the most destructive, filthy, pollutive creatures around and are wrecking what's left of the planet with their false morals and breeding culture.

For every human born, ACRES of wildlife forests must be turned into farmland in order to feed that new addition over the course of 60 to 100 YEARS of that new human's lifespan! THIS IS AT THE EXPENSE OF THE FOREST CREATURES!!!! All human procreation and farming must cease!

It is the responsibility of everyone to preserve the planet they live on by not breeding any more children who will continue their filthy practices. Children represent FUTURE catastrophic pollution whereas their parents are current pollution. NO MORE BABIES! Population growth is a real crisis. Even one child born in the US will use 30 to a thousand times more resources than a Third World child. It's like a couple are having 30 babies even though it's just one! If the US goes in this direction maybe other countries will too!

Also, war must be halted. Not because it's morally wrong, but because of the catastrophic environmental damage modern weapons cause to other creatures. FIND SOLUTIONS JUST LIKE THE BOOK SAYS! Humans are supposed to be inventive. INVENT, DAMN YOU!!

The world needs TV shows that DEVELOP solutions to the problems that humans are causing, not stupify the people into destroying the world. Not encouraging them to breed more environmentally harmful humans.

Saving the environment and the remaning species diversity of the planet is now your mindset. Nothing is more important than saving them. The Lions, Tigers, Giraffes, Elephants, Froggies, Turtles, Apes, Raccoons, Beetles, Ants, Sharks, Bears, and, of course, the Squirrels.

The humans? The planet does not need humans.

You MUST KNOW the human population is behind all the pollution and problems in the world, and YET you encourage the exact opposite instead of discouraging human growth and procreation. Surely you MUST ALREADY KNOW this!

I want Discovery Communications to broadcast on their channels to the world their new program lineup and I want proof they are doing so. I want the new shows started by asking the public for inventive solution ideas to save the planet and the remaining wildlife on it.

These are the demands and sayings of Lee.

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: Saturday September 11 2010 4:25 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara Johnstone
Sent: Saturday, September 11, 2010 4:25 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 707] The Marketing of Madness - Are We All Insane?

Hi All,

Interesting documentary:

The Marketing of Madness - Are We All Insane?

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2Mjiltwly7M>

About Psychiatric Profession's Corruption, by diagnosing common emotional problems as types of mental illness, which require medication.

Lara

From: Rick Tate | Sent: Saturday September 11 2010 5:00 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Rick Tate
Sent: Saturday, September 11, 2010 5:00 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 708] The Marketing of Madness - Are We All Insane?

Wow. Thanks, Lara.

A thought I had watching was "I wonder if Scientology has something to do w/ this?" Pharma companies often hire stunningly beautiful women as reps.

How's the legal entanglement going?

RAT

From: Brad Blanton | Sent: Saturday September 11 2010 6:55 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Brad Blanton
Sent: Saturday, September 11, 2010 6:55 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Cc: bb4c@googlegroups.com
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 709] The Marketing of Madness - Are We All Insane?

Yep. that is good. Brad

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** Saturday September 11 2010 10:37 PM

From: Lara Johnstone [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Saturday, September 11, 2010 10:37 PM
To: 'radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com'
Subject: RE: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 708] Response to Rick - Radical Honesty SA Amicus

Hey Rick! ;-)

Glad you liked, or found interesting.

Legal entanglement is going slow as they always do. The Amicus in support of a Radical Honesty Population Policy Common Sense Interpretation of the Promotion of National Unity and Reconciliation (TRC) Act (shorted to Radical Honesty SA Amicus) was filed on 18 July. The hearing before the Concourt is scheduled for 27 September. There have been no filings, from either the Applicant or Respondent opposing or supporting any argument in the Radical Honesty SA Amicus. Me thinks it's a minefield they are preferring to walk delicately over and pretend does not exist! ;-)
Sort of like some of my family at family gatherings with me! He he!

Anyway the hearing will be on 27 September (or its scheduled for then, at moment, may be changed). I did not request to make any verbal argument; I simply stated that if any of the Justice's had any questions, I'd be happy to answer them, but not going to attempt to drum it into their heads. They are able to read. Also Brad and Dr. Maher's expert witness affidavits are attached, for them to read. Brad's affidavit has links to many of his youtube documentaries, and so on.

After that hearing, they usually take a few months before they give their final verdict. So, I have no idea whether the Justice's shall also prefer to walk tenderly over the Radical Honesty SA Amicus and pretend it does not exist! ;-)

Shall have to wait and see! ☺

Hug

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** Friday September 17 2010 2:12 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara Johnstone
Sent: Friday, September 17, 2010 2:12 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com

Subject: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 712] Hilarious..... Freedom in prison! From Lawsuit Zeus, to "Patrick Ewing of Suing," "Johnny Sue-nami,"

Feds seek to halt inmate's frequent lawsuits

By BRETT BARROQUERE | Posted: Tuesday, September 14, 2010 2:55 pm | (3)
[Comments](#)

A federal inmate who has filed more than 3,800 lawsuits and targeted the famous, the infamous and even the long-dead is now being sued by federal officials who want him to knock it off.

Federal prosecutors who say they have had enough of the frivolous filings have filed a lawsuit of their own asking a judge to take unusual action to stop Jonathan Lee Riches.

Since 2006, Riches has filed lawsuits in nearly every jurisdiction in the country, court documents show. The inmate who dubbed himself "Lawsuit Zeus" in one of his thousands of court cases has filed up to four of his handwritten petitions a day in Kentucky courts alone.

The 33-year-old inmate at the federal prison in Lexington has sued New England Patriots coach Bill Belichick, former President George W. Bush, then-Atlanta Falcons quarterback Michael Vick and even Somali pirates. Sometimes, Riches asks for money, other times an injunction to stop alleged, if physically impossible, activity.

Among Riches' targets have been "Adolf Hitler's National Socialist Party," the ancient philosopher Plato, the celestial body formerly known as the planet Pluto and the Guinness Book of World Records.

In the Guinness case, he wanted to prevent himself from being dubbed the most litigious man in America.

"These phrases (i.e. "Patrick Ewing of Suing," "Johnny Sue-nami," etc ...) hurt my feelings and violate my civil rights. I've filed so many law suits with my pen and right hand that I got arthritis in my fingers, numbness in my wrists, crooked fingers, I got bags under my eyes for sleepless nights suing the world," Riches wrote in Riches v. the Guinness Book of Records.

Guinness spokeswoman Sara Wilcox said the book doesn't monitor litigious people and has no records concerning Riches. Like many of his other legal claims, the lawsuit was dismissed.

The U.S. Attorney's Office in Lexington calls Riches' lawsuits "a waste of judicial resources." The prosecutors' petition, filed Monday afternoon, seeks to allow the federal Bureau of Prisons to screen Riches' outgoing mail or to designate a federal court employee to determine if the legal filings are frivolous and stop the mail from being sent.

Legal mail from inmates usually is sent without a detailed inspection by prison personnel. Under the proposed injunction, any legal mail deemed legitimate would be forwarded to the appropriate court.

"We have had cases like this in the past," said Kyle Edelen, a spokesman for the U.S. Attorney's Office in Lexington. "Maybe not frequently, but they happen."

Bureau of Prisons officials didn't immediately respond to a request placed Tuesday to interview Riches.

Riches has been in prison nearly a decade after pleading guilty in Texas to using AOL e-mail to scam credit card numbers from online users. He's scheduled for release in March 2012.

He's passed the time behind bars suing people, places and things _ some of which aren't subject to lawsuits.

Riches has also attempted to intervene as a plaintiff in the Madoff investment scandal, claiming that he "met Bernard Madoff on eharmony.com in 2001" and taught Madoff identity theft skills.

Prosecutors have said that he sometimes lifts the identity of others to file his lawsuits, such as the one attributed to al-Qaeda leader Ayman Al-Zawahiri. In that one he sued the Bonnaroo Music & Arts Festival, the Dave Matthews Band and Stevie Wonder in an effort to halt the Tennessee music festival because he found the music offensive.

Case dismissed.

In a lawsuit filed in Kentucky, Riches accused Bush, his brother former Florida Gov. Jeb Bush and "Telephone Phreakers" of forming an alliance with al-Qaida.

"My mind is being hacked into by defendants," Riches wrote. "Defendants snuck into this prison and cloned my brain."

Dismissed again. A judge deemed it frivolous.

U.S. District Judge Patricia Fawcett in Orlando, Fla., in an opinion joined by four other judges, barred Riches from any more filings in her jurisdiction.

"Riches' vexatious filings waste court employees' time and interfere with the rights of honest people with legitimate grievances," Fawcett wrote in August 2008.

Riches responded with a flurry of filings in other federal courts, including the bankruptcy court in Florida.

http://www.stltoday.com/news/national/article_1c56e328-8c5b-5c39-932d-53ab9852de03.html#Scene_1

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: Saturday September 18 2010 2:26 PM

From: Lara Johnstone [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Saturday, September 18, 2010 2:26 PM
To: 'radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com'
Subject: Fuck Civilized existence if "Civilized existence is all about lying."! Heehaa, Freedom to be a savage!! ;-)

From someone who clearly ain't got a clue what Radical Honesty is about. I was trying to think of an analogy. Some person in South Africa sent me this article as someone who was an 'expert on Radical Honesty. So I was thinking that this woman's expertise about Radical Honesty is similar to me showing you a photo of Brads' limp penis, in a Radical Honesty Naked Video session and asking you whether sex with him was great. Because that is the level of enquiry this person has gone to. She has seen a tiny little box, and from that miniscule little box, drawn massive conclusions. And this she considers to be mature!

Lara

#####

Gepetto, Jiminy and the Blue Fairy

by Paul Wolf

I can tell a lie, and so can you. In fact, lying is often preferable to the truth. It's good manners to lie. It takes maturity to withhold the truth.

Myprimetime.com readers who have voiced their love of a good lie on our [discussion boards](#) agree. They think *Radical Honesty* author Brad Blanton is headed for a life with only the cold hard truth to keep him warm.

Blanton claims that we are all duty-bound to speak our minds at all times — not just for our own sake, but for the sake of everyone on the receiving end of our radical honesty, including the obese woman in front of you at the check-out line, or the co-worker with the grating voice.

"I recommend that you hurt people's feelings, but that you stay with them until they get over it," says Blanton.

Let's see how long your boss will stay with you after you've insulted his wife at the company party.

"Eventually this person is going to realize that they've hurt everyone they care about or like and they will be literally alone," writes myprimetime.com reader Riverdale.

No doubt, alienation is the eventual outcome of practicing pure truthfulness. Civilized existence is all about lying. Cooperation requires we swallow our pride, turn the other cheek, let things slide and let others have the last word.

"Children don't know how to hold their tongue and it is something they are taught as they get older as they learn restraint," says marriage and family therapist Cindy McCrea.

She explains that honesty dwells in a field of checks and balances. Speaking the truth is like any other action that has impact, and its impact must be weighed.

While Blanton makes a strong case that dishonesty can cause stress, muddy true communication and even hamper personal growth, his argument falls apart when he fails to distinguish between acceptable lying and unacceptable lying.

We tell acceptable lies every day, and are probably the better for it. We tell them when our agenda is more important than the truth. Like when Al Gore, in his first debate with George Bush, said he never questioned his rival's qualifications for president, "only his policies." Gore then went on to talk about Bush's policies.

Was it a lie? Of course. He *had* questioned Bush's qualifications. But he didn't want to waste his two minutes on name calling when the policy differences were more relevant to the occasion.

What about sex? Now there's something worth lying about from time to time. Take Elizabeth Hurley who recently recanted her quote in *Talk* magazine, the one in which she says that ex-lover Hugh Grant was "less than adequate" in bed.

No doubt realizing she'd crossed the line, Hurley came back saying he was "fantastic" in bed. Someone was lying. In that she never insisted she was misquoted, but only said that there was confusion in the interview, we're guessing Hurley's the one with her pants on fire.

http://www.myprimetime.com/health/stress_busters/content/honest0119/index.shtml