

GMC 4643-13 applicant/s correspondence to and
from or about Brad Blanton / Radical Honesty
aka Radical Hypocrisy community [[PDF](#)]

2012

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 02 January 2012 11:51 PM

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Monday, January 02, 2012 11:51 PM
To: 'Brad Blanton'
Cc: Taber Shadburne (taber@churchofsoul.org)
Subject: Request for Feedback: Brad and Taber

Brad

It is not true that I think that there is absolutely nothing wrong with you whatsoever Lara.

Please could you clarify - specifically -- what you think is wrong with me.

So, that I can focus on those issues and see what I can learn from them.

And one of them is how you lie about what I said.

When did I lie; and if (A) the lie was a lie (a fact which I misstated); and (B) it was a conscious intentional lie.

If the matter (that you consider to be a lie) is not an absolute fact, just two people's interpretations; is it not possible for two people to have different interpretations about any event or statement?

Taber: If you have any thoughts on the matter - think there is something wrong with me -- please could you give me that feedback.

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 05 January 2012 1:07 PM

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2012 1:07 PM
To: 'Brad Blanton'
Cc: Taber Shadburne (taber@churchofsoul.org); 'radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com'
Subject: RE: Request for Feedback: Brad and Taber

Brad,

My dad is scheduling an appointment for me to speak to some lady at their church, about my so-called 'mental illness' problems, that my two brothers (neither of whom has spent more than 5 minutes in a conversation with me in the past 10 years, about any issue of concern in my life) and you think I have.

Please could you clarify - specifically - what you think is wrong with me; and let me know by when you will provide me with this list of things that you think that is wrong with me.

If you are stating that what I have is a so-called 'mental illness', as detailed in the DSM, please include your evidence, contradicting the following regarding the pseudo-science fraud of the DSM.

The following quotes are from: **Marketing of Madness: Inventing Mental Illness**
(http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l2Ny5P_gB7M)

"There is no such thing as a mental disorder. A mental disorder is whatever someone says it is, and if the person saying "This is a mental disorder", has enough power and influence, then people believe 'Oh, that is a mental disorder'.

-- Dr. Paula Caplan, Clinical Research Psychologist, Debois Institute, Harvard University

"[Alleged Mental Disorders] are based on a grab-bag of checklists for disorders that are published in a book called the DSM; which is the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. There are no statistics in this book, by the way. That just makes it sound more scientific."

-- Dr Margaret Hagen, Professor of Psychology, Boston University

"They create this question of disease, and they get together and they vote. Is this a disease, all in favour say Aye."

-- Julian Whitaker, M.D.; Director: Wellness Institute

"The [DSM] is marketed as a scientifically based document. Now the American Psychiatric Association which publishes the DSM do a lot of work to create an aura of scientific precision, around the DSM, but it's not scientifically based."

-- Dr Margaret Hagen, Professor of Psychology, Boston University

"From the first edition of the DSM, the number of mental disorders voted into Psychiatry's Diagnostic Manual has grown to 374, and with each new disorder, psychiatrists create yet another way to defraud the public."

"If you have twenty seven ways to bill in the DSM, that's 27 ways to bill. If you have 300, that's 300 ways to bill; so you can pretty much find anyone walking on the street, that could fit into a DSM somehow."

-- Neil Willner, President, Consolidated Insurance

"When you bill the insurance company, you can't say the word, you've got to say the number. And they have numbers for the most ridiculous things, like arguing with your mother, or peeing in the bed."

-- Dr. Lawrence Hooper, Physician

"Adolescent rebellion disorder is an official psychiatric diagnosis. Arithmetic learning disorder is an official psychiatric diagnosis. General anxiety disorder is a recent diagnosis."

-- Dr. George W. Albee, Professor Emeritus, University of Vermont

"For each [mental disorder] there is a five digit code with a decimal point. Now the implication of that is, if I have illness 403.16 that that is different in some important and scientifically proven way, from someone who has 403.17. And nothing could be further from the truth."

-- Dr. Paula Caplan, Clinical Research Psychologist, Debois Institute, Harvard University

"We have no diagnostic reliable markers for almost any [mental] illness there is in the DSM."

-- Psychiatrist, Norway

"What we are testing for in psychiatry, it is hard to say because there is nothing specifically."

-- Psychiatrist, Washington DC

"As far as a test that's clinically useful. Well basically, we're not there yet."

-- Psychiatrist, New Mexico

"We don't have any laboratory tests that we can use to determine whether someone does or does not have a mental illness."

-- Psychiatrist, Massachusetts

"There are no good biological tests detecting mental illness."

-- Psychiatrist, New York

"There is no test. There is no biopsy you can do."

-- Psychiatrist, New York

"There is no chemical test right now."

-- Psychiatrist, Germany

"There are no specific tests to confirm the diagnosis or show the improvement like in a blood test or any x-rays or anything like that."

-- Psychiatrist, New York

"In my practice I don't do any tests, I just speak with people and listen to them and then I make a decision as to what kind of illness."

-- Psychiatrist, Netherlands

"We don't know if I give you a medication if it is going to work or not. "

-- Psychiatrist, Washington, DC

"To a certain degree, its trial and error"

-- Psychiatrist, Pennsylvania

"We never know if it's the right drug."

-- Psychiatrist, APA

"How many people have I cured. Well, there are no real cures right now in psychiatry."

-- Psychiatrist, Michigan

"I have cured none of my patients."

-- Psychiatrist, Netherlands

"We don't know what the causes of mental illnesses are."

-- Psychiatrist, Spain

"I am the Director of Research at the American Psychiatric Association. We don't know the etiology of really any of the mental disorders at the present time."

-- Dr. Darrell Regier, Director of Research, American Psychiatric Association, Chairman of the DSM-V Task Force

"DSM-V is coming out. Its grown to ten times its original size and it labels everybody. I could find five diagnosis that would fit you or anybody else."

-- Dr. Walter Afield, Medical Director, Neuropsychiatric Institute

Excerpts from:

Psychiatry: An Industry of Death (01:49:30)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gvdBSSUviys>

Marketing of Madness: The Truth About Psychotropic Drugs. (02:57:10)

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fduMpYhv1_M

Here is the opinion of Dr. Szasz on the issue:

No one should be deprived of liberty unless duly convicted of a criminal offense. So-called mental illness or incompetence ought not to be a legal justification for loss of liberty. On the analogy of the Anglo-American legal presumption of innocence for crime, every person, (above the age of 14...), ought to have the right to be treated by agents of the government - including private physician and mental health professionals - as presumed "mentally healthy," competent to stand trial, do his job, be punished for crime. etc. In short, everyone has the right to be unmolested by agents of the therapeutic state, that is, of the government forcibly intervening in a person's on the ground of protecting his health and / or best interests.

THOMAS S. SZASZ (per email)

"The most brutal and inhumane punishments in our day are meted out not in prisons, but in mental hospitals."

THOMAS S. SZASZ, Law, Liberty, and Psychiatry: An Inquiry into the Social Uses of Mental Health Practices, ch. 8, 1963

"We should not forget the value inherent in the right to be tried – in public and by one's own peers, and also the values inherent in the right to go to jail – instead of being subjected to unwanted psychiatric "treatments." In a jail, a person is "let alone"; in a mental hospital he may not be. A prisoner will be released after he completes his sentence, and possibly before. A mental patient may be required to undergo a change in his "inner personality" – a change that may be induced by measures far more intrusive than anything permitted in a jail – before the psychiatric authorities let him go. And they may never let him go. Commitment, unlike a sentence, is for an indefinite period.

How different the world might be today if only a handful of people had been sent for psychiatric "treatments," instead of being tried and sent to jail! Gandhi, Nehru, Sukarno, Castro, Hitler – and of course many others, for example the "freedom riders" in the South – have been sentenced to terms in prison. Surely, the social status quo could have better preserved by finding each of these men mentally ill and subjecting them to enough electric shock treatments to quell their aspirations.

If this is not the kind of tyranny against which the Constitution was intended to protect us, what is?"

THOMAS S. SZASZ, Law, Liberty, and Psychiatry: An Inquiry into the Social Uses of Mental Health Practices, ch. 13, 1963

Lara

©Original Artist
Reproduction rights obtainable from
www.CartoonStock.com




"Here's how it works. First we discover the drug and identify the market, then we invent the disease."



CONCEPT-MIKE ADAMS ART-DAN BERGER WWW.NEWTARGET.COM

COUNTERTHINK




© Original Artist
Reproduction rights obtainable from
www.CartoonStock.com



TAKE THESE THREE TIMES A DAY UNTIL I
FEEL BETTER...

To argue with a person
who has renounced the
use of reason is like
administering medicine
to the dead.

• Thomas Paine



From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 12 January 2012 1:11 PM

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]

Sent: Thursday, January 12, 2012 1:11 PM

To: 'Andre Johnstone'

Cc: Clive & Ann (clann@telkomsa.net); Graeme Johnstone (graeme.johnstone@gmail.com); Hilary Johnstone (hilary.johnstone@gmail.com); Andre Johnstone (andre_j_ct@yahoo.com); Andre Johnstone (andrejohnstone@telkomsa.net); Wendy Nelson (nelson_wendy@btinternet.com); Brad Blanton (brad@radicalhonesty.com); Taber Shadburne (taber@churchofsoul.org); 'annscg@telkomsa.net'

Subject: RE: Graeme & Andre (Copy: Brad & Taber)

Andre,

Apologies I sent this last night via my telkom account. Unfortunately noticed now that it never sent and stuck in my outbox.

No problem.

I do not think you should be seeing a counsellor at the church regarding this issue. I do not think you are possessed by demons, nor do I think you need an intervention.

Who seriously said I am possessed by demons or need an intervention? Ma and me joke about it. She says the ET gogga's are bothering me or us again (whenever the tv or other technology has been strangely turned on or off or weird things happened), or so on, and we laugh; but that's all I know about anyone saying I have demons.

I agree with Brad's opinion regarding:

- I can't even begin to imagine what you went through in Pollsmoor, George Prison, Lentegeur and your incarcerations in other parts of the world etc
- I suspect all of the above places caused you hurt which none of us can even comprehend to imagine.
- All I can conclude is either:
 1. You can't remember any of my visits or any of our conversations and possibly suffer from some sort of amnesia or you choose to block all those experiences out and therefore forget any interactions which happened whilst you were there.

You misinterpreted my statement.

I said I had not had any conversations, about **any issue of concern in my life.**

Put differently: I have had plenty of conversations about **issues of concern in your lives.**

What I meant is that my two brothers - who pretend to care so much about me - are clueless about what really matters to me. Totally and utterly clueless. So are the rest of my family. Pila knew what mattered to me, and it mattered to her and we would discuss for hours. I don't mind that the issues that concern me do not concern my family; many of the issues that concern them, do not concern me. This is reality. Different things concern different people.

It is quite possible I am clueless about the real and deep issues that concern Wendy; but neither Wendy or I are pretending that we are so concerned about each other, after having spent zero time discussing each others concerns, that the other should go and see a psychiatrist cause there is something wrong with them.

I have had plenty of discussions with you, more than Graeme; about the issues that concern you. Whenever you have ever wanted to discuss anything, I have always made as much time as you wanted for such discussion. ALWAYS! Whenever you send me an email, I respond to that email within a day or two, or I inform you that I am busy and let you know by when I shall provide you with a response.

I NEVER EVER IGNORE YOU! NEVER!

You do not have to get angry with me to get my attention. If you ask for my attention; **YOU GET IT!!**

I Never ignore anyone's email. Every single person who ever sends me an email asking me for my opinion or a response on any issue gets a reply. Even if that email is insulting. It is important to me to **NEVER IGNORE ANYONE WHO REACHES OUT THEIR HAND TO MAKE CONTACT TO ME.** They get a response, within a day or two of making contact. If I am busy, I respond that I am busy, and that I shall provide them with a response by a certain date, so they know.

If they simply sent me information they want me to have. I always acknowledge receipt.

Whatever you want to discuss, we always discuss: ALWAYS! Even if what you want to discuss is how crazy I am; I have made time to sit and listen to you, and hear all your theories about how messed up I am. I have **NEVER WALKED OUT OF SUCH A CONVERSATION. NEVER!**

I have walked out of family meetings where all the family was doing was talking about golf. It did not interest me and so I left. No big deal, I am not interested in golf.

2. You fabricate lies and don't actually believe in radical honesty.

When did I fabricate a lie????

Am I wrong with both conclusions? and there is an alternative option.

Tell me what you think are the two most important issues to me in my life.

As far as I am aware, you are clueless that these issues matter to me, and we have never discussed them. Not a problem; but that is what I mean when I say 'I have never had any discussion with my brothers on any issue **that is of concern to me.**' I'll give you a hint, both start with the same letter of the alphabet: "L".

You think your interpretations of what I say, are the correct interpretations of what I meant; without asking whether your interpretation is correct or not. You assume that your interpretation of what I say is what I mean; when it frequently is not what I mean. You don't know how to listen and verify that your interpretations of whatever anyone says are what they really meant. When you are informed that your interpretation was incorrect, you insist that it was correct; as if you know better what I am saying and what I mean (based upon my life experiences) than I do.

Sort of like you telling me what your experience was when you hit a hole in one in golf, and instead of me responding with questions and asking what is a hole in one, where did you hit your hole in one, what were the sensations you experienced in your body, and the dozens of questions that could be asked to better understand your experience, etc... I respond with telling you that hitting a hole in one in golf is impossible, you are too incompetent to hit one, and that you did not experience the feelings you experienced, cause I know better what your experiences should be when you hit a hole in one.

Last time you said you think I have a chemical imbalance. So I provide you with evidence that there is no such thing as a 'chemical imbalance' in psychiatry; it is all a fraud, a thousand billion dollar fraud by psychiatrists who bullshit people they have 'mental disorders' in order to make a profit. Just an advanced white man's form of African witchdoctors.

You had no idea that psychiatry was an absolute and utter fraud on the issue of 'mental disorders' or that it is an utter fraud on the issue of alleged 'chemical imbalances' as the cause of so called 'mental disorders'. Yet you suggested that you think I have a chemical imbalance and need to see a psychiatrist. Who told you they think I have a chemical imbalance?

Did you watch the documentaries?

Lara

From: Hilary Johnstone | Sent: 12 January 2012 1:20 PM

From: Hilary Johnstone [mailto:h.johnstone@hjctc.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 12, 2012 1:20 PM
To: jmcswan@mweb.co.za
Cc: 'Andre Johnstone'; Clive & Ann; Graeme Johnstone ; Hilary Johnstone ; Andre Johnstone; Andre Johnstone; Wendy Nelson ; Brad Blanton; Taber Shadburne; annscg@telkomsa.net
Subject: Re: Graeme & Andre (Copy: Brad & Taber)

Dear Lara

Please can I ask you respectfully, to remove me from your general mailing list and from the dialogue that you are having with your immediate family regarding all of the issues that have been raised recently. I would be most appreciative of this.

Thanks a ton,
Hilary

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 06 October 2012 11:04 PM

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Saturday, October 06, 2012 11:04 PM
To: 'radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com';
'brad@radicalhonesty.com'
Subject: PRH as verbal violent analogy for Fanon's physical violent
Liberation of the colonized mind..

Hey Brad, and all,

I was reading an article about Frantz Fanon and his book Wretched of the Earth, where he advocates that 'liberating the colonized mind, can only occur by means of violence on the rotting corpse of the settler.'

These two paragraphs were very interesting to me, in terms of practicing radical honesty:

"He also found that his official duties required him to treat both the Algerian victims of torture and their French torturers. He soon realised that colonial society was insane and that his patients' problems were a consequence of a social rather than a personal pathology. He couldn't in good faith continue to treat the symptoms of disease while ignoring its causes and so in 1956 he wrote a letter of resignation."

"It opens with an analysis of revolutionary violence. Fanon argues that colonialism is a system of systemic structural violence which eventually triggers a violent reaction. In his view the violent nature of that reaction is tragic but cathartic. Its catharsis lies in its ability to dissolve the inferiority complex of the colonised and to release the tension which has been inscribed in the body during a lifetime of oppression."

<http://ccs.ukzn.ac.za/files/THE%20SPECTRE%20OF%20FRANTZ%20FANON.pdf>

These were my thoughts:

Its not so much 'colonial society'; but political correct society, two faced hypocrisy sycophancy to 'be liked', to 'be successful' and all that shit, that is (a) insane, and systemically violent, in terms of demanding the individual suppress their spontaneity, their 'true selves'.

Those who manage to suppress their selves, consciously or subconsciously rationalize their suppression of their 'sincere / true self' as what makes them 'civilized', and perceives those who have not totally suppressed their 'self', who still display anger, or any behaviour that they consider 'should be suppressed' as 'uncivilized'.

A source of 'inferiority' is the lack of will, or ability to suppress the 'self', and the resentment of being required to suppress the 'self', in order to be 'recognized' or approved of, as 'civilized'.

For me, the process of practicing radical honesty in any disagreement is similar to the process Fanon advises, I liberate my sense of my sense of inferiority (refusal to suppress 'self', refusal to be political correct, and two faced, and 'nice' and 'civilized' and all that shit in a disagreement) by 'verbal violence/radical honesty resentments or rants'; upon the individual I perceive (not always, but frequently) as being the person whose culture is one of domination (similar to a colonizer of my self), whose culture demands I adapt who I am, in order to their violent (suppression of self) cultural values.

I was wondering what Fanon would have written, if he had met Brad Blanton in his travels; and whether he would - agree - that practicing radical honesty is generally (at least at first, but not necessarily always) a great process of 'mind and sensate liberation', to liberate the colonized-by-political-correct-violence-bullshit mind; on the 'rotting-mind' of the 'cultural colonial settler'!

Those were my thoughts.....

Any feedback?????

;-)

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 12 January 2012 7:49 PM

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]

Sent: Thursday, January 12, 2012 7:49 PM

To: 'Andre Johnstone'; 'Andre Johnstone'; 'Andre Johnstone'; 'Graeme Johnstone '; 'Brad Blanton'

Cc: 'Clive & Ann'; 'Wendy Nelson '; 'Taber Shadburne'; 'annscg@telkomsa.net'

Subject: RE: Brad, Graeme & Andre

Brad, Graeme and Andre,

[1] If you intend to answer my request for detailed information about your complaints:

Please can you let me know:

- (A) The specific answer - with details - as to exactly what you think is wrong with me
- (B) if you believe whatever you think is wrong with me is a result of a 'chemical imbalance' - please provide your scientific evidence for these 'chemical imbalance' studies proving that particular 'mental disorder' to be a result of such chemical imbalance
- (C) the date and time -- by when -- you intend to provide me with this information

[2] If you do not intend to provide me with a response to aforementioned request.

Please let me know.

If you do not intend to provide me with a response, nor to let me know that you cannot be bothered to let me know of your intentions to ignore my requests for further details of your complaints.

How should I interpret your lack of a detailed response?

(1) Che Guevarra:

“Silence is argument carried out by other means.” -- Ernesto Che Guevara

If so, here is a Patch Adams response to your Silent - refuse to listen -- argument:

Patch: "When I was a little kid (notices the psychiatrist isn't paying listening) I thought I could fly to the moon, or at least Uranus. And if not, get around by using my penis as a pogo stick."

Patch Adams - He At Least Listened (02:01)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=G0yU-YJ6sjY>

Patch Adams - Group Therapy (02:12)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sk9mR3zjrkk>

Patch Adams - See what no one else sees (03:16)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bKLQBuSPVwQ>

From: Brad Blanton | **Sent:** 13 January 2012 6:41 PM

From: Brad Blanton [mailto:brad@radicalhonesty.com]

Sent: Friday, January 13, 2012 6:41 PM

To: jmcswan@mweb.co.za

Subject: Re: Brad, Graeme & Andre

I don't intend to answer. brad

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 14 January 2012 12:10 PM

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Saturday, January 14, 2012 12:10 PM
To: 'Brad Blanton'
Subject: RE: Brad, Graeme & Andre

Received. Lara.

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 14 September 2012 11:23 PM

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Friday, September 14, 2012 11:23 AM
To: 'radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com'
Cc: 'Brad Blanton'
Subject: Radical Honesty Amicus Filed in CCR v. United States - Dkt. No. 12-8027/AR - US Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces

Hi Brad and Futilitarians!,

Transparency Notice:

I filed an application to proceed as an Amicus Curiae in Bradley Manning court martial..... in the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.... in a related matter of secrecy/transparency dispute resolution.

Affidavit includes radical honesty stuff.....

Here are all documents filed, for anyone interested...

All feedback appreciated/welcomed...

Luv

Lara

-----Original Message-----

From: Habeus Mentem [mailto:habeusmentem@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Friday, September 14, 2012 10:43 AM
To: 'US Court of Appeals for Armed Forces'
Cc: 'CCR Pet-App: Shayana Kadilal'; 'USA Resp-App: Captain Chad Fisher'; 'RCFP Amici: Gregg Leslie'
Subject: CCR v. United States - Dkt. No. 12-8027/AR - electronic filing

TO: UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES

Per Electronic Filing: efileing@armfor.uscourts.gov

CC: PETITIONER-APPELLANTS COUNSEL:

Shayana D. Kadidal

Per Electronic Service: shanek@ccrjustice.org

CC: RESPONDENT-APPELLEE'S COUNSEL:

CHAD M. FISHER, Captain, JA

Per Electronic Service: Chad.m.fisher.mil@mail.mil

CC: FIRST AMICUS COUNSEL:

Gregg P. Leslie

Per Electronic Service: gleslie@rcfp.org

CENTER FOR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, ET AL.,
Petitioners-Appellants,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and COL. DENISE LIND, MILITARY JUDGE
Respondents-Appellees.

Crim. App. Misc.
Dkt. No. 20120514

USCA Misc.
Dkt. No. 12-8027/AR

NOTICE OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED AS AMICUS CURIAE

[1] For an Order to approve the Applicant, to Appear Pro Se (propria persona / pro per), pursuant to Section 35 of the Judiciary Act of 1789, 1 Stat. 73, 92 and Rules 13(a) and 33 (leniency on procedure and Radical Honesty English) of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, to appear pro hac vice for the limited purpose of being admitted as an Amicus Curiae.

[2] For an Order to approve the Applicant as an Ecocentric Amici Curiae in the above Anthropocentric proceedings in the form of written submissions in accordance with Rule 26(a)(3), of the Rules of Court.

Filing:

- * Notice of Motion
- * Founding Affidavit: Lara Johnstone
- * Certification of Compliance with Rule 24 (d)
- * Certificate of Filing & Service

Respectfully Submitted,

LARA JOHNSTONE, Pro Se
PO Box 4052, George, 6539
South Africa
Tel/Fax: +27 (44) 870 7239
Cell: +27 (71) 170 1954
habeusmentem@mweb.co.za

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 25 September 2012 2:06 AM

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2012 2:06 AM
To: 'radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com'
Subject: Radical Honesty Amicus Filed with US Crt of Appeals for Armed Forces in Manning related case

Hey Futilitarians....

Filed my Radical Honoursty Amicus Curiae with the US Court of Appeals for Armed Forces Clerk today... who has referred it to the Judges.

;-)

Attached if anyone's interested!!!

Luv

Lara

-----Original Message-----

From: EFiling [mailto:efiling@armfor.uscourts.gov]
Sent: Monday, September 24, 2012 3:43 PM
To: Lara; EFiling
Cc: CCR Pet-App: Shayana Kadilal; Fisher, Chad M CPT USARMY (US); RCFP Amici: Gregg Leslie; Judge Denise Lind; Pfc Manning: David Coombs Esq
Subject: RE: CCR v. United States - Dkt. No. 12-8027/AR - Electronic Filing - Amicus

Your pleading has been received and referred to the Court.

-----Original Message-----

From: Habeus Mentem [mailto:habeusmentem@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Monday, September 24, 2012 8:31 AM
To: EFiling
Cc: 'CCR Pet-App: Shayana Kadilal'; Fisher, Chad M CPT USARMY (US); 'RCFP Amici: Gregg Leslie'; 'Judge Denise Lind'; 'Pfc Manning: David Coombs Esq'
Subject: CCR v. United States - Dkt. No. 12-8027/AR - Electronic Filing - Amicus

USCA Misc. Dkt. No. 12-8027/AR :: CCR v USA & Judge Lind

Electronic Filing:

BRIEF IN PROPRIA PERSONA BY AMICI CURIAE LARA JOHNSTONE IN SUPPORT OF AN ECOCENTRIC WILD LAW SUSTAINABLE SECURITY PERSPECTIVE

[Caveat: Subject to Order from Court ITO S. 35 Judiciary Act of 1789, 1 Stat. 73, 92; Rules 13(a) & 33 (leniency on procedure and Radical Honesty English)]

SUMMARY OF RH ECOCENTRIC ARGUMENT:

1. EcoFeminist Radical Honoursty Transparency culture Wild Law Sustainable Security perspective to parties Anthropocentric Masculine Insecurity PR War of Pretend Transparency to profit from the 'Control of Reproduction' Human Farming War Economy Racket

2. Pfc Manning cannot receive free and fair trial, if Media Abuse their Publicity Power

PLEASE NOTE: AS EXCERPTED FROM CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I further certify that the following parties referenced in this - EcoFeminist Radical Honoursty Transparency culture Wild Law Sustainable Security perspective to parties Anthropocentric Masculine Insecurity PR War of Pretend Transparency to profit from the 'Control of Reproduction' Human Farming War Economy Racket - Amicus will be served honourable transparency copies on 24 September 2012, immediately subsequent to this electronic filing, with a copy of this electronic filing:

'Control of Reproduction' Human Farming War Economy Racket:

1. Norway & Sweden's 'War is Peace Whores' Committee:
Nobel Foundation; Nobel Peace Center; Norwegian Nobel Institute: Nobel Committee

2. SA's TRC Fraud 'War is Peace Whores':
Archbishop Desmond Tutu; Nelson Mandela: Head of Memory Programme at the Nelson Mandela Foundation: Verne Sheldon Harris; F.W. de Klerk: CEO: FW de Klerk Foundation

Anthropocentric Masculine Insecurity PR-Breeding War Disputes:

1. Norway v. Breivik:
Anders Breivik: Adv Geir Lippestad; Prosecutor Holden & Engh: Justice Minister: Grete Faremo; 22 July Victims: Adv Siv Hallgren, Adv Frode Elgesem & Adv Mette Yvonne Larsen; Judges: Judge Wenche Arntzen, Judge Nina Opsahl, Justice Tore Schei; Court Admin: Sec Gen Gunnar Bergby.

2. Afriforum v. Malema:
Afriforum: CEO Kallie Kriel, Att Willie Spies, Adv Martin Brassey; TAU - SA: Henk van de Graaf, Att Riaan van der Walt, Adv Roelof du Plessis; Julius Malema & ANC: Min. Derek Hanekom, Att Byron Morris, Adv Vincent Maleka; Amici: Afrikaans Regslui: Prof Koos Malan

3. Citizen v. McBride:
The Citizen: Editor Martin Williams, Att Willem de Klerk, Adv Wim Trengrove; Robert McBride: Att David Maphakela, Adv DI Berger; 2-5th Amici: Att Dario Milo, Adv Gil Marcus; 6th Amici: Att V Dhuluan

4. United States v. Lakin, Terrance L:
USA Counsel: Major General Karl Horst; Captain Philip J. O'Beirne; Terrence Lakin Counsel: Paul Rolf Jensen; Neal Puckett; Major Marththew Kemkes

Alien, George, South Africa, Pale Blue Dot: 24 September 2012

Respectfully Submitted,

LARA JOHNSTONE, Pro Se
PO Box 4052, George, 6539
South Africa

Tel/Fax: (044) 870 7239
habeusmentem@mweb.co.za

-----Original Message-----

From: Habeus Mentem [mailto:habeusmentem@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 2:16 AM
To: 'EFiling'
Cc: 'CCR Pet-App: Shayana Kadilal'; 'Fisher, Chad M CPT USARMY (US)';
'RCFP Amici: Gregg Leslie'; 'Judge Denise Lind'; 'Pfc Manning: David
Coombs Esq'
Subject: RE: CCR v. United States - Dkt. No. 12-8027/AR - Letter to
USCAAF Justices

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES Honourable Justices
c/o: Clerk of the Court: Mr. William A. DeCicco

CC: CCR, USA, Judge Lind, Pfc Manning, Amici, et al.

Honourable Justices,

RE: CCR v USA Pro Se Ecocentric Amicus Application Transparency Update

Herewith a Transparency update to the Pro Se Application filed on 14
September

[1] Draft - Amicus for 'Fully Informed Consent' Preview Consideration

I have been drafting my Amicus brief, in the event that my application
to proceed as an Amicus is approved, by the court. I am providing it to
the court, for preview, for the courts and parties 'fully informed
consent' consideration, to determine whether the Ecocentric perspective
therein, may be useful, for consideration in these proceedings. If so, I
shall have to proceed Pro Se, as Pro Bono requests were not fruitful.

I am still editing to bring it down to the required 7,000 words and to
complete other issues, such as footnote referencing of authorities, etc;
but, the argument is discernable (at least to me, it is!)

[2] Pro Se Status

I contacted 13 Law Schools and 12 Legal Professionals (Full List of
Names & law schools in PDF letter attached) to enquire whether they were
interested in either (a) providing me with Pro Bono support; or (b)
forwarding my request to someone they thought may be interested,
including possible law students.

I only received a response from Mr. Gerry Spence, who responded with
"Wish you the best. We can't help. Not in our area of the law and I
don't know who to send you to. Honored you thought of us."

Respectfully Submitted

LARA JOHNSTONE, Pro Se

-----Original Message-----

From: Habeus Mentem [mailto:habeusmentem@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Friday, September 14, 2012 9:48 PM
To: 'EFiling'
Cc: 'CCR Pet-App: Shayana Kadilal'; 'Fisher, Chad M CPT USARMY (US)'; 'RCFP Amici: Gregg Leslie'
Subject: RE: CCR v. United States - Dkt. No. 12-8027/AR - electronic filing

Thank you. I shall proceed to search for Pro Bono Assistance of Counsel for procedural purposes, to avoid burdening the court and/or respondents on procedural matters; so as to be prepared; should the court's ruling be affirmative.

Lara Johnstone

-----Original Message-----

From: EFiling [mailto:efiling@armfor.uscourts.gov]
Sent: Friday, September 14, 2012 7:17 PM
To: Lara; EFiling
Cc: CCR Pet-App: Shayana Kadilal; Fisher, Chad M CPT USARMY (US); RCFP Amici: Gregg Leslie
Subject: RE: CCR v. United States - Dkt. No. 12-8027/AR - electronic filing

Your pleadings have been received and referred to the Court.

From: Brad Blanton | Sent: 09 October 2012 7:41 PM

From: Brad Blanton [mailto:brad@radicalhonesty.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 09, 2012 7:41 PM
To: jmcswan@mweb.co.za
Cc: Anne Alexander; Brandon Enget
Subject: Re: PRH as verbal violent analogy for Fanon's physical violent Liberation of the colonized mind..

Thanks Lara. I pretty much think Frantz and I would have been good friends. I think that current political correctness damned near anywhere in the world today is, in fact, still colonialism. The hierarchical mind and ways of operating that showed up with agriculture when people owned and stored shit has been the primary mindfuck of all time for the last 5 or 6 thousand years. Before that people hunted and gathered and fucked who they wanted when they wanted to for a couple of hundred thousand years and lived in small bands in a plentiful world. (I am all gung ho about "Sex at Dawn" by Christopher Ryan these days. Read it. You'll like it.) Brad

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 12 October 2012 9:43 AM

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Friday, October 12, 2012 9:43 AM

To: 'Brad Blanton'
Cc: 'Anne Alexander'; 'Brandon Enget'
Subject: RE: PRH as verbal violent analogy for Fanon's physical violent Liberation of the colonized mind..

Sure Brad. Agreed: now that would have been an interesting 'honest to colonialists' discussion to hear between you and Frankie! ;-)

I will check out the book. Thanks. Luv

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 24 October 2012 4:24 AM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Wednesday, October 24, 2012 4:24 PM
To: 'Brad Blanton'; jmcswan@mweb.co.za
Cc: 'Anne Alexander'; 'Brandon Enget'
Subject: Brad - Are there any lawyers in Radical Honesty???

Hey Brad,

Is there any lawyer who has taken your radical honesty course in honesty workshop?

If there is one who understands radical honesty, I want to ask them a few questions; about an application I am preparing to file in the Supreme Court.

Very briefly, the US Court of Appeals for Armed Forces rejected my Amicus (which meets all other Appellate court and Supreme Court restrictive standards), because I used some words they considered to be 'indecent language'.

Specifically I used the words 'Bullshit the Public Relations', as part of description of what radical honesty does not practice.

One of the questions, I am asking the Supreme Court to clarify (if they accept it, of course) is:

1. Whether the court had sufficient compelling justifications (Board of Education of Kiryas Joel Village School District v. Grumet / Everson v. Board of Education / Sherbert v. Verner / Religious Freedom Restoration Act (RFRA) / Gonzales v. O Centro Espirita Beneficente União do Vegetal) for their 'indecent language' discrimination against Petitioner's Futilitarian religion of Practicing Radical Honesty; which unlike almost all other religions, does not practice 'Public Relations Image Management', i.e. 'Practicing Radical Honesty' verbally or in writing is the foundation of the Radical Honesty (Futilitarian) religion's social contract, the essence of a Futilitarian's existential religious/spiritual identity: total honest transparency.

Info for you:

In the 1994 case Board of Education of Kiryas Joel Village School District v. Grumet, Supreme Court Justice David Souter wrote in the opinion for the Court that: "government should not prefer one religion to another, or religion to irreligion". Everson v. Board of Education established that "neither a state nor the Federal Government can pass laws which aid one religion, aid all religions, or prefer one religion over another". The Religious Freedom Restoration act, restored the Sherbert Test for federal government acts, as did Gonzales v. O Centro Espirita Beneficente União do Vegetal.

My argument is that Practicing Radical Honesty is to a Futilitarian, what wearing a turban is to a Sikh, or riding around in a buggy and not using electricity is to an Hamish, or wearing a hijab is to a Muslim, or Prosletyzing door-to-door is for a Jehovah's Witness. So demanding that a Futilitarian not be radically honest, without providing the required 'compelling justifications' (the Sherbert Test, requires the Government to demonstrate that their denial of a right to practice a religion, has a compelling interest), is religious discrimination, giving aid to religions who practice PR, and discriminating against those who don't practice 'PR'.

If there is such a lawyer, who understands Radical Honesty, I want to ask them if they can give me feedback, if they are interested.

Luv

Lara

From: Brad Blanton | Sent: 25 October 2012 5:23 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Brad Blanton [mailto:brad@radicalhonesty.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2012 5:23 PM
To: jmcswan@mweb.co.za
Cc: Anne Alexander; Brandon Enget; Maggie Doyle
Subject: Re: Brad - Are there any lawyers in Radical Honesty???

hi Lara. Maybe Maggie would be interested. She is a lawyer. I am as uninterested as I can be. Whether you win or lose it won't convince a single soul of a single thing. Seems like a complete waste of time to me. brad

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: Thursday October 25 2012 5:06 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2012 6:06 PM
To: 'Brad Blanton'; jmcswan@mweb.co.za
Cc: 'Anne Alexander'; 'Brandon Enget'; 'Maggie Doyle'
Subject: RE: Brad - Are there any lawyers in Radical Honesty???

Brad and Maggie:

LOL.

I appreciate you for saying "I am as uninterested as I can be. Whether you win or lose it won't convince a single soul of a single thing. Seems like a complete waste of time to me."

My belly enjoyed a great guffaw belly laugh! Still is.

It is my Sysiphys rock. ;-)

I think getting a court to confirm Radical Honesty Religious speech as protected by the constitution, would be a great win.

Why did you spend thousands of hours walking for civil rights for blacks?

Only the bravest of the brave who attend your workshops have the courage to express their resentments, face to face, to their bosses. Only those with openminded bosses are not fired on the spot.

How many more would be enabled in small steps of courage, if they know their
Practicing Radical Honesty Resentment speech is protected as religious speech by the constitution, and they cannot be fired for it, or can sue, if they are?

Don't think it will be easy... but back to my Sisyphis rock! ;-)

Maggie: Any thoughts if you are interested, would be appreciated.

Luv and thanks

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 25 October 2012 9:56 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2012 9:56 PM
To: 'Maggie Doyle'
Subject: RE: Brad - Are there any lawyers in Radical Honesty???

Hi Maggie,

Yes, I filed the original Application to proceed as an Amicus Curiae in the US Court of Appeals for Armed Forces (CCR/Wikileaks vs USA: its related to transparency about Bradley Manning's court martial). Wonders of Electronic Filing! ;-)

So, the cert application is to the Supreme Court, US; but I will have to file that by registered mail.

Lara

From: Maggie Doyle | **Sent:** 25 October 2012 10:02 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Maggie Doyle [mailto:maggie@multiversity.net]
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2012 10:02 PM
To: jmcswan@mweb.co.za
Subject: RE: Brad - Are there any lawyers in Radical Honesty???

Will you send me the filings to review?

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 25 October 2012 10:59 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2012 10:59 PM
To: 'Maggie Doyle'
Subject: RE: Brad - Are there any lawyers in Radical Honesty???

Sure! I would most appreciate it! Please feel free to provide your radical honesty criticism! ;-)

Still busy with drafting, but should be finished within next day or two, then will send to you, as the edit process starts.

Or as you suggest? Don't want to waste your time.

Lara

From: Maggie Doyle | **Sent:** 25 October 11:01 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Maggie Doyle [mailto:maggie@multiversity.net]
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2012 11:01 PM
To: jmcswan@mweb.co.za
Subject: RE: Brad - Are there any lawyers in Radical Honesty???

I'd be interested in reading whatever you've got, including previous filings from which you're appealing.

From: Maggie Doyle | **Sent:** 26 October 2012 12:55 AM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Friday, October 26, 2012 12:55 AM
To: 'Maggie Doyle'
Subject: RE: Brad - Are there any lawyers in Radical Honesty???

Hi Maggie...

Okay! ;-) I ain't got no objections to sending you those.

Attached is (A) Notice of Motion for Leave to Proceed as an Amicus Curiae, including request for leniency on procedure and Radical Honesty English; with (B) Founding Affidavit, and (C) the Ecocentric Amicus Brief. When my Amicus application was refused (see below), I filed a Petition for Reconsideration (D).

Below follows a brief overview 'statement of the case'.

United States vs. Private Bradley Manning court martial relates to the alleged leak of the largest amount of classified information in U.S. history to Wikileaks; the (i) July 12, 2007 US Army AH-64 Apache helicopters air-to-ground attacks in Al-Amin al-Thaniyah, Baghdad ("Collateral Murder"); (ii) 250,000 United States diplomatic cables (Cablegate); and (iii) 500,000 army reports from Iraq (Iraq War logs) and Afghanistan (Afghan War logs).

Center of Constitutional Rights, et al vs. USA and Military Judge Denise Lind, is a Petition for Extraordinary Relief seeking public access to documents in the court-martial proceedings against Pfc. Bradley Manning, "including papers filed by the parties, court orders, and transcripts of the proceedings".

The *Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press* Amicus argues in support of Appellants, that "open judicial proceedings provide accountability and oversight", opposing "the public policy implications of secrecy" in cases where profound issues are at stake. As documented on this 12 October 2012 USCAAF order, the RCFP have been approved as Amici by the court.

On 14 and 24 September 2012, Petitioner filed an Application to Proceed as an Amicus, to provide the court with a Radical Honoursty Transparency culture Wild Law Sustainable Security - Alien on Pale Blue Dot - perspective to parties Anthropocentric dispute. The Amicus addressed (i) alternative Ecocentric Wild Law Sustainable Security legal arguments deemed too far reaching for emphasis by parties intent on winning their particular Anthropocentric cases; and (ii) argued that it would be impossible for Pfc Manning to get a free and fair trial, if the media abused their publicity power, and thereby abuse the credibility of the court.

On 09 October 2012, Petitioner was informed by the Clerk of the Court "the Judges have decided not to grant your request to proceed as an amicus curiae in the subject case. This means that said brief and documents will not be made part of the record and will not be considered by the Judges in the disposition of the case".

On 15 October 2012, Petitioner filed a Petition for Reconsideration arguing that the court (A) failed in its responsibility to "prominently-indeed, primarily-in mind" "search for the truth"; and (B) denied Petitioner's Ecocentric Amicus, which meets not only Supreme Court narrow 'search for truth' standards, but also narrow Federal Appellate Court Standards, standards which were not met by Amicus approved by the court from RCFP and 31 media organisations.

On 16 October 2012, the Clerk informed Petitioner, that the Judges denied the Petition stating that "the rejection of your brief was not done by order, decision or opinion of the Court, and therefore is not subject to reconsideration under Rule 31. Accordingly, and with the

agreement of the Judges, your petition for reconsideration likewise will not be considered or be made part of the record.”

Petitioner responded on 16 October 2012: “No disrespect intended, but that’s a Humpty Dumpty message .. If the Judges rejection of my brief was “not done by order, decision or opinion of the Court”; by what exact legal due process ‘thought/decision making’ procedure was the rejection done?”

On 22 October 2012, the Clerk responded providing additional information, that “After reviewing it, and exercising their discretion, the Judges noted you are not a party to the case and therefore cannot appear “pro se” and that you are not an attorney who would be able to file an amicus brief. Additionally, the Court noted your use of indecent language and the lack in your brief of any coherent argument to be allowed to file. Accordingly, they instructed me not to file it with the record and to return it to you. A court order was not issued.”

;-)

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 30 October 2012 6:11 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Tuesday, October 30, 2012 6:11 PM
To: 'Maggie Doyle'
Subject: RE: Brad - Are there any lawyers in Radical Honesty???

Hey Maggie,

I hope you are not anywhere near that Sandy storm!!

I have a few questions, I wanted to ask you, to ponder, and am considering how to include in the Amicus.

1. Do you consider yourself as a ‘member of the Radical Honesty culture/religion’; or would you say you are considering becoming a member; not yet a ‘convert’! ;-)
2. If you do consider yourself a member, would you be afraid to express your ‘radical honesty opinions’ in a brief filed with the court; fear of being cited for contempt or being barred or such like?
3. If you knew Radical Honesty religious speech was protected by the first amendment including in legal briefs, would you consider adding a ‘caveat’ on your briefs, that they are prepared by a lawyer, who is a member of radical honesty religion, and practices radical honesty religious speech?

Here is what I have written so far:

Petitioner is not the only member of the Radical Honesty culture and religion (Futilitarianism), however Petitioner is the only member of the Radical Honesty religion who transparently expresses her ‘Radical Honesty’ religious speech opinions honestly in legal documents.

Petitioner was recently made aware of a lawyer who is, or is considering becoming a member of Radical Honesty culture - - Maggie Doyle - who does not currently practice ‘radical honesty religious speech’ in her briefs, for fear of either being cited for contempt or being disbarred.

I have finally finished the draft of the Cert application.

I still intend to do lots of editing, got to bring it down to 40 pages, but I think the argument is coherent... just needs to be made much sharper. However, I am of course biased.

It is attached in word: two parts, the Index and authorities in one section; and the argument/case in the other.

Please don't feel obliged, especially if you are affected by that storm.

Regards,

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 06 November 2012 12:50 AM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Tuesday, November 06, 2012 12:50 AM
To: 'Maggie Doyle'
Subject: FW: USC-Cert: Alien on Pale Blue Dot vs. RFCP et al - per Electronic Service

Hi Maggie,

I filed the petition for writ of certiorari.

I imagine you been busy, or maybe you ain't interested.

Anyway, documents been filed and mailed by airmail. ETA of arrival at Supreme court is 16 December.

Feel free to share your thoughts! ;-)

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 06 November 2012 9:48 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Tuesday, November 06, 2012 9:48 PM
To: 'Brad Blanton'
Cc: 'Anne Alexander'; 'Brandon Enget'; 'Maggie Doyle'
Subject: Radical Honesty Petition filed to Supreme Court..... Request to Brad

Hey Brad,

The Petition has been filed with the Supreme Court.

I want you to please consider the following:

1. I want you to file a very short, amicus to the court (one page); saying what you think about the Petition (vis a vis: Radical Honesty: whether 'Practicing Radical Honesty' should be considered as 'Religious Speech' in terms of the First Amendment).

2. To inform Radical Honesty community members of the Petition, and whether any of them are interested in informing the court about their 'Practicing Radical Honesty' expert opinions.

3. If you, or any other RH'er is interested in informing the court of your PRH opinions. Then you need to email the respondents, to ask them for consent for you/members of Radical Honesty to inform the court of your thoughts.

=====

1. Your Amicus:

If you are still uninterested, to say so, one page, short and sweet, if you want:

"I am Brad Blanton, founder of Radical Honesty Culture. [Brief bio]

I am as uninterested as I can be. Whether Lara wins or loses it won't convince a single soul of a single thing. Seems like a complete waste of time to me."

I want you to do so to let them know that you, and Radical Honesty community are aware of the Petition, and the Petition's contents that 'Practicing Radical Honesty' be considered as 'Religious Speech' in terms of the First Amendment, and what your brutally honest thoughts are about it. Whether you give a fuck or not, and why you give a fuck or not; and how you give a fuck or not, or whatever the fuck you want to say! ;-)

=====

Let me know if 2 & 3 are relevant in pursuing.

Luv

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 07 November 2012 11:43 AM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Wednesday, November 07, 2012 11:43 AM
To: 'Maggie Doyle'
Cc: 'Brad Blanton'
Subject: Maggie Doyle -- Resentments

Hi Maggie,

I resent you for your silence.

I resent you for not responding to my email.
I resent you for not answering my query about whether you are busy or not interested.
I imagine you are not interested, and too goddamn gutless to say so.
I imagine you have opinions, you are too goddamn gutless to express.
I imagine you are just another gutless goddamn coward lawyer.
I am sitting upright in front of my computer, drinking coffee and laughing.

I imagine my laughter is a coping mechanism. If I don't laugh at the reality of living on a planet of gutless fucking cowards, I'd fucking cry, and I've spent my tears on gutless fucking cowards. So these days its laughter. I should get some of Pila's laughter gas and move on to the next dimension, find that fucking bitch who left without saying goodbye, and hear what the fuck she's listening to now, on to the next dimension, surely there has to be some dimension which is populated by beings with a goddamn fucking backbone. Good god, imagine if not! What a fucking thought! All dimensions are populated by gutless fucking cowards! Ugh.. only option is to become a neo-nazi... at least they ain't afraid to express their honest opinions! LOL! I can join them in drawing up plans for gaschambers for all the planets gutless fucking cowards.

Reminds me why I love making compost!! Fucking gutless coward humans are a fucking waste of time.... they should exterminate themselves from the planet, sooner the better.

Ahh... well... should go back to talking to myself. Conversation is way more interesting, at least I don't give my alter ego's the passive aggressive silent treatment! LOL...

Hoohah.... off to make compost...

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 09 November 2012 9:54 AM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 9:54 AM
To: 'Brad Blanton'
Cc: 'Anne Alexander'; 'Brandon Enget'; 'Maggie Doyle'
Subject: RE: Radical Honesty Petition filed to Supreme Court..... Request to Brad

Brad,

Do you intend responding?

Lara

From: Anne Alexander | Sent: 09 November 2012 2:33 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Anne Alexander [mailto:annebizcoach@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 2:33 PM
To: Lara
Cc: Brad Blanton; Brandon Enget; Maggie Doyle
Subject: Re: Radical Honesty Petition filed to Supreme Court..... Request to Brad

Lara - Brad is leaving for Europe tomorrow and is super busy. He gets 100+ emails every day so he may not be able to respond soon.

thanks for understanding,

anne

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 09 November 2012 3:58 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 3:58 PM
To: 'Anne Alexander'
Cc: 'Brad Blanton'; 'Brandon Enget'; 'Maggie Doyle'
Subject: 2 Short 'Yes' or 'No' questions for Brad

Hi Anne,

Thanks for response.

I doubt he receives a hundred emails, informing him of the opportunity to inform the United States Supreme Court of his 'interest' whether 'Practicing Radical Honesty' should be considered First Amendment Religious speech.

By when can he answer the following questions, or delegate the question to someone who can answer them:

1. YES, or NO: Is Brad going to inform the Supreme Court of his interest or lack of interest that Practicing Radical Honesty' should be considered First Amendment Religious speech?
2. If YES: By when?
3. YES or NO: Is Brad going to inform the Radical Honesty community, or delegate someone to do it for him; of the opportunity to inform the United States Supreme Court of their 'interest' whether 'Practicing Radical Honesty' should be considered First Amendment Religious speech?
4. If YES, whom, and BY WHEN?

If interested: Attached is an even more simplified 'Notice of Interest' Brief letter. One for Brad, and one for whomever is delegated to inform any other members of Radical Honesty, who may wish to inform the court of their interest. It takes less than 3 minutes to fill out. And will take less than 5 minutes for Brad's assistant to print, copy and stick in envelopes.

Thanks,

Lara

From: Brad Blanton | **Sent:** 09 November 2012 4:23 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Brad Blanton [mailto:brad@radicalhonesty.com]
Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 4:23 PM
To: Lara
Cc: 'Anne Alexander'; 'Brandon Enget'; 'Maggie Doyle'
Subject: Re: 2 Short 'Yes' or 'No' questions for Brad

the answer is NO to everything Lara. brad

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 09 November 2012 11:39 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 11:39 PM
To: 'Brad Blanton'
Cc: 'Anne Alexander'; 'Brandon Enget'; 'Maggie Doyle'
Subject: RE: 2 Short 'Yes' or 'No' questions for Brad

Thanks Brad.

I appreciate you for your response.
I appreciate you for saying no.

Thanks. Enjoy Europe

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 13 December 2012 10:12 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2012 10:12 PM
To: 'Brad Blanton'; 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Anne Alexander'
Subject: Brad & Maggie Doyle: Re: Request to IBA RE: Radical Honesty culture in SA.

CC: Brad and Maggie Doyle

Transparency copy:

Excerpt from Letter to Int. Bar Assoc: Re: Radical Honesty culture in SA.

[5] Transparency: Additional Background Information: Response from the International Radical Honesty culture:

The Radical Honesty culture and religion was founded by Dr. Brad Blanton, who is: (1) President and CEO of The Center for Radical Honesty, dedicated to promoting honesty in the world; (2) former candidate for Congress in 2004 and 2006, on the platform of 'Honesty in Politics' ; (3) Pope of the Radical Honesty Futilitarian Church; i.e. "Dr. Truth" ; and (4) author of (a) Radical Honesty: How To Transform your Life by Telling the Truth; (b) Practicing Radical Honesty: How to Complete the Past, Stay in the Present and Build a Future with a Little Help from Your Friends, (c) Honest to God: A Change of Heart that Can Change the World, with Neale Donald Walsh (Conversations with God series); (d) Radical Parenting: Seven Steps to a Functional Family in a Dysfunctional World; (e) The Truthtellers: Stories of Success by Radically Honest People and (f) Beyond Good and Evil: The Eternal Split-Second-Sound-Light-Being; (g) Some New Kind of Trailer Trash.

Worldwide, I am the only member of the Radical Honesty community who has practiced brutal honesty in court proceedings, and been imprisoned for expressing her brutal honest opinions in a court of law (1 Year Prison Sentence for Contempt of Court by Magistrate ADS Meyer: George, South Africa) and to politician Patricia de Lille (1 Month Prison & 3 Year Suspended Sentence: Capetown). Dr. Blanton filed an expert witness affidavit (PDF) in support of the Amicus Curiae submitted to the Constitutional Court in The Citizen v. Robert McBride (CCT 23-10).

On 31 October 2012, I filed an application with the U.S. Supreme Court for review of the decision by the United States Court of Appeals for Armed Forces in the CCR v USA matter . One of the questions presented being "Unlike most religions, Futilitarians Practicing Radical Honesty (PRH) religious speech do not practice 'Public Relations Image Management': If PRH 'religious speech' is to a Futilitarian, what wearing a turban/hijab is to a Sikh/Muslim, Proselytizing door-to-door is for a Jehovah's Witness; does the courts 'indecent language' rule of general applicability rejection of Petitioner's PRH religious speech Amicus pass the 'Sherbert Test'?"

Dr. Brad Blanton put me in touch with the one member of the Radical Honesty culture who is a lawyer: Maggie Doyle, practicing as Doyle Law LLC in Denver, Colorado. Ms. Doyle, was initially interested in the matter, until she found out that I do not endorse bigotry and discrimination against Conservatives or 'right wingers', and that I endorse access to the rule of law and courts for everyone. She appears to be a lukewarm or cowardly member of the Radical Honesty culture, in that she does not practice Radical Honesty in her legal practice. She also lacks the honour to resolve issues of disagreement with other members of the Radical Honesty culture. Her commitment to sincere forgiveness is also fake, in that she is extremely bigoted towards conservatives and people who are 'right wing'; whom she does not consider worthy of access to the rule of law, or justice. She is so bigoted towards right wing and conservatives, that she even discriminates against any member of the Radical Honesty culture - such as myself - who actually stands up for the rights of everyone from the extreme left, to the extreme right.

Dr. Blanton stated that he was personally uninterested to support a court ruling that rules in favour of Practicing Radical Honesty being considered 'religious speech', to be protected by the First Amendment. As I informed the U.S Supreme Court Justices: "I imagine, Dr. Blanton's alleged lack of interest in the matter, is that if the Justices do decide to hear the matter, he fears he will be cited for contempt of court, if he expresses his brutally honest opinions to the Justices. It is easier for Dr. Blanton

to keep his beliefs about how superior he is to mindfucked Supreme Court Justices stuck in stare decisis 'I think, therefore I am' la la land, without being forced into Colonel Jessop's 'eyeball-to-eyeball' position of choosing whether to (a) decide to practice 'You can't handle the truth' radical honesty in the court, and risk the Justices contempt; or (b) exposing his lack of courage to practice what he preaches when he finds himself in a court room."

=====

Executive Director: Mark Ellis
Deputy Executive Director: Tim Hughes
BIC Project Manager: Elaine Owen
Head of Legal Projects: Gonzalo Guzmán
Human Rights Inst Co-Dir: Fiona Wilson & Phillip Tahmindjis
Head of Legal Practice Div: Ronnie Hart
International Bar Association
4th Floor, 10 St Bride Street
London, EC4A 4AD, United Kingdom
Tel: +44 (0)20 7842 0090 | Fax: +44 (0)20 7842 0091

CC: SA Law Societies & Bar Associations
CC: Alien on Pale Blue Dot v Afriforum et al Parties
CC: SA Institute of Corporate Fraud Management, Transparency International
CC: Radical Honesty: Brad Blanton & Maggie Doyle

Dear International Bar Association Officials,

Please find attached PDF:

Request IBA provide Independent Observation and Written Confirmation that (I) the SA Concourt refuses to process -- or provide written reasons for their refusal -- a Pro Se application, from a member of the Radical Honesty culture, (II) who is unable to find a lawyer in South Africa, to represent her, as a member of the Radical Honesty culture; (III) South African media believe it is 'not news' that a member of the Radical Honesty culture is unable to find a lawyer in South Africa; for Radical Honesty Pro Se communication to UNHRC: Human Rights Committee, ITO violations of International Covenant on Civil & Political Rights (ICCPR).

Sincerely,

Lara Johnstone

From: Brad Blanton | Sent: 13 December 2012 10:33 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Brad Blanton [mailto:brad@radicalhonesty.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2012 10:33 PM
To: Lara
Cc: 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Anne Alexander'
Subject: Re: Brad & Maggie Doyle: Re: Request to IBA RE: Radical Honesty culture in SA.

From: Maggie Doyle | Sent: 14 December 2012 6:26 AM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Maggie Doyle [mailto:maggie@multiversity.net]
Sent: Friday, December 14, 2012 6:26 AM
To: Brad Blanton
Cc: Lara; Anne Alexander
Subject: Re: Brad & Maggie Doyle: Re: Request to IBA RE: Radical Honesty culture in SA.

And you got me all wrong. I resent you for writing every word you wrote about me.
Publishing such lies is libel.
Maggie

On Dec 13, 2012, at 2:33 PM, "Brad Blanton" <brad@radicalhonesty.com> wrote:

Sorry Lara, you missed most of what Radical Honesty is about. You don't get it. brad

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 14 December 2012 10:59 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Friday, December 14, 2012 10:59 AM
To: 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Brad Blanton'
Cc: 'Lara'; 'Anne Alexander'
Subject: RE: Brad & Maggie Doyle: Re: Request to IBA RE: Radical Honesty culture in SA.

Hi Maggie,

I appreciate you for your response.

I ain't aware of any lie that I published.

I imagine your decision to go silent on me, was due to resentments you were not willing to express.

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 14 December 2012 11:02 AM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Friday, December 14, 2012 11:02 AM
To: 'Brad Blanton'
Cc: 'Anne Alexander'; 'Maggie Doyle'
Subject: RE: Brad & Maggie Doyle: Re: Request to IBA RE: Radical Honesty culture in SA.

Hi Brad,

That's possible, but unless you are more specific, I will never 'get it', whatever you are attempting to convey.

Its also possible, you have resentments you prefer not to be specific about, and instead resort to this 'Lara does not get it' story to avoid addressing your specific resentments.

So, I am not clear what you resent. Maybe I am too dumbfuck village idiot! LOL

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 14 December 2012 11:05 AM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Friday, December 14, 2012 11:05 AM
To: 'Brad Blanton'
Cc: 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Anne Alexander'
Subject: RE: Brad & Maggie Doyle: Re: Request to IBA RE: Radical Honesty culture in SA.

Brad,

By the way, your email to me, did not include the statement you made to Maggie. I was not aware of what you wrote, until I saw it in Maggie's email.

Here is the email I received from you.

Lara

From: Maggie Doyle | **Sent:** 14 December 2012 4:02 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Maggie Doyle [mailto:maggie@multiversity.net]
Sent: Friday, December 14, 2012 4:10 PM
To: <jmcswan@mweb.co.za>
Cc: Brad Blanton; Lara; Anne Alexander
Subject: Re: Brad & Maggie Doyle: Re: Request to IBA RE: Radical Honesty culture in SA.

And you imagine wrong.

Maggie

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 14 December 2012 4:43 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Friday, December 14, 2012 4:43 PM
To: 'Maggie Doyle'
Cc: 'Brad Blanton'; 'Anne Alexander'
Subject: RE: Brad & Maggie Doyle: Re: Request to IBA RE: Radical Honesty culture in SA.

Quite possible... that's why its an 'imagine'!

I ain't psychic.. seemed the most common sense village idiot answer to your sudden silence.

Why did you suddenly go silent?

Why didn't you respond to my questions about why you had suddenly gone silent?

What specifically did I say that was a lie?

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 15 December 2012 11:54 AM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Saturday, December 15, 2012 11:54 AM
To: 'Maggie Doyle'
Cc: 'Brad Blanton'; 'Anne Alexander'
Subject: RE: Brad & Maggie Doyle: Re: Request to IBA RE: Radical Honesty culture in SA.

LOL....

So it appears you got fuck all answers, to why you suddenly went silent, why you refused to clarify why you went silent.

And sweet fuck all evidence to prove that anything I said was a lie!

LOL.... Lawyers!!!!

You make the Catholic Church's Indulgence Salesmen look like honest transparent upright citizens!!!

The only difference between the Catholic Church's Indulgence Salesmen and current day lawyers, is that the Catholic Church was brutally honest about its act of indulgence sales: selling innocence for a profit; current lawyers pretend they run a fucking 'justice' system, but its really an indulgence 'innocence for profit' system, without the Catholic Church's honesty and transparency!!

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 15 December 2012 12:14 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Saturday, December 15, 2012 12:14 PM
To: 'Brad Blanton'
Cc: 'Anne Alexander'; 'Maggie Doyle'
Subject: RE: Brad & Maggie Doyle: Re: Request to IBA RE: Radical Honesty culture in SA.

So, what did I 'not get'? Brad

Radical Honesty is about being pretend honest, so as to get yourself a fucking little 'radical liberal progressive' fanclub, you can parasite yourself upon?

Radical Honesty is about being a 'radical liberal progressive' who blames everything on conservatives and right wingers, who lacks the honour and integrity to engage them, with an open enquiring mind?

Radical Honesty is about being a 'radical foxy liberal' who thinks that blacks are retarded and unworthy of honest criticism?

Radical Honesty is about expressing your resentments and appreciations transparently and staying in the conversation until they are sensorially resolved?

Which one is it, that I do not 'get', Brad?

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 18 December 2012 2:18 AM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] On Behalf Of Lara
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2012 12:19 PM
To: 'FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec'
Cc: 'Brad Blanton'; 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Anne Alexander'; radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com; 'Taber Shadburne'; 'Greg Small'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Marina Dervan'

Subject: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 819] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

Special Agent in Charge
Jeffrey C. Mazanec
Federal Bureau of Investigation
1970 East Parham Road
Richmond, VA 23228
Tel: (804) 261-1044 | Fax: (804) 627-4494
E-mail: Richmond@ic.fbi.gov

CC: Radical Honesty: Brad Blanton & Maggie Doyle

Please find attached PDF complaint:

Re: A Practicing Radical Honesty Village Idiot complaint of White Collar Fraud against Director of Center for Radical Honesty: Dr. Brad Blanton from Center for Radical Honesty, Stanley, VA.

Agent Mazanec,

Overview:

Dr. Blanton is the founder of the Radical Honesty culture and religion (Futilitarianism), who advocates to 'Practice Radical Honesty' and let the chips fall where they may. Dr. Blanton deceives his village idiot followers, by not warning them that: The Radical Honesty community will not support any village idiot 'Practicing Radical Honesty' as 'religious speech', if, or when charged with any 'insult speech' crime. The Radical Honesty community will do sweet fuck all, while the village idiot is convicted and sentenced to prison, for 'Practicing Radical Honesty'. Furthermore Dr. Blanton refuses to support any efforts on behalf of courts recognizing 'Practicing Radical Honesty' speech as 'religious speech'.

Respectfully Submitted,

Lara Johnstone

Encl: Transcript of Correspondence to Brad Blanton and Maggie Doyle

From: Francisco Martin | Sent: 18 December 2012 6:20 AM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** martinfr3
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2012 1:20 PM

To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com

Cc: FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec; Marina Dervan; Clara Griffin; Greg Small; Maggie Doyle; Raven Dana; Anne Alexander; Susan Campbell; Brad Blanton; Taber Shadburne

Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 820] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

Hey Brad,

Care to enlighten me as to why Lara may not "get it"?

I'm curious too. Cause if she doesn't get it, then I don't either.

Maggie,

Care to enlighten me as to what Lara lied about?

What's a matter Brad and Maggie? Nurse Ratched got your tongue? Or maybe worse, your own ego?

I resent both of you for what I interpret as abject cowardice. Just fucking answer her! Or if I am way off, tell me so I can understand.

Don't know if you give a shit Brad, but this woman (Lara Johnstone) you are turning your back on is the only reason I have funded your cause by buying your books and recommending it to everyone I know and care about.

... Lara if it means any goddamn fucking thing, I read the entire attachment and I support you...

Sincerely,

-Francisco

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 18 December 2012 7:19 PM

Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara

Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2012 7:19 PM

To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com

Cc: 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Greg Small'; 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Brad Blanton'; 'Taber Shadburne'

Subject: RE: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 821] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

Thanks Francisco.

I'd like to resolve the issues..... and hope Brad will come around, to clarifying himself.. and if not!

Maybe the FBI can convince him! LOL!

I don't know how Brad defines his problem. My 'problem' is: I am no longer clear (and I suspect my previous clarity may have been a combination of self deception and wanting to believe brad's bullshit the public relations), and I would like some clarity, and Brad is playing withholding games with me.

I don't give a fuck about Brad never having acknowledged or said thank you for my efforts to spread the radical honesty message. I only want sincere thank you and sincere recognition. If its not sincere, its better left unsaid. So on that, I appreciate brad for not giving me any fake two faced 'thank you's, or such shit. I believe in the radical honesty message, at least my understanding of it, and fucked up village idiot way of practicing it. I don't regret my Radical Honesty activism efforts.

I did receive a fucking kick in the groin wake up call, when I asked Brad to (A) inform the US Supreme Court of his interest in supporting that 'Practicing Radical Honesty' should be considered First Amendment Religious speech' and (B) to inform the RH community for them to inform the Supreme Court of their interest in the matter; and he said NO.

I spent 20% of my savings on filing that Radical Honesty application to the US Supreme Court!

It does not make sense to me, and his refusal to explain himself, smells very fucking fishy.

That kick in the groin....., dislodged a few core blind assumptions I had, about Brad Blanton and the Radical Honesty community. It triggered some retro-spection... and some questions about past actions... was I bullshitting myself all along?

If Brad told me some member of Radical Honesty in India, or California, or Norway had been convicted of insulting someone, while expressing his honest opinions and attempting to resolve a matter. It would not matter a flying fuck to me, if that person was a raving fucking liberal progressive or a neo-nazi, and I had fuck all agreement with that member of Radical Honesty's ideological worldview. I would sit down and write a letter of support to that Judge, as a member of the Radical Honesty community.

If someone, in any country was working to get legal recognition for the radical honesty culture/religion in their country, I'd be fucking over the moon to write to that judge or organisation, to support the endeavour.

So, I am curious: what the fuck does this 'radical honesty' community mean?

Why is Brad opposed to supporting the principle that Practicing Radical Honesty be considered protected Religious/cultural speech?

Cause he has valid reasons, but refuses to provide those reasons?

Or cause he's a fucking fraud?

From: Brad Blanton | Sent: 18 December 2012 5:56 AM [Email was not sent to Lara; who only received it later when Francisco responded to it]
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud PDF

From: "Brad Blanton" <brad@radicalhonesty.com>

Date: Dec 18, 2012 5:56 AM

Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 819] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

To: "martinfr3" <martinfr3@gmail.com>

Cc: <radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com>, "FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec" <Richmond@ic.fbi.gov>, "Marina Dervan" <marina@thehonestycoach.com>, "Clara Griffin" <venusrise@aol.com>, "Greg Small" <Changemaster@startsmall.com>, "Maggie Doyle" <maggie@multiversity.net>, "Raven Dana" <raven@stresswizardcoaching.com>, "Anne Alexander" <annebizcoach@gmail.com>, "Susan Campbell" <drsusan@susancampbell.com>, "Taber Shadburne" <taber@churchofsoul.org>

Sorry everyone. Apparently Lara has finally lost it. She has all our email addresses and one or two cohorts and won't take no for an answer, so we have to put up with this for awhile. Just ditch these when they come. She lives in South Africa and can't come back to the U.S. anymore. She is pissed off with me because I am unwilling to support her rants and what I think are her futile appeals to the court systems in both the U.S. and South Africa, where she files briefs and things claiming they are from me, excerpting quotes from things I have written and adding whatever she thinks I could or should say on her behalf. That's all the explanation I have for you Francisco. Brad

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 19 December 2012 2:35 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara
Sent: Wednesday, December 19, 2012 2:35 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Cc: 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Greg Small'; 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Brad Blanton'; 'Taber Shadburne'
Subject: RE: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 822] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

Brad,

I notice you are still withholding.

I also notice – at least in this public forum – silence from your trainers and other RH'ers.

I filed a request with the Office of Congressman Eric Cantor this morning, asking his office to please request the FBI Richmond office, to confirm the FBI's receipt and enquiry into my complaint.

Lara

From: Anne Alexander | Sent: 19 December 2012 3:25 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Anne Alexander [mailto:annebizcoach@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 19, 2012 3:25 PM
To: Lara
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 820] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

Hi Lara - I don't think attempting to communicate on this subject via email is going to get you what you want from Brad, do you? He is constantly telling us to go in person to talk to the people we have a problem with. I understand that, because I have rarely, if ever, found real problems between people to be solved via email. I realize you are far away, so it is problematic, however I sincerely doubt that your persistent emails will get you what you want. Copying a whole bunch of people makes it almost seem like harassment. He didn't ask you to file any briefs. I imagine it is very disappointing to you that he didn't want to sign on to your effort, but he had no obligation to do so. I imagine he has his reasons for declining. No matter how well intentioned you feel your actions have been, he has a right to decide what he wants to do or not do.

best,
anne

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 19 December 2012 4:02 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara
Sent: Wednesday, December 19, 2012 4:02 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Cc: 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Greg Small'; 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Brad Blanton'; 'Taber Shadburne'
Subject: RE: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 823] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

Hi Anne,

Thanks for your response. I appreciate you for responding.

I resent you for sending it privately. I have got sweet fuck all to hide. Radical Honesty is about transparency, not backroom backstabbing.

All I want from Brad is Honesty. If he ain't capable of that, he is a fucking fraud.

There is no such fucking thing as 'harrassment' in Radical Honesty Anne. When you join the Radical Honesty community, you make a goddamn fucking commitment (or I did), that you will resolve issues with other members, and you will stick in the goddamn fucking conversation for as long as it goddamn lasts. If someone is 'harrassing' you, its cause you are a goddamn fucking coward and refuse to take a stand and confront the issue. They are doing you a goddamn fucking favour, by showing you're their commitment to resolving the issue!

Jesus lady, you should watch your own goddamn fucking video, where you say that you refuse to take on any clients, who are not willing to (A) listen, (B) talk, and (3) Act. So you got yourself a fucking client, who is refusing to listen, talk and act, and you ain't kicking his goddamn fucking ass? Too addicted to his money?

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=057fkM9Arq0>

“He didn't ask you to file any briefs.”

Oh, I see!! And Tobacco companies did not ask anyone to purchase their cigarettes either, so had no obligation to inform the people who purchased their products, that those cigarettes were toxic cancerous causing????

When you advise someone to do something that can get them arrested and imprisoned, should you not also inform them: Hey and if you practice radical honesty, to some fuckwit who has a fragile ego and gets you arrested and sent to prison, don't expect me or anyone in the Radical Honesty community to support you in mounting a Radical Honesty cultural/religious defense, cause we have fuck all obligation to do so?

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 19 December 2012 4:51 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara
Sent: Wednesday, December 19, 2012 4:51 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Cc: 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Greg Small'; 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Brad Blanton'; 'Taber Shadburne'
Subject: RE: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 824] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

South African Village Idiot Files Charges of Fraud against US Guru - Radical Honesty

A Radical Honesty village idiot filed White Collar Fraud charges complaint with the Richmond FBI Office, against the Founder of the -- Tourette Syndrome like -- Radical Honesty Culture: Dr. Brad Blanton.

<http://ireport.cnn.com/docs/DOC-898864>

From: Francisco Martin | Sent: 20 December 2012 11:17 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: martinfr3 [mailto:martinfr3@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 20, 2012 11:17 PM
To: Lara Johnstone
Subject: Fwd: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 819] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

Lara,
Did you get this? Didn't see your name in the "to"

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Brad Blanton" <brad@radicalhonesty.com>
Date: Dec 18, 2012 5:56 AM
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 819] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA
To: "martinfr3" <martinfr3@gmail.com>
Cc: <radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com>, "FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec" <Richmond@ic.fbi.gov>, "Marina Dervan" <marina@thehonestycoach.com>, "Clara Griffin" <venusrise@aol.com>, "Greg Small" <Changemaster@startsmall.com>, "Maggie Doyle" <maggie@multiversity.net>, "Raven Dana" <raven@stresswizardcoaching.com>, "Anne Alexander" <annebizcoach@gmail.com>, "Susan Campbell" <drsusan@susancampbell.com>, "Taber Shadburne" <taber@churchofsoul.org>

Sorry everyone. Apparently Lara has finally lost it. She has all our email addresses and one or two cohorts and won't take no for an answer, so we have to put up with this for awhile. Just ditch these when they come. She lives in South Africa and can't come back to the U.S. anymore. She is pissed off with me because I am unwilling to support her rants and what I think are her futile appeals to the court systems in both the U.S. and South Africa, where she files briefs and things claiming they are from me, excerpting quotes from things I have written and adding whatever she thinks I could or should say on her behalf. That's all the explanation I have for you Francisco. Brad

From: Francisco Martin | Sent: 20 December 2012 11:35 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** martinfr3
Sent: Thursday, December 20, 2012 11:35 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Cc: Clara Griffin; Maggie Doyle; Greg Small; Brad Blanton; Anne Alexander; Raven Dana; Susan Campbell; Marina Dervan; Taber Shadburne
Subject: RE: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 825] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

To Brad or whomever gives a shit:

These do not appear to be the words of someone who has "lost it". If by "lost it" you mean sanity.

Lara appears to be of very sound mind to me. In fact she seems to be promoting the RH message better than Brad, or anyone for that matter.

Yes, I think her campaign to promote her ideas is a bit like an ant trying to move a mountain so to speak. But I do not in anyway attribute it to insanity or what I understand "loosing it" to mean. And it does not make me any less inclined to support her... Hell I would feel guilty as all hell if I were you Brad; this person is promoting your ideology more than anyone else and sacrificing all for it... And practicing it more honorably than anyone else has the balls to... Yet you turn your back on her when she needs you... I still don't get it.

Is anybody else getting these emails and thinking the same things?

Does anybody care to aid in my understanding either way?

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 21 December 2012 1000 AM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara
Sent: Friday, December 21, 2012 10:00 AM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com; 'Brad Blanton'
Cc: 'martinfr3'; 'FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec'; 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Greg Small'; 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Taber Shadburne'; 'Stephanie Roth'
Subject: RE: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 826] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

Guru Brad,

1. I notice you removed me from Radical Honesty Coaching Google Groups list. I paid \$40.00 to be on that list. I have never received any coaching from it. Nor did I receive the book you promised. Nor did I receive an honourable notice, that you were going to remove me from the list, and return my \$40.00.
2. I notice you also contacted Francisco, with allegations about me, behind my back.
3. Its quite possible I have 'finally lost it'; but if I ever make such an accusation against anyone, I provide evidence. It's the honourable thing to do, I think. So, feel free to provide such evidence, and if you believe in making honourable accusations, do so in a public venue, where I have the right of reply.
4. Please can you provide evidence of any brief I have filed in any court, 'claiming they are from you'. If so, I would have committed perjury, and if you knew about it, you should have (A) confronted me, to stop, and (B) informed the court, that I was filing perjured documents to such courts.

5. As far as I recall, every brief I have filed, on behalf of Radical Honesty issues, wherein I quoted you, I have provided you with a copy of. Can you please inform me, when you contacted me to inform me that any 'Brad Blanton or Radical Honesty quote' I made in any brief to any court, was out of context, or that you did not agree. Furthermore, when did you inform any court to which I filed such document, that you did not agree with any Radical Honesty documentation I filed to such court?

Village Idiot,

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 21 December 2012 10:10 AM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara
Sent: Friday, December 21, 2012 10:10 AM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com; 'Brad Blanton'
Cc: 'martinfr3'; 'FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec'; 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Greg Small'; 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Taber Shadburne'; 'Stephanie Roth'
Subject: RE: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 827] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

Apologies.

I have not been removed from coaching blog list. It appears those emails I thought were sent to the coaching blog list, which I did not receive, were not sent to the list, but 'off list'. So I thought I had been removed.

My apologies.

The rest of my points stand as stated below.

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 21 December 2012 3:58 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara
Sent: Friday, December 21, 2012 3:58 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com; 'Brad Blanton'
Cc: 'martinfr3'; 'FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec'; 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Greg Small'; 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Taber Shadburne'; 'Stephanie Roth'
Subject: RE: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 828] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

Specifics re: Brad statement that “She lives in South Africa and can't come back to the U.S. anymore.”

Lara Johnstone (Johnson), a legal US permanent resident, was arrested, detained, deported and banned from re-entering the United States for ten years (March 2002 – March 2012), by the INS, for practicing radical honesty.

I met Demian Emile Johnson, of Oakland, California, in 1997, while working on providing educational information on rehabilitation issues to prisoners. Mr. Johnson has been incarcerated in the California Dept. of Corrections, on a sentence of 15-to-life for felony murder, since 1982. Mr. Johnson had used his experience in prison to confront the realities that resulted in his incarceration and consequently to make his restitution contribution by confronting at-risk youngsters to avoid making the same mistakes as he did. Demian wrote to me, we started corresponding, shared lots of similar concerns, particularly about hypocrisy, and we were married in Folsom Prison, Sacramento on 11 October 1997.

Shortly after our marriage, at the request of Demian, I filed the required residency applications (INS # A77 177 281; CA Drivers Licence #: CA: B9644585) with the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) and was granted legal residency status. I had not really wanted to become a legal resident or emigrate, but Demian said it would provide more chance of stability for our marriage and his possible parole.

On 29 January 2002 I visited the Immigration and Naturalization Office (INS) in San Francisco, to inform them that I would be returning to South Africa for a visit (I had previously purchased a Return Ticket to South Africa on Virgin Active Airlines), and required the relevant Parole Application forms, so I confirm final dates for my departure. The lady at the desk took a long time to get the simple paperwork (a parole form), and so I said something to the effect of ‘I resent you for taking so long, I imagine you are fucking incompetent, or you have some kind of gripe with immigrants, and feel the need to treat us like shit’. I was summarily arrested by the INS lady, who did not even know my name, so she really was arresting me for ‘insulting’ her; after accusing her being ‘fucking incompetent’ in a dispute about the papers. I was transferred to Yuba County Jail for detention.

The INS later dug up a reason that there had been some error in my previous parole form (when I had left the US from Virginia, to travel to South Africa for two weeks, while I had been working at Radical Honesty), but never said what. As a result of my arrest, I lost everything. I had driven my VW camper to the INS, and parked it in a next door parking lot, while going to fetch the form. It was confiscated, and after one day, I was required to pay over \$1,000 to get it out of confiscation, but I was sitting in Yuba Co Jail. Additionally, all my belongings which had been put in storage for my impending trip to South Africa were sold by the storage people, when I could not pay the bill, and was sitting in Yuba Co. Jail.

While I was in Yuba Co Jail, I telephoned Pila, a radical honesty friend, and asked her if she could get hold of Brad and ask him to please write a letter, to the court., to provide me with a ‘Practicing Radical Honesty’ cultural defense. She responded that Brad refused, and said that I was not arrested for ‘practicing radical honesty’, but because I had supported a free and fair trial for Timothy McVeigh. She said he seemed to agree that I should be deported therefore, since he had no objections thereto. However, the lady at the INS had no clue who I was, when I went to fetch the paperwork, she had not

the foggiest clue about my support for a free and fair trial for McVeigh, and her decision to arrest me, was immediately after I had 'resented her for her fucking incompetence, in finding the form'.

I decided from that experience, that it was important for me to focus my energies on setting a legal precedent of a cultural or religious defense for anyone 'practicing radical honesty' who was arrested or detained and imprisoned or deported for simply being radically honest.

If I could establish a legal precedent that granted legal protection for someone practicing radical honesty, then any individual who practiced radical honesty and was arrested, on any pathetic 'insult' or 'hate speech' law, would not have to suck Brad's ego-penis, and beg him to provide them with the expert witness testimony for a cultural/religious defense; but they could hire any normal attorney, who would be able to represent them, based upon that legal precedent, that granted 'practicing radical honesty' speech legal protection.

While at Yuba Co. Jail, I filed a written request to the South African Embassy for support, since I was detained in Yuba Co. Jail, without any means to arrange for my defence. The request was ignored by the SA Embassy.

The INS provided lawyer wanted me to make up some story, which I refused to do. I told him, I am being deported for insulting the INS officer, and if our defense is not based on the truth, then its not an honest defense. I requested a transcript of Hearing proceedings from the San Francisco Immigration judge, prior to my deportation, so as to dispute any inaccuracies in such record; and for record keeping purposes. No such transcript was ever provided.

As a result of my summary arrest, detention and deportation, I lost all my belongings: van, papers, camera's, laptop, clothing, etc. Total approx. value: \$ 25,000.

Subsequent requests to Dept. of Homeland Security in Johannesburg to make an investigation of the irregular manner in which I had been arrested, detained and deported in San Francisco, California, resulted in them filing an application to INS: San Francisco for the Applicants INS file; only to allegedly be informed that my file was 'missing', and had 'disappeared.'

Demian's repeated attempts to contact the San Francisco Immigration Office to confirm my legal status, application for residency and request the INS's investigation into my irregular arrest, detention and deportation, resulted in the INS informing him -- to his California Dept. of Corrections address -- that he would have to personally visit the INS office.

The CA Dept. of Corrections have refused to take Demian to the INS office for such a meeting with INS officials, and the INS officials refuse to visit him at the prison.

Considering the reality that I probably shall never see Demian again, unless he is released and allowed to travel to South Africa (which I would not advise, unless he was willing to totally fucking destroy his media impression about 'racial harmony' in two faced hypocrit South Africa; which he is willing to do. Ain't it a fucking joke. My nigger prison husband Demian is way more willing to confront reality, than any of my 'radical honesty' community friends, except perhaps Francisco), and to give him freedom to meet

someone else, who may be able to support him in the US, I filed for divorce. Demian does not want a divorce. However I refused to lie on various divorce affidavits, and the appointed Attorney refused to represent me, if I insisted on telling the truth. I told the attorney, 'I am not going to lie in any goddamn affidavit to any court'. He responded stating that I had sworn at him, and so withdrew from representing me. Consequently, we are still married, considered amicably separated, in current circumstances.

So those are the specific details underlying Brad's schadenfreude statement of: "She lives in South Africa and can't come back to the U.S. anymore."

From: Greg Small | **Sent:** 21 December 2012 6:58 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Greg Small [<mailto:changemaster@me.com>]
Sent: Friday, December 21, 2012 6:58 PM
To: Lara
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 826] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

Please remove my email address!

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 21 December 2012 9:19 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [<mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com>] **On Behalf Of** Lara
Sent: Friday, December 21, 2012 9:19 PM
To: 'Greg Small'; radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com; 'Brad Blanton'
Cc: 'martinfr3'; 'FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec'; 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Taber Shadburne'; 'Stephanie Roth'
Subject: RE: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 829] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

Hi Greg,

Did the ghetto cracker upset you????

I imagine you asking for your email to be removed, is another way of saying that you resent me for including you, and other trainers, in this dispute with Brad and Radical Honesty.

You know that Stanley Milgram story Brad always tells about Nazi Germany and all those gutless coward Germans who didn't have the balls to stand up to Hitler and the SS? You know how 92% of them lacked compassion and guts and principles?

Greg your name is on the Radical Honesty webpage as the 'Corporate Trainer', which implies that you profit from selling the Radical honesty message. I ain't got a problem with the radical honesty message, but I do have a problem with the Radical Honesty Guru, who does not practice the message; and his trainers, who won't hold him accountable.

<http://www.radicalhonesty.com/about/who-we-are/radical-honesty-trainers/>

If you profit from selling a product that advises people to do something which may get them arrested, convicted and a criminal record; you should inform them whether you will provide them with 'expert advise defense', or not; if they are arrested for following your expert advice. If you do not intend to provide them with such an expert advise defense, withholding that information from them to enable them to make a fully informed decision of the risks involved in following your advise, is fraud.

Now do any of the Radical Honesty trainers, have any more balls than all those Nazi's, who – for a profit, or a nice plumb SS job – just saluted and said 'Heil Hitler'?

The Radical Honesty Guru and his trainers are a cult who profit from advocating 'practicing radical honesty' for personal and social transformation; but fail to warn your students that if they practice radical honesty with someone from another culture/religion, who is then offended and then uses 'insult' or 'hate speech' laws to have them arrested; the RH cult will not support a cultural/religious defense; or to support a legal initiative for courts to protect 'radical honesty' as religious speech.

I am stuck with the my criminal record from my political activism in support of my interpretation of the 'Radical Honesty vision', a world of transparency, where practicing radical honesty is acknowledged as a culture/religion, and individuals who want to live a life of sincerity and transparency, can sincerely non-violently express their anger, committed to resolving issues and reaching forgiveness and understanding; knowing their 'practicing radical honesty' speech is protected speech, if they are sincere and committed to the conversation and reaching forgiveness. A Lenny Bruce Delancey Street world of honest race relations, where two faced do-gooder liberals, don't get fucking 'hate speech' hernia's when you mention the word 'nigger' 'cracker' 'kike' 'jap', 'greaseball' or 'spick', etc.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SONkv76rNL4>

Is it too much to ask that Radical Honesty Guru and Trainers be required to be 'radically honest' with your students, and tell them that: "The Radical Honesty cult Guru and trainers profit from advocating that you practice radical honesty, and offend people, but if your radical honesty is interpreted by other cultures fragile ego members as 'insults' or 'hate speech'; you're fucked, cause we ain't gonna lift a finger to tell the court that you lack mens rea: 'She is practicing RH your honour: sincerely sharing her anger, her intention is finding forgiveness and solving the problem'."?

As the Radical Honesty Corporate Trainer: What do you advise your corporate clients to do, if they got a customer who is unhappy with the corporate service rendered by a business? Should the corporation tell the unhappy customer: 'Please remove our email address'?

Lara

PS: Send regards to Pam. Hope you are well.

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 23 December 2012 04:01 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] On Behalf Of Lara
Sent: Sunday, December 23, 2012 4:01 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com; 'Brad Blanton'
Cc: 'martinfr3'; 'FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec'; 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Taber Shadburne'; 'Stephanie Roth'; 'Greg Small'
Subject: RE: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 830] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

TO: Radical Honesty Cult Founder/Guru: Dr. Brad Blanton (VA), Master Trainer: Taber Shadburne (CA), Corporate Trainer: Greg Small (CA), Dr. Susan Campbell (CA), Raven Dana (OH), Clara Griffin (VA), Stephanie Roth (CO), Marina Dervan (KY), & VIA Brad Blanton: Jerry Griffin (VA), & Anne Bryer (ME).

(I) Request for Confirmation of Radical Honesty Trainer support of Dr. Blanton's 'No RH Mens Rea Protection' Training policy; (II) Notice of impending 'Declaration of Radical Honesty village idiot, Lara Johnstone, in support of Complaint of White Collar Fraud against Radical Honesty Cult Founder and Trainers support of Dr. Blanton's 'No RH Mens Rea Protection' Training policy'; to be filed with the FBI.

[1] The Declaration shall argue that you are a Radical Honesty Trainer, as published on the Radical Honesty Trainers webpage, member of the Radical Honesty cult (small culture) who profits from advocating 'practicing radical honesty' (sincerely insulting or offending others to reach sincere forgiveness) for personal and socio-political profit and social transformation.

[2] As a Radical Honesty trainer, you are aware of Dr. Blanton's 'No RH Mens Rea Protection' Training policy that the Radical Honesty Guru and Trainers should not be 'radically honest' with your students, and tell them that: "The Radical Honesty cult Guru and trainers profit from advocating that RH students practice radical honesty, and insult and offend people; which our Guru and Lawyer are too gutless petrified to do in a court of law; but if your RH student radical honesty is interpreted by other cultures fragile ego members as 'insults' or 'hate speech'; you're fucked, cause we ain't gonna lift a finger to tell the court that you lack mens rea: 'She is practicing RH your honour: sincerely sharing her anger, her intention is finding forgiveness and solving the problem'?.?

[3] You support or do not support Dr. Blanton's 'No RH Mens Rea Protection' Training policy to fail to warn your students that (I) the Radical Honesty Guru: Dr. Brad Blanton, is too petrified to practice radical honesty in a court of law, for fearing he will be cited for contempt; (II) the Radical Honesty Lawyer: Maggie Doyle is too petrified to practice radical honesty in a court of law, or her legal practice, for fearing she will be cited for contempt; (III) if RH students practice radical honesty with someone from another culture/religion, who is then offended and then uses 'insult' or 'hate speech' laws to have them arrested; the RH cult will not support a cultural/religious defense; or to support a legal initiative for courts to protect 'radical honesty' as religious speech.

[3.1] DO NOT SUPPORT 'NO RH MENS REA PROTECTION': If you do not support Dr. Blanton's aforementioned 'No RH Mens Rea Protection' policy, please submit a written confirmation to myself, copied to Dr. Blanton and other Radical Honesty trainers, by 23:00 hrs on 31 December 2012.

[3.2] SUPPORT 'NO RH MENS REA PROTECTION': In the absence of any written confirmation from yourself to myself, copied to Dr. Blanton and other Radical honesty trainers, by 23:00 hrs on 31 December 2012, your representation to myself, Dr. Blanton and other Radical Honesty trainers shall be that you support Dr. Blanton's 'No RH Mens Rea Protection' training policy.

Sincerely,

Lara Johnstone

Encl: Radical Honesty Village Idiot, Lara Johnstone's, statement of Non-Support for Dr. Brad Blanton's 'No RH Mens Rea Protection' Radical Honesty Training Policy.

From: Greg Small | Sent: 23 December 2012 10:30 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Greg Small [mailto:changemaster@me.com]
Sent: Sunday, December 23, 2012 10:30 PM
To: Lara
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 829] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

Please remove my email address

Greg

Sent from Greg's iPad

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 24 December 2012 03:59 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara
Sent: Monday, December 24, 2012 3:59 PM
To: 'Greg Small'; radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com; 'Brad Blanton'
Cc: 'martinfr3'; 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Taber Shadburne'; 'Stephanie Roth'
Subject: RE: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 831] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

Greg,

I have said what I wanted to say to you Greg, to Brad and to other Radical Honesty trainers.

In the absence of any written confirmation from each Radical Honesty trainer who does not support Dr. Blanton's 'No RH Mens Rea Protection' training policy, by 23:00 hrs on 31 December, I shall conclude – and subsequently inform the FBI -- that you support the Radical Honesty 'No RH Mens Rea Protection' training policy, i.e. fraud upon radical honesty students.

However, if there are any other responses on this issue, I shall not copy your email address on the matter; as per your request not to be informed of such discussion.

Lara