

GMC 4643-13 applicant/s correspondence to and
from or about Brad Blanton / Radical Honesty
aka Radical Hypocrisy community [[PDF](#)]

2013

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 20 January 2013 9:31 PM

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Sunday, January 20, 2013 9:31 PM
To: 'Ann Johnstone'
Cc: jmc.pa.tf@gmail.com
Subject: Brad Blanton footprint
Attachment: SHARP_Template_-_Brad_Blanton_Footprint.doc

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 20 January 2013 9:49 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] On Behalf Of Lara
Sent: Sunday, January 20, 2013 9:49 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com; brad@radicalhonesty.com
Subject: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 834] Brad Blanton's Consumption & Procreation Footprint
Attachments: Attachment: 13-01-19_Brad_Blanton_Consume_&_Breed_Footprint.pdf; Footprint-BradBlanton_600x760.png

Brad Blanton's Consumption footprint is 85.18 global hectares (gha). If everyone consumed like Dr. Blanton & bred no children, we would need 5.42 earths. Dr. Blanton has six children. His breeding footprint factor is $6 \times 20^* = 120$. Consumption (85.18) \times breeding factor (120) = 10,221.6 gha. If everyone consumed and bred like Dr. Blanton we would need 650 earths.

* Murtaugh Paul, Family Planning: A Major Environmental Emphasis; Oregon University

Consumption Footprint Quiz Inputs
<http://tiny.cc/BlantonFP-Input>
http://issuu.com/js-ror/docs/130119_blantonfootprint

Consumption Footprint Results
<http://tiny.cc/BlantonFP-Results>
http://myfootprint.org/en/your_results/?id=2532846

From: Brad Blanton | Sent Monday January 21 2013 4:26 PM

From: Brad Blanton [mailto:brad@radicalhonesty.com]
Sent: Monday, January 21, 2013 4:26 PM
To: jmcswan@mweb.co.za
Subject: Re: Brad Blanton's Consumption & Procreation Footprint

Thanks Lara. Very depressing but probably accurate. brad

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 22 January 2013 12:52 PM

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 12:52 PM
To: 'Brad Blanton'
Subject: RE: Brad Blanton's Consumption & Procreation Footprint

Brad:

I appreciate you for saying 'depressing but probably accurate'.

I still resent you for saying No, to supporting my Radical Honesty application to the Supreme Court.

I resent you for your story about the Marriane Williamson 100 people in a room bullshit.

I resent you for saying 'you did not ask me to file the application to the supreme court'...

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** Friday July 05 2013 9:03 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara
Sent: Friday, July 05, 2013 9:03 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Subject: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 836] US v Manning Ecology of Peace Amicus to Ft. Meade: Maj Gen. Jeffery Buchanan

Transparency copy:

Ecology of Peace Aex Amicus submission to:

Major General Jeffery S. Buchanan
Convening Authority Commander
US Army Ft. George Mead Garrison, Maryland

Amicus argues that the charges against Pfc Bradley Manning, should be dismissed; if Pfc Manning commits to signing the MILINT Earth Day Ecology of Peace Whistleblower/Activist Oath.

Summary of the *Æquilibria*x Amicus Argument:

Theses on the Power and Efficacy of Civilized Patriarchy's AnthroCorpocentric Indulgences Innocence for Sale Legal Matrix Jurisprudence:

[1] A credible honourable court should find that the Enlistment Contract and Classified Information Nondisclosure Agreement entered into between the United States Government and Pfc. Bradley Manning did not meet the requirements of fully informed consenting agreements.

[2] The *Enlistment Contract* and *Classified Information Nondisclosure Agreement* fail to clearly and simply disclose that the United States Constitution is based upon civilized patriarchy human factory farming war economy jurisprudence principles. The Civilized patriarchy elite socio-politically and economically profit from their Control of Reproduction jurisprudence absence of a Breeding/Parenting License, enabling them to breed a Surplus Cannon Fodder Population, for profitable conquering and culling acts of theft and murder.

[3] It is clear that Pfc Manning had not the foggiest clue when he signed his *Enlistment Contract* with the US Army that he was signing up to become a cannon fodder soldier to be dispatched to plunder other nation's resources, because his country's ecologically illiterate jurisprudence does not require his fellow citizens to procreate and consume below carrying capacity levels.

[4] It is also clear Pfc Manning had no idea when he signed the *Classified Information Nondisclosure Agreement* with the United States Army, that he was expected to keep the thieving, plundering and culling consequences secrets of the American civilized patriarchy socio-political elite, endorsed by the legal matrix jurists, and an at best Ecologically Illiterate, and at worst a corrupt endorsement of Human Factory Farming War Economy, constitution; to himself.

[5] If honour or honourable credibility is important to the US Army / Military, it should require its civilized patriarchy legal matrix jurisprudence officials to amend its Enlistment Contract and Non Disclosure Agreements to fully disclose to any prospective signer of such agreement that in the absence of legislation amending the Constitution's rights to procreate and consume to ecological carrying capacity limits; any individual signing any US military enlistment form is signing up to be cannon fodder resource thieves and mass murderers for a civilized patriarchy human factory farming profiteering elite, based upon the legal authority of an ecologically illiterate constitution.

[6] These civilized patriarchy criminal charges against Eco-Innocent/Leaver: Pfc Manning, should consequently be dismissed; if Pfc Manning commits to signing the MILINT Earth Day Ecology of Peace Whistleblower/Activist Oath, and submits it to the Walk Your Footprint Talk to Support the Troops Military Advisory Board

PDF Copies of all documents at: [SQSwans: US v Pfc Manning](#).

Want to sign the Ecology of Peace Whistleblower / Activist Oath?

[Word Draft](#) of MILINT Earth Day Ecology of Peace Whistleblower/Activist Oath.

Lara
MILINT Earth Day Ecology of Peace

From: Lara
Sent: Friday, July 05, 2013 2:02 AM
To: Ft. Meade: Comm: Maj Gen Buchanan
Cc: CCR: Shayana Kadilal; USA: USArmy Capt Chad Fisher; USCAAF: William DeCicco; Pfc Manning: David Coombs Esq; Amici: RCFP: Gregg Leslie; Crt: Col. Denise Lind
Subject: Ft. Meade: Maj Gen. Jeffery Buchanan: Re: US v Manning, Pfc Case at First Judicial Court, Ft. Meade

Major General Jeffery S. Buchanan
Convening Authority Commander
US Army Ft. George Mead Garrison, Maryland

CC: Staff Judge Advocate, US Army Ft George Meade Garrison, Maryland; c/o Public Affairs Office
CC: Plaintiff: United States Government: Captain Chad M. Fisher
CC: Defendant: Pfc Bradley Manning, c/o: David Coombs Esq
CC: US Army First Judicial Court Military Judge: Judge Denise Lind
CC: USCAAF Transparency Copy: CCR/Wikileaks: Mr. Shayana D. Kadilal
CC: USCAAF Transparency Copy: Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press Mr. Gregg P. Leslie
CC: USCAAF Transparency Copy: USCAAF Clerk of the Court: DeCicco, William, CIV, USCAAF
CC: Walk Your Talk Military Advisory Board Generals & Admirals (forwarded copy of sent original)

Maj Gen Buchanan,

**RE: Amicus submission to Clerk of Court, First Judicial Court, Ft. Meade:
Case: US v Manning, Pfc**

I submitted an Amicus brief application to the First Judicial Court at Ft. Meade, via the Staff Judge Advocate, US Army Ft George Meade Garrison, c/o Public Affairs Office (fggm.pao.web@us.army.mil); on 02 April 2013.

I did not receive any response from either the Clerk of the Court, Staff Judge Advocate, or Public Affairs Office.

The Bradley Manning [support page](#) asserts that you are the newly appointed convening authority Commander, for the First Judicial Court at Ft. Meade.

I have updated my *Æquilibriæx* jurisprudence Amicus, and would like to submit it to the court for the court's consideration, of the relevant issues raised; as well as to both parties in the matter, for their consideration of the opportunities involved to use this dispute to demonstrate some root cause problem solving leadership.

Would you kindly ask your office to forward the attached Notice of Application and Amicus documentation to the Clerk's office for their response?

The documents are:

- Notice of Motion, *Æquilibriæx* Amicus Brief (including Certificate of Service (via your office)) ([PDF](#))
- Encl: MILINT Earth Day Ecology of Peace Oath ([PDF](#))

Summary of the *Æquilibriæx* Amicus Argument:

Theses on the Power and Efficacy of Civilized Patriarchy's AnthroCorpocentric Indulgences Innocence for Sale Legal Matrix Jurisprudence:

[1] A credible honourable court should find that the Enlistment Contract and Classified Information Nondisclosure Agreement entered into between the United States Government and Pfc. Bradley Manning did not meet the requirements of fully informed consenting agreements.

[2] The Enlistment Contract and Classified Information Nondisclosure Agreement fail to clearly and simply disclose that the United States Constitution is based upon civilized patriarchy human factory farming war economy jurisprudence principles. The Civilized patriarchy elite socio-politically and economically profit from their Control of Reproduction jurisprudence absence of a Breeding/Parenting License, enabling them to breed a Surplus Cannon Fodder Population, for profitable conquering and culling acts of theft and murder.

[3] It is clear that Pfc Manning had not the foggiest clue when he signed his Enlistment Contract with the US Army that he was signing up to become a cannon fodder soldier to be dispatched to plunder other nation's resources, because his country's ecologically illiterate jurisprudence does not require his fellow citizens to procreate and consume below carrying capacity levels.

[4] It is also clear Pfc Manning had no idea when he signed the Classified Information Nondisclosure Agreement with the United States Army, that he was expected to keep the thieving, plundering and culling consequences secrets of the American civilized patriarchy socio-political elite, endorsed by the legal matrix jurists, and an at best Ecologically Illiterate, and at worst a corrupt endorsement of Human Factory Farming War Economy, constitution; to himself.

[5] If honour or honourable credibility is important to the US Army / Military, it should require its civilized patriarchy legal matrix jurisprudence officials to amend its Enlistment Contract and Non Disclosure Agreements to fully disclose to any prospective signer of such agreement that in the absence of legislation amending the Constitution's rights to procreate and consume to ecological carrying capacity limits; any individual signing any US military enlistment form is signing up to be cannon fodder resource thieves and mass murderers for a civilized patriarchy human factory farming profiteering elite, based upon the legal authority of an ecologically illiterate constitution.

[6] These civilized patriarchy criminal charges against Eco-Innocent/Leaver: Pfc Manning, should consequently be dismissed; if Pfc Manning commits to signing the MILINT Earth Day Ecology of Peace Whistleblower/Activist Oath, and submits it to the Walk Your Footprint Talk to Support the Troops Military Advisory Board

My MILINT Earth Day Ecology of Peace Whistleblower/Activist Oath submission is attached to the application and Amicus brief.

**The 'Walk Your Footprint Talk to Support the Troops' Military Advisory Board:
(Advisory Board notified of Oath)**

- * General Charles F. "Chuck" Wald, USAF (Ret.): Former Deputy Commander, Headquarters U.S. European Command (USEUCOM)
- * Lieutenant General Lawrence P. Farrell Jr., USAF (Ret.): Former Deputy Chief of Staff for Plans and Programs, Headquarters U.S. Air Force
- * General Ronald E. Keys USAF (Ret.): Former Commander, Air Combat Command
- * General Gordon R. Sullivan, USA (Ret.): Former Chief of Staff, U.S. Army
- * Admiral John B. Nathman, USN (Ret.): Former Vice Chief of Naval Operations
- * Admiral T. Joseph Lopez, USN (Ret.): Former Commander-in-Chief, U.S. Naval Forces Europe and Allied Forces, Southern Europe
- * General Charles G. Boyd, USAF (Ret.): Former Deputy Commander in Chief of U.S. Forces in Europe
- * General Paul J. Kern, USA (Ret.): Former Commanding General, U.S. Army Materiel Command
- * Vice Admiral Dennis V. McGinn, USN (Ret.): Deputy Chief of Naval Operations, Warfare Requirements and Programs
- * Rear Admiral David R. Oliver, Jr., USN (Ret.): Former Principal Deputy to the Navy Acquisition Executive
- * Vice Admiral Richard H. Truly, USN (Ret.): Former NASA Administrator, Shuttle Astronaut and the first Commander of the Naval Space

(Aforementioned officers were the Military Advisory Board for the CNA Report: [Powering America's Defense: Energy and the Risks to National Security](#), which included a military appeal for US citizens to Walk their Talk to Support the Troops.)

Dated at George, South Africa, Pale Blue Dot: 04 July 2013

Respectfully,

LARA JOHNSTONE, Pro Se
[MILINT Earth Day Ecology of Peace](#)
PO Box 4052, George, 6539, South Africa
Tel/Fax: (044) 870 7239
Cell: +27 (71) 170 1054

From: Lara

Sent: Friday, July 05, 2013 2:18 AM

To: MAB-WYT: US Army: Gen Paul Kern; MAB-WYT: Army: F.Ch.Staff: Gen Gordon Sullivan; MAB-WYT: US EU Command: F.Dep.Comm: Gen. Charles Chuck Wald; MAB-WYT: US Navy: Adm Dennis McGinn; MAB-WYT: USAF: F.DepChStaff: Lt. Gen Lawrence Farrell

Subject: Pfc Manning challenged to sign 'Walk Your Footprint Talk to Support Troops' MILINT Earth Day Ecology of Peace Whistleblower/Activist Oath

Walk Your Talk Military Advisory Board Generals & Admirals

RE: US v Manning Amicus submission to First Judicial Court, Ft. Meade; c/o Major General Jeffery S. Buchanan, Convening Authority Commander, US Army Ft. George Mead Garrison, Maryland

Amicus argues that the charges against Pfc Bradley Manning, should be dismissed; if Pfc Manning commits to signing the MILINT Earth Day Ecology of Peace Whistleblower/Activist Oath, and submits it to the Walk Your Footprint Talk to Support the Troops Military Advisory Board.

Respectfully,

Lara Johnstone

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 17 August 2013 6:21 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara
Sent: Saturday, August 17, 2013 6:21 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com; 'Greg Small'; 'Brad Blanton'
Cc: 'martinfr3'; 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Taber Shadburne'; 'Stephanie Roth'
Subject: RE: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 837] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

Brad and RH Trainers,

Transparency Copy of Correspondence to ACLU v Clapper, wherein you were mentioned.

Full correspondence at: http://tygae.weebly.com/1/post/2013/08/130810_aclu-efiling-corr.html

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Thursday, August 15, 2013 12:40 AM
To: 'Lourdes_Aquino@nysd.uscourts.gov'
Cc: 'ACLU v Clapper: ACLU: Arthur Eisenberg'; 'ACLU v Clapper: Crt: Judge William H Pauly co Case Openings'; 'case_openings@nysd.uscourts.gov'; 'ACLU v Clapper: NSA: Christopher Harwood'; 'ACLU v Clapper: NSA: David S Jones'; 'ACLU v Clapper: Crt: Judge William H Pauly co Clerk: Eileen Levine'; 'DNI: James Clapper'; 'ACLU v Clapper: ACLU Foundation: Jameel Jaffer'; 'ACLU v Clapper: NSA: '; 'ACLU v Clapper: Crt: Judge William H Pauly co Pro Bono Office: Natalie Sobchak'; 'ACLU v Clapper: NSA: Tara La Morte'
Subject: RE: ACLU et al v Clapper et al.: Judge Pauly: US Navy JAG: VADM N.Derenzi: JAG-WH-NSA EOPGASM Declaration

Hi Mr/Ms. Aquino,

CC: Dr. Blanton, Robert 'environment is a matter of national security' - Redford, the Freedom from Religion Foundation; Berkeley Center for Religion; Cryptome; Stefania Maurizi; Becket Fund for Religious Liberty;

Justice Foundation; Natural Law Party; Renaissance Vanguard; Northwest Front; James Kunstler; Population Media; Collapsenet; Committee Environmental Legal Defense Fund; GrowthBusters; World Population Balance; Australian Multi-Millionaire 'Population Activist' Dick Smith; EcoFuture; Environment News Service, Population Connection; VDare; Noam Chomsky; Mark Crispin Miller; Wikileaks contacts, Pirate Party: Rick Falkvinge; Geoffrey Robertson QC; Alan Dersowitz; Ray McGovern; Australian Senator Scott Ludlam; ACLU: Lit.Dir: Ben Wizner; Slate: Columbia Law Prof: Tim Wu; Michael Moore; GreenLeft: Andrew Wilkie MP; Helena Kennedy QC; Jay Rosen; Julie Turner; Uppsala Prof: Christian Christensen; National Whistleblower Legal Defense Fund: Richard Renner; John Pilger; Ctr Inv. Journal: Gavin MacFadyen; Daniel Ellsberg; Fair Trials Int; SE: Former Pros: Sven-Erik Alhem; SE: Lawyer: Ulrika Borg; SE: SE Bar Assoc. Gen. Sec: Anne Ramberg.

The NSA's NDR-113 village idiot, again.

Its been bugging me for the past few days... saying that I was seriously burnt by the US Supreme Court, is not exactly fair. You probably don't care, but just so the record is clear; it was not just the Supreme Court's decision; but its possible the Supreme Courts decision was based on the decision of Dr. Brad Blanton, the Radical Honesty community and various other religious liberty, free speech, .

As explained in Amicus Curiae Briefs (PDF), by Hon Nathan Hecht, Austin Justice, Supreme Court of Texas, I was aware that one of the factors the Supreme Court consider in whether choosing to accept or decline to enquire into a matter; is 'the signaling function' of other organisations or citizens who can file a one page 'interest' amicus in the matter, just saying 'hey this is an issue of interest to me, as a citizen'; which then informs "the court that a case has enough impact beyond the parties to merit review".

Considering the - religious, environmental, free speech, honest journalism, anti-war/peace, abolishing corporate personhood, etc - issues raised in my Application for Review/Certiorari, I thought that many of the organisations I invited to inform the court of their interest would be most interested. Well, I got that wrong! LOL!

Consider for example the argument to abolish corporate personhood:

4e. Peak NNR's impending Crisis of Conflict justify a reconsideration of Corporate Personhood: Santa Clara County v. Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Locus Standi for Pale Blue Dot: Sierra Club v. Morton?

In a Post Peak NNR/Oil world, it is imperative to reconsider the courts profoundly defective undemocratic Anthropocentric majoritarian decision. The courts disregard for the perspective of an Ecocentric minority - whose procreation and consumption lifestyle's prove their Ecocentric credibility, who are deprived of their fair share of political influence, similarly to other 'persons' of past Anthropocentric jurisprudence - arguing on behalf of the Pale Blue Dot's finite and exponentially depleting resources, which have been, and are being raped and pillaged to produce many products for which no species has demonstrated or justified any compelling need interest; aggravates civilization speeding to a very violent 'crisis of conflict' global collapse.

Majoritarian Anthropocentric legal doctrine should reconsider the advice of Justice William O'Douglas in Sierra Club v. Morton and confer standing upon

nature's species to demand their voices on behalf of their preservation are heard.

Nature would argue the headnotes 'Corporate Personhood' ruling in Santa Clara County v. Southern Pacific Railroad Company and subsequent interpretations are unconstitutional as they do not pass the strict scrutiny test: (a) is not justified by a compelling government interest; (b) is not narrowly tailored, and (c) is not the least restrictive means for achieving that interest.

Compelling governmental interest: What is 'crucial' or 'compelling' in any given circumstance can be contradictory. Corporations cannot exist without natural resources to exploit or plunder, or to financially speculate about how they are being, or should be, plundered. The government should clarify what compelling interests allows corporation to determine 'crucial' use of nature's resources, while refusing nature's her opinion on the 'cruciality' use of her plundered resources.

In a Post Peak NNR/Oil World, where nature's resources have been plundered by corporations, it is time to restore balance to ask: Does a river have a right to flow? Does a species have a right to survival? Does a mountain have a right not to be guillotined? Can an oil well demand her precious black gold not be used to produce shitty products, or only be used by carpools or individuals who live below their regions carrying capacity? Can nature demand that any world leader calling for 'economic growth' or 'population growth' is the equivalent of appointing consumption and breeding war combatants to declare war on nature's depleted finite resources? Can CEO's who commit financial and corporate ecological terrorism of nature's resources, be put on kill lists and assassinated by General Petraeus' new drones or Seal Team Six? Can Nature have Anton Piller court orders and Mareva injunctions served upon Monsanto and all corporations employing individuals who stole her ideas, laws and claimed them as their own patents, trademarks and copyrights?

It is in the sustainable security interest of countries and their citizens that drunk sailor politicians, bankers and CEO'S in Bangkok, who abuse natures finite resources, for short term political status or profits, are sobered up with freezing - Seal Team Six or Petraeus Drones - doses of resource scarcity consequences reality!

But, neither Dr. Blanton, nor Robert - 'environment is a matter of national security' - Redford, the Freedom from Religion Foundation; Berkeley Center for Religion; Cryptome; Stefania Maurizi; Becket Fund for Religious Liberty; Justice Foundation; Natural Law Party; Renaissance Vanguard; Northwest Front; James Kunstler; Population Media; Collapsenet; Committee Environmental Legal Defense Fund; GrowthBusters; World Population Balance; Australian Multi-Millionaire 'Population Activist' Dick Smith; EcoFuture; Environment News Service, Population Connection; VDare; Noam Chomsky; Mark Crispin Miller; all Wikileaks contacts, such as, among others: Pirate Party: Rick Falkvinge; Geoffrey Robertson QC; Alan Dersowitz; Ray McGovern; Australian Senator Scott Ludlam; ACLU: Lit.Dir: Ben Wizner; Slate: Columbia Law Prof: Tim Wu; Michael Moore; GreenLeft: Andrew Wilkie MP; Helena Kennedy QC; Jay Rosen; Julie Turner; Uppsala Prof: Christian Christensen; National Whistleblower Legal Defense Fund: Richard Renner; John Pilger; Ctr Inv. Journo: Gavin MacFadyen; Daniel Ellsberg; Fair Trials Int; SE: Former Pros: Sven-Erik Alhem; SE: Lawyer: Ulrika Borg; SE: SE Bar Assoc. Gen. Sec: Anne Ramberg; and many others, not to mention a few hundred South Africans I also invited; were remotely interested in the Supreme Court investigation of the issues.

They were either not interested; or ignored my invitation to inform the Supreme Court of their interest; if interested. The notice of Interest: Brad Blanton and Radical Honesty (PDF), detailed Dr. Blanton's lack of interest; and refusal to inform the Radical Honesty community of the Application. I subsequently filed a complaint of fraud against Brad, and his trainers; to the FBI [Fraud Comp (PDF) RH REq for Info (PDF); Village Idiot: No Support (PDF)]; and until the issues is resolved with them (they all refuse to resolve it with me) I no longer consider myself a member of the Foxy Liberal 'Radical Honesty' culture; instead I consider myself the sole member of the Radical Honoursty culture.

So, as I said, you probably don't care; but nevertheless, its been bugging me; or more accurately repeatedly floating around in my conscious. So, I just wanted to correct it.

Thanks,

NDR-113
NSA's Village Idiot Robot.
Lara Johnstone
Andrea Muhrrteyn
Lara Braveheart, et al

From: Raven Dana | **Sent:** 18 August 2013 7:41 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Raven Dana [mailto:raven@stresswizardcoaching.com]
Sent: Sunday, August 18, 2013 7:41 PM
To: Lara
Subject: Re: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 831] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

Lara,

I don't know what in the hell you are talking about, and I am not up to speed with the subject of your diatribe.

Until and unless I specifically state my opinion on a subject, you may not assume anything about me.

So get me off your mailing list and off any other correspondance that you imagine I support or fail to support.

I want nothing to do with you or whatever drama you are creating. Have I made myself clear?

Raven Dana

Stress Wizard Coaching
PO Box 32265
Euclid OH 44132
216.261.0181
www.stresswizardcoaching.com

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 19 August 2013 12:27 AM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2013 12:27 AM
To: 'Raven Dana'; radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com; 'Greg Small'; 'Brad Blanton'
Cc: 'martinfr3'; 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Taber Shadburne'; 'Stephanie Roth'
Subject: RE: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 838] FBI-VA: Agent Mazanec: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA

Raven,

LOL....

Says the so-called expert on teaching people how to deal with problem clients!

Take your fucked up Masonic crap and shove it up your fucking ass.... I ain't interested in no goddamn fucking Masonic cattle breeding fanclub, to bullshit! I will assume what the fuck I do assume... and tell you what the fuck I assume, and if you don't have the goddamn fucking integrity to correct it with facts, then what kind of fucking honest person are you?

So, continue selling your fucking fucked up workshops telling people how to be 'free' and 'successful' cattle on the Human Factory Farm.... while sticking your fucking heads in the sand, about the root causes of why the fuck your own cattle, are nothing more than fucking cattle on a Masonic human factory farm...

I do appreciate you for at least responding..., unlike everyone else, you at least seem to have a pulse! Good fucking grief.. can you imagine! There is a Radical Honesty trainer... who has a fucking pulse!!

Lara

From: Francisco Martin | Sent: Saturday 7 September 2013 14:18 PM

Sent: Date: Sat, 7 Sep 2013 14:18:28 -0700
From: martinfr3 <martinfr3@gmail.com>
To: Lara Johnstone <jmcswan@mweb.co.za>
Cc: Wayne Hamburg <wayne.hamburg@yahoo.com>, radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com,
Brad Blanton <brad@radicalhonesty.com>

Subject: RE: Blanton, Moeller, Patton & RH Coaching Blog: RE: Radical Honoursty: Is MILINT Psychotronics real & is Tim McVeigh dead or alive?

Lara,

You're right, I didn't have time to read up yet.

So yes, right now, I don't have enough info to give you a fully informed decision.

I must admit, at first glance it all sounds like b-rated sci-fi movie nonsense. But that's never scared me off from listening before. And I imagine it never will.

So after I educate myself a bit, I'll reply back with something more meaningful than a *shrug*!

-Francisco

From: Francisco Martin | Sent: 07 September 2013 11:21 PM

From: martinfr3 [mailto:martinfr3@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 07, 2013 11:21 PM
To: Lara Johnstone
Subject: Fwd: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)

Looks like I've been cut off.

Apparently I'm not falling nicely into his patriarchal structure?... If you're getting the same replies,... I doubt the group is getting these...

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Mail Delivery Subsystem" <mailer-daemon@google.com>
Date: Sep 7, 2013 2:18 PM
Subject: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)
To: <martinfr3@gmail.com>
Cc:

Hello martinfr3@gmail.com,

We're writing to let you know that the group you tried to contact (radical-honesty-coaching-blog) may not exist, or you may not have permission to post messages to the group. A few more details on why you weren't able to post:

* You might have spelled or formatted the group name incorrectly.

- * The owner of the group may have removed this group.
- * You may need to join the group before receiving permission to post.
- * This group may not be open to posting.

If you have questions related to this or any other Google Group, visit the Help Center at <http://groups.google.com/support/>.

Thanks,

Google Groups

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 08 September 2013 12:39 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara
Sent: Sunday, September 08, 2013 12:39 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com; 'Brad Blanton'; 'Wayne Hamburg'
Cc: 'martinfr3'
Subject: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 842] From Francisco - Removed/Denied Access to RH Coaching Group List

From Francisco to Radical Honesty Coaching Google Group (from which he appears to have been deleted without notice)

Francisco, I shall respond to you later today.

I appreciate you for your response.

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 08 September 2013 1:05 PM

From: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com [mailto:radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Lara
Sent: Sunday, September 08, 2013 1:05 PM
To: radical-honesty-coaching-blog@googlegroups.com
Cc: 'martinfr3'; 'Brad Blanton'; 'Wayne Hamburg'
Subject: FW: [Radical Honesty Coaching Blog: 843] From Francisco - Removed/Denied Access to RH Coaching Group List

Francisco,

It went through from my email address.

It appears either Brad or someone at Radical Honesty (sic) removed your name from the list; or Google did it.

Typical passive aggressive progressive liberal behaviour... can't fucking say what the fuck they want, or think; and instead resort to playing the sulking game of silence to provoke you; and if you respond to their provocation with raising your voice or similar to get a fucking answer out of these goddamn fuckwits.. then they respond with 'racism' or similar.....

We progressives will breed and consume like fucking rabbits on Viagra.. we will totally and utterly ignore carrying capacity limits, we will encourage blacks and browns to breed like rabbits on Viagra.... and when anyone wants to discuss limiting breeding or consumption to carrying capacity limits, we will call them a 'racist'... and ignore the issue and partake in a conspiracy of silence on the issue of breeding and consuming below carrying capacity limits, and when someone acts to fucking solve the problem of reducing the oxygen thieves.. then we shall squeal like fucking stuffed piglets 'racist' 'warmongers'.....

Anyway, thanks for your honest response, I shall respond to the other issues you raise later today.

Luv

Lara

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 17 October 2013 8:37 PM
Excerpt: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Brad Blanton et al: Proof of Service [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Thursday, October 17, 2013 8:37 PM
To: 'Blanton'; 'Maggie Doyle'
Subject: Brad Blanton & Maggie Doyle: Legal Notice: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al

Brad Blanton
646 Shuler Lane, Stanley, VA

Maggie Doyle
Maggie Doyle LLC, Denver

Hi Brad and Maggie,

RE: Johnstone et al v. Blanton et al: Right to Psychological Integrity for Non-Freemason Cultures.

Please take notice for your attention, particularly related to the orders requested with regard to Radical Honesty culture. Please let me know if you have any objections; so I can inform the court.

Regards,

Lara

The following evidentiary enclosures are not attached (large files), they are available at:

Annex B: US Navy JAG Declaration to VADM Nanette Derenzi.

Annex C: Pentagon MILINT Earth Day Ecology of Peace Oath.

Annex E: CIA Maria Bochkareva Leaver Oath.

Lara (Clann/Tribe Name: [Johnstone and possible others withheld; available on sincere request])

I (Sovereign or alleged Corporate identity) do not endorse any verbal or hand-shake leading to written contract which does not fulfill the four requirements of a lawful, binding contract, namely: (1) Full Disclosure; (2) Equal Consideration; (3) Lawful Clear and Concise Terms and Conditions simply explained; and (4) Signatures of both/all Parties. I have no current hand-shake contract agreements. In accordance to CommonSism Aquilibriaex and where relevant Common Law, I also consider 'scarcity combatant' (i.e. who violate carrying capacity limits) corporations to be without legal standing. All my correspondence used to be public and a matter of record; until it was abused. Honourable transparency thereof can be provided upon request. If you wish to conduct private correspondence with me: File a written request, including your evidence and reasons, and only if your evidence is of sufficient weight, and sanctioned as such; shall I be willing to enter into a written agreement with you to keep your correspondence 'private'. This signature does not imply such agreement. As a member of Radical Honourst culture I endorse the resolution of all disagreements and/or misunderstandings in accordance to Radical Honesty cultural practices (See: Practicing Radical Honesty, by Brad Blanton & Concourt CCT 23-10 order by Justices on 03 May 2010: "The Chief Justice has issued the following directions: Ms. Lara Johnstone, Member of the Radical Honesty Culture and Religion is admitted as an Amicus Curiae."), or via independent arbitration that does not involve parasitic lawyers; and am willing to consider the practices of other cultures, who seriously and sincerely consider mine.

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 25 October 2013 4:07 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]

Sent: Friday, October 25, 2013 4:07 PM

To: 'Blanton'; 'support@radicalhonesty.com'

Cc: 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Taber Shadburne'; 'Stephanie Roth'; 'Greg Small'; 'FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec'

Subject: Brad Blanton & Radical Honesty Culture: Legal Notice: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al

Brad Blanton
Radical Honesty

CC: Maggie Doyle

CC: Radical Honesty Trainers

CC: FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec (Ref: Dec 23, 2012: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA)

RE: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al

Brad: You have not acknowledged receipt to the Johnstone et al v Blanton et al notice of legal service, served upon you.

If you wish to object to any of the orders requested, you must do so, by the time allocated; or request additional time; because if you do not, it will be difficult, maybe impossible to do so later. If you do not object, the court will assume your agreement with such orders, with relation to you and Radical Honesty culture.

Please Note: Electronic Service per email to a respondent in South Africa or the United States is lawful in terms of (I) the South African Electronic Communications and Transactions Act. 2002 (Act No. 25 of 2002) and (II) Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 4(f) which allows for service "by other means not prohibited by international agreement as directed by the court," as implemented in *Rio Properties, Inc. v. Rio Intern. Interlink*, 284 F.3d 1007 (9th Cir. 2002) which established that e-mail was an effective means of communication between parties, and that messages sent to the respondents would serve the purposes of notifying them of the pending litigation. Moreover, there is no indication that service in this manner would contravene any international agreement. Finally courts "have upheld service via e-mail, [in] cases involving e-mail addresses undisputedly connected to the defendants and [or] that the defendants used for business purposes." (*Ehrenfeld v. Bin Mufouz*, 2005 WL 696769 (S.D.N.Y., March 23, 2005))

Regards

Lara Johnstone

PS: This is how honourable information operations acknowledgement of receipt occurs

From: JAG IR [<mailto:jagir@jag.navy.mil>]

Sent: Friday, October 25, 2013 2:25 PM

To: Lara

Subject: Read: Legal Notice: Ray ODierno & John Mulholland, c/o USA G-1: Re: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al

Your message

To: JAG IR

Subject: Legal Notice: Ray ODierno & John Mulholland, c/o USA G-1: Re: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al

Sent: Friday, October 25, 2013 6:33:50 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

was read on Friday, October 25, 2013 8:24:00 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).

From: Maggie Doyle | **Sent:** 25 October 2013 4:34 PM

Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#); GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Brad Blanton et al: Proof of Service [PDF](#)

From: Maggie Doyle [<mailto:maggie@multiversity.net>]

Sent: Friday, October 25, 2013 4:34 PM

To: Lara

Subject: Read: Brad Blanton & Radical Honesty Culture: Legal Notice: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al

Your message

To: Maggie Doyle

Subject: Brad Blanton & Radical Honesty Culture: Legal Notice: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al

Sent: Friday, October 25, 2013 9:06:32 AM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada)

was read on Friday, October 25, 2013 9:34:29 AM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada).

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 25 October 2013 4:07 PM

Excerpt: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Brad Blanton et al: Proof of Service [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]

Sent: Friday, October 25, 2013 4:07 PM

To: 'Blanton'; 'support@radicalhonesty.com'

Cc: 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Taber Shadburne'; 'Stephanie Roth'; 'Greg Small'; 'FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec'

Subject: Brad Blanton & Radical Honesty Culture: Legal Notice: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al

Brad Blanton
Radical Honesty

CC: Maggie Doyle

CC: Radical Honesty Trainers

CC: FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec (Ref: Dec 23, 2012: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA)

RE: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al

Brad: You have not acknowledged receipt to the Johnstone et al v Blanton et al notice of legal service, served upon you.

If you wish to object to any of the orders requested, you must do so, by the time allocated; or request additional time; because if you do not, it will be difficult, maybe impossible to do so later. If you do not object, the court will assume your agreement with such orders, with relation to you and Radical Honesty culture.

Please Note: Electronic Service per email to a respondent in South Africa or the United States is lawful in terms of (I) the South African Electronic Communications and Transactions Act. 2002 (Act No. 25 of 2002) and (II) Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 4(f) which allows for service "by other means not prohibited by international agreement as directed by the court," as implemented in *Rio Properties, Inc. v. Rio Intern. Interlink*, 284 F.3d 1007 (9th Cir. 2002) which established that e-mail was an effective means of communication between parties, and that messages sent to the respondents would serve the purposes of notifying them of the pending litigation. Moreover, there is no indication that service in this manner would contravene any international agreement. Finally courts "have upheld service via e-mail, [in] cases involving e-mail addresses undisputedly connected to

the defendants and [or] that the defendants used for business purposes.” (Ehrenfeld v. Bin Mufouz, 2005 WL 696769 (S.D.N.Y., March 23, 2005))

Regards

Lara Johnstone

PS: This is how honourable information operations acknowledgement of receipt occurs

From: JAG IR [mailto:jagir@jag.navy.mil]
Sent: Friday, October 25, 2013 2:25 PM
To: Lara
Subject: Read: Legal Notice: Ray ODierno & John Mulholland, c/o USA G-1: Re: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al

Your message

To: JAG IR
Subject: Legal Notice: Ray ODierno & John Mulholland, c/o USA G-1: Re: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al
Sent: Friday, October 25, 2013 6:33:50 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

was read on Friday, October 25, 2013 8:24:00 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).

From: Maggie Doyle | **Sent:** 25 October 2013 4:34 PM
Excerpt: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Brad Blanton et al: Proof of Service [PDF](#)

From: Maggie Doyle [mailto:maggie@multiversity.net]
Sent: Friday, October 25, 2013 4:34 PM
To: Lara
Subject: Read: Brad Blanton & Radical Honesty Culture: Legal Notice: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al

Your message

To: Maggie Doyle
Subject: Brad Blanton & Radical Honesty Culture: Legal Notice: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al
Sent: Friday, October 25, 2013 9:06:32 AM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada)

was read on Friday, October 25, 2013 9:34:29 AM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada).

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 25 October 2013 5:04 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#); GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Brad Blanton et al: Proof of Service [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Friday, October 25, 2013 5:04 PM
To: Brad Blanton (brad@radicalhonesty.com); Radical Honesty Support (support@radicalhonesty.com)
Cc: Maggie Doyle (maggie@multiversity.net); Marina Dervan (marina@thehonestycoach.com); Clara Griffin (venusrise@aol.com); Raven Dana (raven@stresswizardcoaching.com); Anne Alexander (annebizcoach@gmail.com); Susan Campbell (drsusan@susancampbell.com); Taber Shadburne (taber@churchofsoul.org); Stephanie Roth (stephanie@leapfrogconnections.com); Greg Small (Changemaster@startsmall.com); FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec (Richmond@ic.fbi.gov)
Subject: FW: Maggie Read: Brad Blanton & Radical Honesty Culture: Legal Notice: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al

Brad Blanton
Radical Honesty

CC: Maggie Doyle
CC: Radical Honesty Trainers
CC: FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec (Ref: Dec 23, 2012: Complaint: White Collar Fraud by Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty, Stanley VA)

FYI: Maggie has confirmed receipt of legal notice sent to you. That means, essentially, Maggie confirmed that she received a copy of the electronic notice simultaneously served to you and your Radical Honesty corporate trainer colleagues.

If you, or any of your trainers, wish to object to any of the orders requested, you must do so, because if you do not, it will be difficult, maybe impossible to do so later. If you do not object, the court will assume your agreement with such orders, with relation to you and the Radical Honesty culture.

Electronic Service per email to a US (or International citizen, although I do not currently have legal precedent therefore, only for US citizen) is lawful in terms of (I) the South African Electronic Communications and Transactions Act, 2002 (Act No. 25 of 2002) and (II) Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 4(f) which allows for service "by other means not prohibited by international agreement as directed by the court," as implemented in *Rio Properties, Inc. v. Rio Intern. Interlink*, 284 F.3d 1007 (9th Cir. 2002) which established that e-mail was an effective means of communication between parties, and that messages sent to the respondents would serve the purposes of notifying them of the pending litigation. Moreover, there is no indication that service in this manner would contravene any international agreement. Finally courts "have upheld service via e-mail, [in] cases involving e-mail addresses undisputedly connected to the defendants and that the defendants used for business purposes." (*Ehrenfeld v. Bin Mufouz*, 2005 WL 696769 (S.D.N.Y., March 23, 2005))

Apologies if you know this, but I can't stand it when lawyers assume I know what they are saying, and I don't know what they are saying; so I try to say things as simple as possible.

Lara

From: Maggie Doyle [mailto:maggie@multiversity.net]
Sent: Friday, October 25, 2013 4:34 PM
To: Lara
Subject: Read: Brad Blanton & Radical Honesty Culture: Legal Notice: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al

Your message

To: Maggie Doyle
Subject: Brad Blanton & Radical Honesty Culture: Legal Notice: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al
Sent: Friday, October 25, 2013 9:06:32 AM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada)

was read on Friday, October 25, 2013 9:34:29 AM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada).

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 27 October 2013 11:17 AM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#); GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Brad Blanton et al: Proof of Service [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Sunday, October 27, 2013 11:17 AM
To: 'Blanton'; 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Radical Honesty Support'
Cc: 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Taber Shadburne'; 'Stephanie Roth'; 'Greg Small'; 'FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec'
Subject: Blanton, Doyle & RH Culture: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone v Blanton: Svc Notices, Amended Notice, Meeting Transcript Q&A

Brad Blanton and Maggie Doyle
Radical Honesty Culture Trainers
Radical Honesty Culture

CC: FBI: Agent Mazanec

RE: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al

Please find attached Amended Notice, Proof of Service Notices, Meeting Q&A.

Lara Johnstone

In the matter between:

Lara Johnstone (1), Francisco Martin (2) (aka Gen. Patton) *Soul-Friend Applicants*; Nanette Derenzi (3); Dennis McGinn (4) *MI-Psych Integrity Applicants*; Timothy McVeigh (5); Erik Prince (6); Vladimir Putin (7); David Petraeus (8); Ray ODierno (9); Stan McChrystal (10) and John Mulholland (11) *MI-R2R-B2B-Fam Applicants*

And

Brad Blanton (1); Maggie Doyle (2) *Radical Honesty Respondents*; Clive Henry Johnstone (3); Ann Elizabeth Johnstone (4); Graeme Henry Johnstone (5); Wendy Johnstone (6); Andre Bosman Johnstone (7); *Biological Family Respondents*; Chelsea Elizabeth Manning (born Bradley Edward) (8) *Masculinity, Honour, Sex & War Respondent*

AMENDED NOTICE, PROOF OF SERVICE NOTICES, MEETING TRANSCRIPT Q&A

[1] Original Notice of Motion is Amended as follows:

[1.1] Former eighth and ninth respondents: Frode Moe and Talitha Moe are withdrawn from the application and related requested order.

[1.2] Applicant Parties support disclosure and transparency. Respondent parties please confirm whether you support disclosure and transparency of proceedings; or prefer the gag (secrecy/confidential) order to remain in place.

[2] Annex A: Transcript of Notices of Service to Applicants and Respondents & Acknowledged Receipts.

[3] Annex B: Johnstone Meeting: 1st Applicant & 3rd & 4th Respondents 22 October 2013 Negotiation & Clarification Meeting and Lara Answers.

[4] Annex C: President Putin Response: re: Questions submitted to his attention in GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al

[5] Note to Ann Johnstone from R2R & B2B Applicants: Erik, Stan, David, Timothy, John and Ray want to know why you only asked for Vladimir's response, and not Vladimir and Erik's response.

Lara Johnstone, Pro Se
George, South Africa
Alien on Pale Blue Dot

From: Maggie Doyle | Sent: 27 October 2013 12:06 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#); GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Brad Blanton et al: Proof of Service [PDF](#)

From: Maggie Doyle [mailto:maggie@multiversity.net]
Sent: Sunday, October 27, 2013 12:06 PM
To: Lara
Subject: Read: Blanton, Doyle & RH Culture: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone v Blanton: Svc Notices, Amended Notice, Meeting Transcript Q&A

Your message

To: Maggie Doyle
Subject: Blanton, Doyle & RH Culture: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone v Blanton: Svc Notices, Amended Notice, Meeting Transcript Q&A
Sent: Sunday, October 27, 2013 4:16:32 AM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada)

was read on Sunday, October 27, 2013 5:05:40 AM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada).

From: Stephanie Roth | **Sent:** 27 October 2013 7:24 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#); GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Brad Blanton et al: Proof of Service [PDF](#)

From: Stephanie Roth [mailto:stephaniefayeroth@comcast.net]
Sent: Sunday, October 27, 2013 7:24 PM
To: 'Lara'
Subject: Read: Blanton, Doyle & RH Culture: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone v Blanton: Svc Notices, Amended Notice, Meeting Transcript Q&A

Your message

To: 'Blanton'; 'Maggie Doyle'; 'Radical Honesty Support'
Cc: 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Taber Shadburne'; 'Stephanie Roth'; 'Greg Small'; 'FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec'
Subject: Blanton, Doyle & RH Culture: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone v Blanton: Svc Notices, Amended Notice, Meeting Transcript Q&A
Sent: 10/27/2013 11:17 AM

was read on 10/27/2013 7:22 PM.

From: Stephanie Roth | **Sent:** 27 October 2013 7:24 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#); GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Brad Blanton et al: Proof of Service [PDF](#)

From: Stephanie Roth [mailto:stephaniefayeroth@comcast.net]
Sent: Sunday, October 27, 2013 7:24 PM
To: 'Lara'
Subject: RE: Blanton, Doyle & RH Culture: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone v Blanton: Svc Notices, Amended Notice, Meeting Transcript Q&A

Lara,

I have never met you and am not party to whatever grievance you have toward Radical Honesty or any of the other named parties.

Please remove me from your list of respondents.

I wish you peace and forgiveness.
Thank you.

Stephanie Roth

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 27 October 2013 8:48 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#); GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Brad Blanton et al: Proof of Service [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Sunday, October 27, 2013 8:48 PM
To: 'Stephanie Roth'; 'Blanton'; 'Radical Honesty Support'
Cc: 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Taber Shadburne'; 'Greg Small'; 'FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec'
Subject: RE: Stephanie Roth & RH Culture: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone v Blanton: Svc Notices, Amended Notice, Meeting Transcript Q&A

Hi Stephanie,

The Radical Honesty website lists you as a 'trainer' at:
<http://www.radicalhonesty.com/about/who-we-are/>

As such you could be considered as the cultural equivalent of one of the Board of Directors of the Radical Honesty culture. Put differently you are responsible (and profit from) what occurs in the Radical Honesty community; good and bad. You have repeatedly been informed of Brad's violations of his own Radical Honesty practices, and refusal to engage on the issues and sort out the problem.

You are a Radical Honesty trainer (i.e. leader; i.e. responsible), and would be expected to hold your fellow leaders accountable to good honest practices (particularly one would think for a culture that prides itself on its 'honesty').

I welcome you to inform the court of your request, not to be informed of this information, and why; and I shall be happy to address your argument and evidence.

As far as I am aware 'peace' and 'forgiveness' in Radical Honesty, occurs after dealing with the issues, and is a sensate experience; not some la la land intellectual bullshit feelgood crap.

Regards

Lara Johnstone

From: Stephanie Roth | **Sent:** 27 October 2013 11:15 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#); GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Brad Blanton et al: Proof of Service [PDF](#)

From: Stephanie Roth [mailto:stephaniefayeroth@comcast.net]
Sent: Sunday, October 27, 2013 11:15 PM
To: 'Lara'
Subject: Read: Stephanie Roth & RH Culture: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone v Blanton: Svc Notices, Amended Notice, Meeting Transcript Q&A

Your message

To: 'Stephanie Roth'; 'Blanton'; 'Radical Honesty Support'
Cc: 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Taber Shadburne'; 'Greg Small'; 'FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec'
Subject: RE: Stephanie Roth & RH Culture: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone v Blanton: Svc Notices, Amended Notice, Meeting Transcript Q&A
Sent: 10/27/2013 8:48 PM

was read on 10/27/2013 11:14 PM.

From: Stephanie Roth | **Sent:** 27 October 2013 11:20 PM
Excerpt: FBI Complaint: Radical Honesty culture White Collar Fraud [PDF](#); GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Brad Blanton et al: Proof of Service [PDF](#)

From: Stephanie Roth [mailto:stephaniefayeroth@comcast.net]
Sent: Sunday, October 27, 2013 11:20 PM
To: 'Lara'
Subject: RE: Stephanie Roth & RH Culture: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone v Blanton: Svc Notices, Amended Notice, Meeting Transcript Q&A

Hi, Lara,

Perhaps you didn't notice that I messaged you privately, and didn't CC the entire community.

I have not been notified by any court that I am part of any legal action. I find myself agitated and bathed in negativity by your "proceedings" and wish to not engage in or be exposed to them. Everything you stated in the email below other than "The website lists you as a trainer" is projection, perception, judgment, and/or myth. The RH trainers are not unified as a group enough to hold anyone accountable for anything, nor am I responsible for anything Brad does or does not do.

Again, I respectfully request that you not include me in your emails.

Thank you.
Stephanie

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 28 October 2013 3:11 PM
Excerpt: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Brad Blanton et al: Proof of Service [PDF](#)

From: Brad Blanton [mailto:brad@radicalhonesty.com]
Sent: Monday, October 28, 2013 3:11 PM
To: Lara
Subject: Re: Blanton, Doyle & RH Culture: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone v Blanton: Svc Notices, Amended Notice, Meeting Transcript Q&A

Brad Blanton and Maggie Doyle
Radical Honesty Culture Trainers
Radical Honesty Culture

CC: FBI: Agent Mazanec

RE: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al

Please find attached Amended Notice, Proof of Service Notices, Meeting Q&A.

Lara Johnstone

In the matter between:

Lara Johnstone (1), Francisco Martin (2) (aka Gen. Patton) *Soul-Friend Applicants*; Nanette Derenzi (3); Dennis McGinn (4) *MI-Psych Integrity Applicants*; Timothy McVeigh (5); Erik Prince (6); Vladimir Putin (7); David Petraeus (8); Ray ODierno (9); Stan McChrystal (10) and John Mulholland (11) *MI-R2R-B2B-Fam Applicants*

And

Brad Blanton (1); Maggie Doyle (2) *Radical Honesty Respondents*; Clive Henry Johnstone (3); Ann Elizabeth Johnstone (4); Graeme Henry Johnstone (5); Wendy Johnstone (6); Andre Bosman Johnstone (7); *Biological Family Respondents*; Chelsea Elizabeth Manning (born Bradley Edward) (8) *Masculinity, Honour, Sex & War Respondent*

AMENDED NOTICE, PROOF OF SERVICE NOTICES, MEETING TRANSCRIPT Q&A

[6] Original Notice of Motion is Amended as follows:

[6.1] Former eighth and ninth respondents: Frode Moe and Talitha Moe are withdrawn from the application and related requested order.

[6.2] Applicant Parties support disclosure and transparency. Respondent parties please confirm whether you support disclosure and transparency of proceedings; or prefer the gag (secrecy/confidential) order to remain in place.

[7] Annex A: Transcript of Notices of Service to Applicants and Respondents & Acknowledged Receipts.

[8] Annex B: Johnstone Meeting: 1st Applicant & 3rd & 4th Respondents 22 October 2013 Negotiation & Clarification Meeting and Lara Answers.

[9] Annex C: President Putin Response: re: Questions submitted to his attention in GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Blanton et al

[10] Note to Ann Johnstone from R2R & B2B Applicants: Erik, Stan, David, Timothy, John and Ray want to know why you only asked for Vladimir's response, and not Vladimir and Erik's response.

Lara Johnstone, Pro Se
George, South Africa
Alien on Pale Blue Dot

From: Lara Johnstone | Sent: 28 October 2013 3:31 PM
Excerpt: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Brad Blanton et al: Proof of Service [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Monday, October 28, 2013 3:31 PM
To: 'Brad Blanton'; 'Maggie Doyle'
Subject: RE: Blanton, Doyle & RH Culture: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone v Blanton: Svc Notices, Amended Notice, Meeting Transcript Q&A

Brad,

Your email contains no message; only returns the legal notice I sent to you, to myself. If that is the way in which you 'acknowledge receipt'; no problem. It is noted that you have acknowledged receipt.

If you had a message in the body, it did not come through; and you should resend it.

Lara

From: Maggie Doyle | Sent: 28 October 2013 4:24 PM
Excerpt: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Brad Blanton et al: Proof of Service [PDF](#)

From: Maggie Doyle [mailto:maggie@multiversity.net]
Sent: Monday, October 28, 2013 4:24 PM
To: Lara
Subject: Read: RE: Blanton, Doyle & RH Culture: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone v Blanton: Svc Notices, Amended Notice, Meeting Transcript Q&A

Your message

To: Maggie Doyle
Subject: RE: Blanton, Doyle & RH Culture: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone v Blanton: Svc Notices, Amended Notice, Meeting Transcript Q&A
Sent: Monday, October 28, 2013 8:31:17 AM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada)

was read on Monday, October 28, 2013 9:23:47 AM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada).

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 02 November 2013 11:11 PM
Excerpt: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Brad Blanton et al: Proof of Service [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Saturday, November 02, 2013 11:11 PM
To: 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Taber Shadburne'; 'Stephanie Roth'; 'Greg Small'; 'FBI-VA: Agent Jeffrey Mazanec'
Cc: 'Blanton'; 'Radical Honesty Support'; 'Maggie Doyle'
Subject: Blanton, Doyle & RH Trainers: GMC 4643-13: Amended Orders: RH & Applicants; Resp 3-4 Opposing Affidavit

Brad Blanton, 646 Shuler Lane, Stanley, VA
Maggie Doyle, Maggie Doyle LLC, Denver
Radical Honesty Trainers: Marina Dervan; Clara Griffin; Raven Dana; Anne Alexander; Susan Campbell; Taber Shadburne; Stephanie Roth; Greg Small

CC: FBI Agent Jeffrey Mazanec

Please find attached: (a) Amended Notices: Additional Orders for (i) Leniency of Procedure; (2) Added Radical Honesty Respondents; (3) Applicant ZioNazi Clarifications; (B) Court Procedure Notices.

Please Note: Additional Radical Honesty Respondents and Amended Radical Honesty Culture Order:

The following Radical Honesty Culture Trainers are added to the application as additional 'Radical Honesty Culture' respondents, in addition to First and Second Respondents: Brad Blanton and Maggie Doyle: 9th Respondent: Taber Shadburne; 10th Respondent: Greg Small; 11th Respondent: Marina Dervan; 12th Respondent: Clara Griffin; 13th Respondent: Raven Dana; 14th Respondent: Anne Alexander; 15th Respondent: Susan Campbell; 16th Respondent: Stephanie Roth

Lara Johnstone

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 06 November 2013 4:19 PM
Excerpt: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Brad Blanton et al: Proof of Service [PDF](#)

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Wednesday, November 06, 2013 4:19 PM
To: 'Blanton'; 'Radical Honesty Support'; 'Maggie Doyle'
Cc: 'Marina Dervan'; 'Clara Griffin'; 'Raven Dana'; 'Anne Alexander'; 'Susan Campbell'; 'Taber Shadburne'; 'Stephanie Roth'; 'Greg Small'

Subject: Doyle Ack Rec of Blanton, Doyle & RH Trainers: GMC 4643-13: Amended Orders: RH & Applicants; Resp 3-4 Opposing Affidavit

Brad Blanton, 646 Shuler Lane, Stanley, VA

Maggie Doyle, Maggie Doyle LLC, Denver

Radical Honesty Trainers: Marina Dervan; Clara Griffin; Raven Dana; Anne Alexander; Susan Campbell; Taber Shadburne; Stephanie Roth; Greg Small

GMC 4643-13: Amended Orders: RH & Applicants; Resp 3-4 Opposing Affidavit: Confirmation of Receipt by Maggie Doyle on behalf of Brad Blanton, Radical Honesty Trainers.

Maggie has confirmed receipt of legal notice sent to you, Brad, and all trainers. That means, essentially, Maggie confirmed that she received a copy of the electronic notice simultaneously served to you and your Radical Honesty corporate trainer colleagues.

If you, Brad, or Stephanie, or any RH trainers, wish to object to any of the orders requested, you must do so, because if you do not, it will be difficult, maybe impossible to do so later. If you do not object, the court will assume your agreement with such orders, with relation to you and the Radical Honesty culture.

Anyone who wishes to object can notify the Applicant (Lara) and the court in writing, of their notice of intention to oppose, by 14 November 2013; and their answering affidavits, if any; by the latest 03 December 2013, and further that they are required to appoint in such notification an address referred to in rule 55(1)(g) at which you will accept notice and service, including email service, of all documents in these proceedings.

Electronic Service per email to a US citizen is lawful in terms of (I) the South African Electronic Communications and Transactions Act. 2002 (Act No. 25 of 2002) and (II) Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 4(f) which allows for service “by other means not prohibited by international agreement as directed by the court,” as implemented in *Rio Properties, Inc. v. Rio Intern. Interlink*, 284 F.3d 1007 (9th Cir. 2002) which established that e-mail was an effective means of communication between parties, and that messages sent to the respondents would serve the purposes of notifying them of the pending litigation. Moreover, there is no indication that service in this manner would contravene any international agreement. Finally courts “have upheld service via e-mail, [in] cases involving e-mail addresses undisputedly connected to the defendants and that the defendants used for business purposes.” (*Ehrenfeld v. Bin Mufouz*, 2005 WL 696769 (S.D.N.Y., March 23, 2005))

Lara Johnstone

From: Maggie Doyle [mailto:maggie@multiversity.net]

Sent: Saturday, November 02, 2013 11:24 PM

To: Lara

Subject: Read: Blanton, Doyle & RH Trainers: GMC 4643-13: Amended Orders: RH & Applicants; Resp 3-4 Opposing Affidavit

Your message

To: Maggie Doyle

Subject: Blanton, Doyle & RH Trainers: GMC 4643-13: Amended Orders: RH & Applicants; Resp 3-4 Opposing Affidavit

Sent: Saturday, November 02, 2013 4:11:15 PM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada)

was read on Saturday, November 02, 2013 4:23:34 PM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada).

From: Maggie Doyle | **Sent:** 06 November 2013 5:22 PM
Excerpt: GMC 4643-13: Johnstone et al v Brad Blanton et al: Proof of Service [PDF](#)

From: Maggie Doyle [mailto:maggie@multiversity.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 06, 2013 5:22 PM
To: Lara
Subject: Read: Doyle Ack Rec of Blanton, Doyle & RH Trainers: GMC 4643-13: Amended Orders: RH & Applicants; Resp 3-4 Opposing Affidavit

Your message

To: Maggie Doyle
Subject: Doyle Ack Rec of Blanton, Doyle & RH Trainers: GMC 4643-13: Amended Orders: RH & Applicants; Resp 3-4 Opposing Affidavit
Sent: Wednesday, November 06, 2013 8:19:10 AM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada)

was read on Wednesday, November 06, 2013 9:21:50 AM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada).

From: Lara Johnstone | **Sent:** 03 December 2013 9:31 PM

From: Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]
Sent: Tuesday, December 03, 2013 9:31 PM
To: Radical Honesty Support (support@radicalhonesty.com); Blanton (brad@radicalhonesty.com); Maggie Doyle (maggie@multiversity.net)
Subject: Blanton & Doyle: Filed documents in GMC 4643-13: Johnstone v Blanton

Brad Blanton & Maggie Doyle

Update regarding filed documents in Johnstone v Blanton, et al application.

Please let me know if you intend filing a responding affidavit, and if so, by when; since I have not received one yet. Or if you do not intend to oppose the orders requested in the application.

Amended Court Application Date: 04 February 2014:

Negotiations between applicants and respondents are proceeding. The applicant consequently notifies the court of the change of date the application, including all applicants and respondents affidavits and summaries of arguments, will be made to the court to 4th of February 2014, at 09:30 hrs. Enclosure:

- NoM Amend Notice ([PDF](#))
- Transcript of Notices of Service to Applicants and Respondents and Acknowledged Receipts. ([PDF](#))

Additional Order for Leniency of Court Procedure's:

Applicants request an Order for leniency on procedure of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure; particularly for any applicant or respondent party filing process documents as laypersons.

Additional Radical Honesty Respondents and Amended Radical Honesty Culture Order:

- FBI-VA: Affidavit: Complaint: RH White Collar Fraud ([PDF](#))
- Encl: RH-BB Correspondence Transcript ([PDF](#))

Public documents available at: <http://sqswans.weebly.com/gmc-4643-13.html>

Regards

Lara